arXiv:1207.6724v1 [math.NT] 28 Jul 2012

VARIATIONS ON A THEOREM OF TATE

STEFAN PATRIKIS

ABSTRACT. Let F be a number field. These notes explore Galois-theoretic, automorphic, and motivic analogues and refinements of Tate's basic result that continuous projective representations $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ lift to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We take special interest in the interaction of this result with algebraicity (on the automorphic side) and geometricity (in the sense of Fontaine-Mazur). On the motivic side, we study refinements and generalizations of the classical Kuga-Satake construction. Some auxiliary results touch on: possible infinity-types of algebraic automorphic representations; comparison of the automorphic and Galois "Tannakian formalisms"; monodromy (independence-of-ℓ) questions for abstract Galois representations.

CONTENTS

Part 1. Introduction 2
1. Introduction 2
2. Notation 9
3. Acknowledgments 10

Part 2. Foundations & examples 11
4. Review of lifting results 11
5. GL_1 16
6. Coefficients: general principles and generalizing Weil's CM descent 22
7. W-algebraic representations 25
8. Further examples: the Hilbert modular case and $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$ 28
9. Galois lifting: Hilbert modular case 34
10. ℓ-adic Hodge theory preliminaries 37
11. Spin examples 39

Part 3. Galois and automorphic lifting 43
12. Lifting W-algebraic representations 44
13. Galois lifting: the general case 51
14. Applications: comparing the automorphic and Galois formalisms 57
15. Monodromy of abstract Galois representations 65

Part 4. Motivic lifting 70
16. Motivated cycles: generalities 71
17. Motivic lifting: the hyperkähler case 83
18. Towards a generalized Kuga-Satake theory 97
References 102

Date: July 2012.

Part 1. **Introduction**

1. Introduction

Let F be a number field, with $\Gamma_F := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ its Galois group relative to a choice of algebraic closure. Tate's theorem that $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes (see [Ser77]) encodes one of the basic features of the representation theory of Γ_F: since $\mathrm{coker}(\mu_\infty(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times)$ is uniquely divisible, $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ vanishes as well, and therefore all obstructions to lifting projective representations $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ vanish. More generally, as explained in [Con11], for any surjection $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ with central torus kernel, any homomorphism $\Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ lifts to $H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. The simplicity of Tate's theorem is striking: replacing $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by some finite group $\mathbb{Z}/m$ of coefficients, the vanishing result breaks down.

Two other groups, more or less fanciful, extend Γ_F and conjecturally encode the key structural features of, respectively, automorphic representations and (pure) motives. The more remote automorphic Langlands group, $\mathcal{L}_F$, at present exists primarily as heuristic, whereas the motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_F$ would take precise form granted the standard conjectures, and in the meantime can be approximated by certain unconditional substitutes[1]. In either case, however, we can ask for an analogue of Tate's theorem and attempt to prove unconditional results. The automorphic analogue is simpler to formulate, amounting to studying the fibers of the functorial transfer ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^LG$ where $\tilde{G}$ and G are connected reductive F-groups, $\tilde{G}$ formed from G by extending the (possibly non-connected) center Z_G to a central torus $\tilde{Z}$, i.e. $\tilde{G} = (G \times \tilde{Z})/Z_G$. For simplicity, we always take G/F (and $\tilde{Z}$) to be split, but the results should also hold in the quasi-split case.[2] We show (Proposition 12.2.2) that cuspidal representations of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ do indeed lift to $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. The analogue is false for $\mathcal{G}_F$, and *a priori* there is really no reason to believe that 'lifting problems' for the three groups $\Gamma_F, \mathcal{L}_F$, and $\mathcal{G}_F$ should qualitatively admit the same answer: a general automorphic representation has seemingly no connection with either ℓ-adic representations or motives; and a general ℓ-adic representation has no apparent connection with classical automorphic representations or motives. Two quite distinct kinds of transcendence– one complex, one ℓ-adic– prevent these overlapping theories from being reduced to one another. A key problem, therefore, is to identify the overlap and ask how the lifting problem behaves when restricted to this (at least conjectural) common ground; it is only in posing this refined form of the lifting problem that the relevant structures emerge.

So we take a detour to discuss notions of algebraicity for automorphic representations. Weil laid the foundation for this discussion in his paper [Wei56], by showing that for Hecke characters (automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbf{A}_F)$), an integrality condition on the archimedean component suffices to imply algebraicity of the coefficients of the corresponding L-series (i.e. algebraicity of its Satake parameters). Waldschmidt ([Wal82]) later proved necessity of this condition. Weil, Serre, and others also showed that a subset, the 'type A_0' Hecke characters, moreover give rise to compatible systems of Galois characters $\Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ (and, via the theory of CM abelian varieties, motives underlying these compatible systems). The general feeling since has been that the most obvious analogue of Weil's type A_0 condition should govern the existence of associated ℓ-adic representations. To be precise, let π be an automorphic representation of our group G/F, and let T be a maximal torus of G. Although the notion is older, we follow the terminology 'L-algebraic' of [BG10] as the general analogue of type A_0 characters. That is, fixing at each $v|\infty$ an isomorphism $\iota_v \colon \overline{F}_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$, we can write (in Langlands' normalization of [Lan89]) the restriction to $W_{\overline{F}_v}$ of its L-parameter as

$$\mathrm{rec}_v(\pi_v) \colon z \mapsto \iota_v(z)^{\mu_{\iota_v}} \overline{\iota_v}(z)^{\nu_{\iota_v}} \in T^\vee(\mathbb{C}).$$

with $\mu_{\iota_v}, \nu_{\iota_v} \in X^\bullet(T)_\mathbb{C}$ and $\mu_{\iota_v} - \nu_{\iota_v} \in X^\bullet(T)$. Unless there is risk of confusion, we will omit reference to the embedding ι_v, writing $\mu_v = \mu_{\iota_v}$, etc. Then π is L-algebraic if for all $v|\infty$, μ_v and ν_v lie in $X^\bullet(T)$. The naïve reason for focusing on this condition is that, for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, it lets us see the Hodge decomposition on the (hoped-for) corresponding motive. Clozel ([Clo90]), noticing that cohomological representations need not satisfy this condition, but have parameters $\mu_v, \nu_v \in \rho + X^\bullet(T)$, where ρ is the half-sum of the positive roots,[3] studied this alternative integrality condition for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$. Buzzard and Gee ([BG10]) have recently elaborated on this condition ('C-algebraic') and its relation with L-algebraicity. For GL_1, the two notions C and L-algebraic coincide, and in general they are both useful and distinct generalizations of Weil's type A_0 condition.

---

[1] Namely, Deligne's theory of absolute Hodge cycles, or André's theory of motivated cycles; see §16.2.

[2] To be specific, the precise description of the fibers should be a feature of the quasi-split case; the fact that the fibers are merely non-empty should be completely general.

But Weil's 'integrality condition,' which he calls 'type A,' is more general than the type A_0 condition, and its analogues in higher rank seem to have been largely neglected[4]. It is of independent interest to resuscitate this condition, but we moreover find it essential for understanding the interaction of descent problems and algebraicity, including our original lifting question. By this we mean the following problem: given connected reductive (say quasi-split) groups H/F and G/F with a morphism of L-groups ${}^L H \to {}^L G$, and given a cuspidal L-algebraic representation π of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ which is known to be in the image of the associated functorial transfer, is π the transfer of an L-algebraic representation? The answer is certainly 'no,' even for GL_1, but it fails to be 'yes' in a tightly constrained way. I believe the most useful general notion is the following:

Definition 1.0.1. We say that π is W-algebraic if for all $v|\infty$, μ_v and ν_v lie in $\frac{1}{2}X^\bullet(T)$.

This is more restrictive than Weil's type A condition (which allows twists $|\cdot|^r$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ as well), and it is easy to concoct examples where the descent is not even the twist of a W-algebraic representation. It is one of our guiding principles, suggested by the (archimedean) Ramanujan conjecture, that all such examples are degenerate, and up to unwinding these degeneracies the only basic obstruction is the difference between W and L-algebraicity. Some initial evidence comes from the tensor product $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}'_n \to \mathrm{GL}_{nn'}$ (Proposition 7.0.14). Specializing to the context of Hilbert modular forms, we then discuss W-algebraicity and the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$ transfer (its fibers and algebraicity properties) in detail, moreover linking it to the Galois side.[5] Finally, we focus as above on the transfer ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^L G$ related to Tate's lifting theorem. We prove the following algebraic refinement of the already-mentioned lifting result:

Proposition 1.0.2. *For simplicity, let G/F be a split semi-simple group. Let F be CM, and let π be a cuspidal representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Assume π_∞ is tempered.*

1. *If π is L-algebraic, then there exists an L-algebraic lift to a cuspidal automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$.*
2. *If π is W-algebraic, then there exists a W-algebraic lift $\tilde{\pi}$.*

In §6.2 we develop a conjectural framework that allows this result to be extended to all totally imaginary F. See page 8 of this introduction. In contrast, over totally real fields, we have the following:

Proposition 1.0.3. *Now suppose F is totally real, with π as before. Alternatively, let F be arbitrary, but assume that the central character ω_π admits a finite-order extension to a Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Continue to assume π_∞ is tempered.*

1. *If π is L-algebraic, then it admits a W-algebraic lift $\tilde{\pi}$.*
2. *Assume F is totally real, and for the 'only if' direction of the following statement assume Conjecture 1.0.4 below. Then the images of μ_v and ν_v under $X^\bullet(T) \to X^\bullet(Z_G)$ lie in $X^\bullet(Z_G)[2]$, and π admits an L-algebraic lift if and only if these images are independent of $v|\infty$.*

---

[3] For some choice of Borel containing T. Such a choice was implicit in defining a dual group, with its Borel $B^\vee$ containing a maximal torus $T^\vee$, and the above archimedean L-parameters.

[4] I know of only one serious use of type A but not A_0 Hecke characters since the early work of Weil and Shimura; they are used by Blasius-Rogawski in [BR93] to associate motives to certain tensor products of Hilbert modular representations.

[5] Various interesting examples arise, such as the construction of ℓ-adic Galois representations which are pure but have no geometric twists.

To give a few examples, when F is totally real there is no obstruction to finding L-algebraic lifts for G a simple split group of type A_{2n}, E_6, E_8, F_4 or G_2. The natural question to ask, then, is whether for other groups there actually are counter-examples. Corollary 12.3.4 applies limit multiplicity formulas (as in [Clo86]) to produce many discrete-series examples generalizing the basic case of mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms.[6] The Conjecture 1.0.4 mentioned here is a problem in local representation theory that plays a key role in the comparison of L-packets (both local and global) on G and $\tilde{G}$; we require it to deduce a description of the fibers of the transfer ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^LG$. In its most general form, Adler-Prasad conjectured ([AP06]) the following for G and $\tilde{G}$ quasi-split:

Conjecture 1.0.4 ([AP06] Conjecture 2.6)**.** *Let v be a place of F, and let G and $\tilde{G}$ be quasi-split groups, related as above. Then for any irreducible admissible (smooth for finite v, Harish-Chandra module for infinite v) representation $\tilde{\pi}_v$ of $\tilde{G}(F_v)$, the restriction $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)}$ decomposes with multiplicity one.*

The motivation for this conjecture is the uniqueness of Whittaker models for quasi-split groups. It is now known (by Adler-Prasad and others) for pairs $(\tilde{G}, G) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n)$ or $(GU(V), U(V))$ where V is a symplectic or orthogonal space over F_v, as well as for generic $\tilde{\pi}_v$.[7] We also note that the assumptions on temperedness at infinity are not so serious as might appear: for a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$, W-algebraic implies (unconditionally) tempered at infinity. Certainly some results for non-tempered π are also possible, especially now that Arthur ([Art]) has proven his conjectures for classical groups.

The Galois question parallel to these refined automorphic lifting results has been raised by Brian Conrad in [Con11]. In that paper, Conrad addresses lifting problems of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"] & H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\end{tikzcd},$$

where $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a surjection of linear algebraic groups with central kernel. He discusses existence (a local-global principle), ramification control, and ℓ-adic Hodge theory properties, and the results are comprehensive, except for one question (see Remark 1.6 and Example 6.8 of [Con11]):

Question 1.0.5. Suppose that the kernel of $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a torus. If ρ is geometric, when does there exist a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$?

This, in the case $H = G^\vee$, $H' = \tilde{G}^\vee$, is the natural Galois analogue of Propositions 1.0.2 and 1.0.3; indeed, it provided much of the motivation to understand those automorphic questions. By 'geometric,' we mean almost everywhere unramified and de Rham at places above ℓ.[8] Conrad's Example 6.8 shows the answer is at least 'not always;' he produces a character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ over certain CM fields L (with F the totally real subfield) such that $\mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\hat{\psi})$ reduces to a geometric projective representation that has no geometric lift. We have an essentially general, but unfortunately conditional, solution to this problem. Having assumed that certain (GL-valued) Galois representations are either automorphic or motivic, or merely satisfy certain Hodge-Tate weight symmetries (Hypothesis 13.0.8) that are consequences of these assumptions, we prove (Theorem 13.0.13 and remarks following):

Theorem 1.0.6. *Let F be totally imaginary, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation satisfying Hypothesis 13.0.8. Then ρ admits a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.*

---

[6] For the relationship of these results with Arthur's conjectural construction, which requires modification, of a morphism $\mathcal{L}_F \to \mathcal{G}_F$, see Remarks 12.3.2 and 12.3.5.

[7] For archimedean v, it is obvious in many cases, and in this context it should be accessible in general.

[8] As part of a general definition, we should also include reductive algebraic monodromy group– the Zariski closure of the image– but that is not essential for this question.

There is an analogue in the totally real case (Corollary 13.0.12), which is an exact parallel of Proposition 1.0.3. It is somewhat surprising that the Galois theory is not more complicated than the automorphic theory: roughly speaking, the automorphic input of temperedness is missing, and the obstruction to finding a geometric lift, say for the simple yet decisive case $\mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n$, seems to be a question of $\frac{1}{n}$-integrality rather than $\frac{1}{2}$-integrality; this first appearance is, however, a red herring, and W-algebraicity remains the condition of basic importance on the Galois side as well.

We also include a local version of this result; it uses the same argument but requires no additional assumption on 'Hodge-Tate symmetry.' For $K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ finite, we can ask the same sorts of lifting questions with Γ_K in place of Γ_F. A theorem of Wintenberger ([Win95]), in the case of $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ a central isogeny, generalized by Conrad to $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ with kernel of multiplicative type, asserts that for any ℓ-adic Hodge theory property $\mathbf{P}$,[9] a ρ of type $\mathbf{P}$ admits a type $\mathbf{P}$ lift if and only if ρ restricted to the inertia group I_K admits a Hodge-Tate lift. This need not hold in the isogeny case, but we can complete this story by showing it holds unconditionally for central torus quotients: see Corollary 13.0.16. This question too was suggested by Conrad, and a more difficult variant will appear in §3.6 of [CCO12].

Having developed the automorphic and Galois sides in parallel, and having found the same answer to the two algebraic variants of Tate's lifting problem, we can use known results on the existence of automorphic Galois representations to construct, unconditionally, infinite families of Galois representations over totally real fields that fail to lift: §11 treats $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1} \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ in the regular case (where on the automorphic side we try to lift L-algebraic, discrete series at infinity, representations π of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ to $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbf{A}_F)$). In other cases, we can use the conjectural Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands correspondence for GL_n to develop parallel results for other groups. Here is an example from §14:

Proposition 1.0.7. *Let F be any number field. Assume Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands and Conjecture 6.2.4. Then any geometric $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is automorphic, in the weak sense that there exists an L-algebraic automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that ρ corresponds almost everywhere locally to π.*[10]

For F imaginary, we can invoke Theorem 13.0.11. For F totally real, a rather delicate descent argument is required. Similarly, we can deduce (see Proposition 14.2.6) from Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands some cases of the converse, providing evidence for a conjecture of Buzzard and Gee (Conjecture 3.2.1 of [BG10]).

More generally, we are led to ask whether descent questions on the automorphic and Galois sides obey the same formalism: if π is L-algebraic on G/F with associated Galois representation $\rho_\pi\colon \Gamma_F \to {}^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (as conjectured in [BG10], for instance), then we can ask two parallel questions for a given L-homomorphism ${}^LH \to {}^LG$:

- Is π the functorial transfer of an automorphic representation on H?
- Does ρ_π lift through ${}^LH(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?

Closely related to these are the parallel questions:

- If π descends to H, then does it have an L-algebraic descent?
- If ρ_π lifts through ${}^LH(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to {}^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, then does it have a geometric factorization?

We have already discussed some cases (arising from Tate's lifting question) of this general problem. Here is another example:

Proposition 1.0.8. *Continue to assume Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands and Conjecture 6.2.4. Let Π be a cuspidal L-algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and suppose that $\rho_\Pi \cong \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$, where $\rho_i\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Then there exist cuspidal automorphic representations π_i of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$.*

---

[9] Hodge-Tate, crystalline, semi-stable, de Rham

[10] This side-steps the following subtlety, which is at the heart of the failure of multiplicity one on SL_n/F: two projective representations of a finite group can be element-by-element conjugate but not globally conjugate. See Example 4.0.24 and the discussion in §14.1.

The interest of this result is that examples generated from mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms show that the π_i need not be L-algebraic; we observe in passing that in that context (F totally real, $n_1 = n_2 = 2$), certain cases of this result are, allowing a finite base-change, unconditional (via potential automorphy theorems). The structural point here is that the two Tannakian formalisms seem to be compatible in this tensor product example. In contrast:

Proposition 1.0.9. *Let F be totally real. Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be geometric but have no geometric lift, and suppose $\mathrm{Ad}(\rho)\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ satisfies the (potential automorphy) hypotheses of Corollary* 4.5.2 *of* [BLGGT]. *Then there exists a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and, after a totally real base change F'/F, a mixed-parity Hilbert modular representation π on GL_2/F', such that we have matching of local parameters*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi)\otimes\chi$$

for some finite order, necessarily non-trivial, Hecke character χ of F'. In contrast, restricting to $L' = F'K$ where $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic imaginary extension in which ℓ is inert, we can find a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ corresponds to $\mathrm{BC}_{L'/F'}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi))$.

Conversely, starting with a mixed-parity π, we can produce such a χ and $\tilde{\rho}$.

This proposition gives a precise example of the Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands correspondence breaking down past the L-algebraic/geometric boundary. It also quantifies a difference between descent problems (in this case, characterizing the image of Sym^2) on the automorphic and Galois sides.[11] In all, these examples motivate a comparison of the images of $r\colon {}^LH \to {}^LG$ on the automorphic and Galois sides, when r is an L-morphism with central kernel. The most optimistic expectation (for H and G quasi-split) is that if $\ker(r)$ is a central torus, then the two descent problems for (L-algebraic) Π and (geometric) ρ_Π are equivalent; whereas if $\ker(r)$ is disconnected, there is an obstruction to the comparison, that nevertheless can be killed after a finite base-change.

Despite these comparisons of the Galois and automorphic formalisms, the theory we develop here is deeply inadequate. Note that Proposition 1.0.7 (as in the Buzzard-Gee conjecture) only makes claims about weak (almost everywhere local) equivalence of automorphic and Galois representations for groups other than GL_n. The following two simple questions point toward just how much we are missing:

Question 1.0.10.

1. Suppose that $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is weakly-equivalent to an automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Do there exist weakly-equivalent lifts $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ on $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$?[12]
2. When does a weakly compatible system of representations $\rho_\ell\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ lift to a weakly compatible system $\tilde{\rho}_\ell\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?

The difficulties in answering the first question are reflected in the second, which in general has a negative answer; see Example 4.0.24 for the prototypical counterexample, which is familiar from the study of automorphic multiplicities and endoscopy. The only way I know to address the second problem involves both assuming and proving much more: granting that the ρ_ℓ are ℓ-adic avatars of a (pro-algebraic) representation of the motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}$ of motives over F with coefficients in E (a number field), and showing that this representation lifts to (a suitable E-form of) $\tilde{G}^\vee$, possibly after enlarging E. We have therefore come full-circle to the motivic lifting-problem raised at the beginning of this introduction. This is far more difficult than the corresponding automorphic and Galois questions, but we can unconditionally treat certain examples.

In order to pose the question precisely, we need a category of 'motives' in which the Galois formalism of $\mathcal{G}_F$ is unconditional. There are two common constructions, one based on Deligne's theory ([DMOS82]) of absolute Hodge cycles, the other based on André's theory ([And96b]), much inspired by Deligne's work, of motivated cycles. We work with motivated cycles, since the inclusions

$$\text{algebraic cycles} \subseteq \text{motivated cycles} \subseteq \text{absolute Hodge cycles} \subseteq \text{Hodge cycles}$$

---

[11] More simply, such examples exist for $n\colon \mathrm{GL}_1 \to \mathrm{GL}_1$.

[12] We do not return to this question in these notes, but certain cases are manageable, and will be explained in a subsequent paper.

more or less imply that results about motivated cycles[13] go through *a fortiori* for absolute Hodge cycles. Note that the Hodge conjecture asserts that each $\subseteq$ is an equality; Deligne's 'espoir' ([Del79]) asserts that the last $\subseteq$ is an equality. We let $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ denote the category of motives for motivated cycles over F with coefficients in E (see §16.2 and 16.3). This is a semi-simple E-linear Tannakian category, and, choosing an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we can associate (via the E-valued Betti fiber functor) a (pro-reductive) motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}$. We will prove a lifting result of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_E) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{G}_{F,E} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathrm{SO}(V_E)
\end{tikzcd}$$

for certain ρ arising from (more or less) degree 2 primitive cohomology $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of a hyperkähler variety over F; the extension of scalars $V_E = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ is essential for the lifting result to hold.

The starting-point for this refined motivic lifting result is work of André ([And96a]) on the 'motivated' theory of hyperkählers; indeed, his results imply a version of this lifting statement with F replaced by a large but quantifiable (with considerable work: see Theorem 8.4.3 of [And96a]) finite extension F'/F. Our contribution is the arithmetic descent from F' to F, and for this we use a variant of a technique introduced by Ribet ([Rib92]) to study so-called '$\mathbb{Q}$-curves,' elliptic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that are isogenous to all of their $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-conjugates. Passing from a softer geometric statement (compare: 'a variety over F has a point over some finite extension') to a precise arithmetic version (compare: 'when does a variety over F have a point over F?') typically requires some deep input; in this case, Faltings' isogeny theorem ([Fal83]) does the hard work. The method requires a case-by-case analysis (depending on the motivic group of the transcendental lattice) of this question, and I have decided not to pursue all the cases here, but here is a partial result (see Theorem 17.2.5, Theorem 17.6.5, and Proposition 17.7.2 and following for more cases and more precise versions):

Theorem 1.0.11. *Let (X, η) be a polarized variety over F satisfying André's conditions A_k, B_k, B_k^+ (see §17.1). For instance, with $k = 1$, X can be a hyperkähler variety with $b_2(X) > 3$. Suppose that the transcendental lattice $T_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}} = Prim^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})(k)$ satisfies either*

- $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$*; or*
- $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *is odd-dimensional.*

For simplicity, moreover assume that $\det V_\ell = \det T_\ell = 1$ *as Γ_F-representations.*[14] *Then after some finite scalar extension to $V_E = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ there is a lifting of the motivic Galois representation $\rho^V \colon \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_E) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{G}_{F,E} \arrow[ur, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho^V"] & \mathrm{SO}(V_E).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, this lifting gives rise to a weakly compatible system of lifts $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_\lambda)$ on λ-adic realizations, for all finite places λ of E.

For more cases in which $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is even-dimensional, see §17.7; the omitted cases should yield to similar methods. In particular, we obtain in some cases a positive answer to the second part of Question 1.0.10; this compatibility of λ-adic realizations is *not* automatic for André's motives (nor for absolute Hodge cycles), so we need to exploit an explicit description of the lift. Moreover, the excluded case $b_2(X) = 3$ of Theorem

---

[13] 'Hodge cycles are motivated.'

[14] This can be achieved in each case after a quadratic, independent-of-ℓ extension on F. It is only so we can work with $\mathrm{SO}(V_\ell)$ rather than $\mathrm{O}(V_\ell)$, but since our abstract Galois-lifting results apply to non-connected groups (see Theorem 1.0.6, for instance), this hypothesis is not essential.

1.0.11 is addressed by a more general result for potentially abelian motives: we prove such a lifting result across an arbitrary surjection $H' \to H$ with central torus kernel: see Proposition 16.4.1.

Our next example seems to be a novel result even in complex algebraic geometry, where many authors have studied variants of the Kuga-Satake construction for Hodge structures of '$K3$-type' (see, for example, [Mor85], [Voi05], [Gal00]). Generalizing the well-known case ([Mor85]) of H^2 of an abelian surface– which has a Hodge structure of $K3$ type, so falls within the ken of classical Kuga-Satake theory[15]– we prove an analogous GSpin → SO motivic lifting result for H^2 of any abelian variety (see Corollary 18.2.2). Moreover, we can describe the corresponding motive (in the spin representation) as a Grothendieck motive, without assuming the Standard Conjectures.

Note that Serre has asked[16] whether homomorphisms $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}} \to \mathrm{PGL}_2$ lift to GL_2. This is closely related to the question of whether the derived group of $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}$ is simply-connected, but Serre notes that questions of this sort do not have obvious conjectural answers, even if we assume we are in *le paradis motivique*. Our abstract Galois lifting results, as well as the handful of motivic examples, suggest and provide evidence for the following sharpening and generalization of Serre's question, that this motivic lifting phenomenon is utterly general:

Conjecture 1.0.12. *Let F and E be number fields, and let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a surjection, with central torus kernel, of linear algebraic groups over E. Suppose we are given a homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E} \to H$. Then if F is imaginary, there is a finite extension E'/E and a homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E'} \to H_{E'}$ lifting $\rho \otimes_E E'$. If F is totally real, then such a lift exists if and only if the Hodge number parity obstruction of Corollary 13.0.12 vanishes.*

This is consistent with the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, Serre's expectation for $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}$, and Theorem 1.0.6, and indeed the three of them taken together imply this conjecture (mimic the proof of Proposition 16.4.1). As we have seen, the cases of potentially abelian motives, hyperkähler motives, and abelian varieties provide some evidence both for this conjecture and for Serre's original question. In current work-in-progress, we study examples of this motivic lifting phenomenon for (unlike the examples in this paper) motives not lying in the Tannakian category generated by abelian varieties and Artin motives. There is clearly vast terrain waiting to be discovered here, some of which is not entirely hostile to exploration.

A few other general themes recur throughout these notes, in automorphic, Galois-theoretic, and motivic variants. The first is the systematic exploitation of 'coefficients,' and the general principle that our arithmetic objects will naturally have coefficients in CM fields. In §6.2, we use this principle to formulate (and prove, for regular representations; see Proposition 6.2.4) a conjectural generalization of Weil's result that a type A Hecke character of a number field F descends, up to finite order twist, to the maximal CM subfield F_{cm}; this generalization asserts that the *infinity-type* of an L-algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$, over a totally imaginary field F, necessarily descends to F_{cm}. In §16.3, we use this principle, which in the motivic context is more or less the Hodge index theorem, to establish for André's motives the Hodge-Tate weight symmetries needed for the Galois lifting Theorem 1.0.6. Finally, Corollary 15.2.10 gives an example of how having CM coefficients can be exploited even in the study of abstract compatible systems of ℓ-adic representations.

This last result is based on the following abstract independence-of-ℓ result:[17]

Proposition 1.0.13. *Let $\rho_\ell\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a compatible system of continuous, irreducible, Lie-multiplicity-free representations $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, so that $\rho_\ell \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{L^\ell}^F(r_\ell)$ for some Lie-irreducible representation r_ℓ of Γ_{L^ℓ}, where the number field L^ℓ a priori depends on ℓ. Then the set of places of F having a split factor in L^ℓ is independent of ℓ. If we further assume that the L^ℓ/F are Galois, then L^ℓ is independent of ℓ.*

---

[15] The paper [Gal00] treats the case of a particular family of abelian four-folds, where a constraint on the Mumford-Tate group allows one to extract a Hodge structure of $K3$-type; as we show, though, the lifting phenomenon is completely general.

[16] 8.3 of [Ser94].

[17] See Proposition 15.2.4 for a more general statement.

By Lie-multiplicity-free, we mean multiplicity-free after all finite restrictions. One application is a converse to a theorem of Rajan (Theorem 4 of [Raj98]), also generalizing a result of Serre (Corollaire 2 to Proposition 15 of [Ser81]). See §15 for further discussion, as well as the aformentioned application (Corollary 15.2.10), which is a weak Galois-theoretic shadow of the automorphic Proposition 6.2.4. In §15, we also record a variant for number fields of a result of Katz (for affine curves over a finite field; see [Kat87]), which in particular clarifies the place in the general theory occupied by Lie-multiplicity-free (or more specifically, Lie-irreducible) representations:

Proposition 1.0.14. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$ be an irreducible representation of dimension n. Then either ρ is induced, or there exists $d|n$, a Lie irreducible representation τ of dimension n/d, and an Artin representation ω of dimension d such that $\rho \cong \tau \otimes \omega$. This decomposition is unique up to twisting by a finite-order character. Consequently, any (irreducible) ρ can be written in the form*

$$\rho \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\tau \otimes \omega)$$

for some finite L/F and irreducible representations τ and ω of Γ_L, with τ Lie-irreducible and ω Artin.

This is a very handy result, which despite its basic nature does not seem to be widely-known. Some of our descent arguments in §17 rely on it.

Finally, time and again our arguments are buttressed by some explicit knowledge of the constraints on infinity-types of automorphic representations, and on Hodge-Tate weights of Galois representations. More generally, we find that asking finer structural questions about the interaction of functoriality and algebraicity naturally leads to existence (and non-existence) problems that are often dissociated from functoriality.[18] We certainly have many more questions than answers (scattered through §'s 4-7), although fortunately our main results depend largely on detailed study of GL_1 (§4), which provides both the technical ingredients for later arguments and the motivation for higher-rank results (namely, after a close reading of Weil's [Wei56], the definition of W-algebraicity and the key descent principle of Proposition 6.2.4). In this latter respect, I do not believe that GL_1 has yielded all of its fruit– see the discussion surrounding Corollary 5.1.8– but the extreme difficulty of establishing the (non-)existence of automorphic representations with given infinity-types, even in qualitative form, necessarily tempers further conjecture.

For a reader interested only in certain aspects of these notes, I hope that the table of contents is a clear reference to the points of interest.

2. NOTATION

For a number field F, we always choose an algebraic closure $\overline{F}/F$ and let Γ_F denote $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. We write $C_F = \mathbf{A}_F^\times/F^\times$ for the idele class group of F.

If L/F is a finite extension inside $\overline{F}$ and W a representation of Γ_L (= $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/L)$), we abbreviate $\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_L}^{\Gamma_F}(W)$ to $\mathrm{Ind}_L^F(W)$. For $g \in \Gamma_F$, we write (gW) for the conjugate representation of $g\Gamma_L g^{-1}$.

We fix separable closures $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all ℓ. We fix throughout embeddings $\iota_\ell\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and $\iota_\infty\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. An archimedean embedding $\iota\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ thus induces an ℓ-adic embedding $\tau^*_{\ell,\infty}(\iota) = \iota_\ell \circ \iota_\infty^{-1} \circ \iota\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$; and similarly an ℓ-adic embedding $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ induces $\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If there is no risk of confusion, we just write $\tau^*(\iota)$ and $\iota^*(\tau)$. These embeddings will be invoked (often implicitly) whenever we associate automorphic forms and Galois representations.

For a connected reductive group G/F, we construct dual and L-groups $G^\vee$ and ${}^LG$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (having chosen a maximal torus, Borel, and splitting, although we will only ever make the maximal torus explicit), and then use ι_ℓ and ι_∞ to regard the dual group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ or $\mathbb{C}$ as needed.

If v is a place of F, we denote by rec_v the local reciprocity map from irreducible admissible smooth representations (v finite) or irreducible admissible Harish-Chandra modules (v infinite) to (frobenius semisimple) representations of the Weil(-Deligne) group of F_v. We use this in the unramified and archimedean cases, and for GL_n. For the group GL_1, this is local class field theory, normalized so that uniformizers correspond to geometric frobenii, which we denote fr_v.

---

[18] As we will see in §4, this theme is present even in the proof of Tate's original vanishing theorem $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

By a CM field we mean as usual a quadratic totally imaginary extension of a totally real field; these, and their real subfields, are the number fields on which complex conjugation is well-defined, independent of the choice of complex embedding. For any number field, we write F_{cm} for the maximal subfield of F on which complex conjugation is well-defined; thus it is the maximal CM or totally real subfield, depending on whether F contains a CM subfield. We also write $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$ for the union of all CM extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For any topological group A, we denote by A^D the group $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(A, \mathrm{S}^1)$ (S^1 is the unit circle); when A is abelian and locally compact, we topologize this as the Pontryagin dual.

For any ground field k (always characteristic zero for us) with a fixed separable closure k^s, and a (separable) algebraic extension K/k inside k^s, we write $\tilde{K}$ for the normal (Galois) closure of K over k inside k^s.

We always denote base-changes of schemes by sub-scripts: thus, $X_{k'}$ is the base-change $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, k} \mathrm{Spec}\, k'$ for a k-scheme X and a base-extension $\mathrm{Spec}\, k' \to \mathrm{Spec}\, k$. Notation such as $M \otimes_k k'$ will be reserved (see §16.3) to describe extension of scalars from an object M of a k-linear abelian category to one of a k'-linear abelian category; when there is no risk of confusion we will sometimes denote this also by $M_{k'}$.

By the Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands conjecture, we mean the following:

Conjecture 2.0.15 (Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands)**.** *Let* $\rho \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *be an irreducible geometric Galois representation. Recall from the introduction that this means* ρ *is almost everywhere unramified, and is de Rham at all places above* ℓ*. Then:*

(1) *(Fontaine-Mazur) There exists a smooth projective variety* X/F *such that* ρ *is isomorphic to some sub-quotient of* $H^j(X_{\overline{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$*.*

(2) *((1)+Langlands) There exists a cuspidal automorphic representation* Π *of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *such that for almost all* v *unramified for* ρ*, the eigenvalues of* $\rho(fr_v)$ *agree with the Satake parameters of* Π_v*, viewed in* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ *via the composition* $\iota_\ell \circ \iota_\infty^{-1}(\mathrm{rec}_v(\Pi_v))$*.*[19] *Moreover for* $\iota \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$*, the archimedean Langlands parameter*

$$\mathrm{rec}_v(\Pi_v)|_{\overline{F_v}^\times} \colon W_{\overline{F_v}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

landing in a maximal torus T_n*, is of the form* $z \mapsto z^{\mu_\iota}\overline{z}^{\nu_\iota}$ *for* $\mu_\iota, \nu_\iota \in X_\bullet(T_n)$*, and for any* $\tau \colon F \subset F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$*, the Hodge-Tate co-character* μ_τ *of* $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ *is (conjugate to)* $\mu_{\iota^*_{\infty,\tau}}$*.*

Conversely, given a cuspidal automorphic representation Π *of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *with integral archimedean Langlands parameters, there exists an irreducible geometric Galois representation* $\rho_\Pi \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *(depending on* ι_∞, ι_ℓ*) satisfying the above compatibilities.*

Sometimes we will use 'Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands' only to refer to the conjectural correspondence between Galois representations and automorphic representations, by-passing part (1) of Conjecture 2.0.15. It implies a similar correspondence between semi-simple (not necessarily irreducible) geometric Galois representations and (suitably algebraic) isobaric representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$.

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Some of this work was carried out with the support of an NDSEG fellowship. I thank the Institut Henri Poincaré, which I visited for the 2010 Trimestre Galois, and the Oxford University mathematics department, which I visited in 2011−2012, for their hospitality. I of course am grateful to the Princeton math department, both for its official support, and for its singular camaraderie.

---

[19] A stronger version moreover asks that for all finite places v,

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho|_{\Gamma_{F_v}})^{fr-ss} \cong \iota_\ell \circ \iota_\infty^{-1}(\mathrm{rec}_v(\Pi_v))$$

It also gives me great pleasure to thank the following people. My debt to Brian Conrad, who wrote the paper ([Con11]) that catalyzed much of the present work, will be clear. He also provided very helpful comments on an earlier draft. I am grateful to Peter Sarnak for his encouragement and several enjoyable conversations about this material, and to Christopher Skinner for reading an earlier draft. Most of all, I thank Andrew Wiles, my advisor, for his ongoing support and patient encouragement over the last few years.

Part 2. **Foundations & examples**

This chapter discusses the motivating examples and technical ingredients that underly the general arguments of Chapter 3. After (§4) recalling foundational lifting results of Tate, Wintenberger, and Conrad, we undertake a detailed discussion (§5) of Hecke characters and ℓ-adic Galois characters, especially with reference to their possible infinity-types and Hodge-Tate weights. In §6 we first explain an abstract principle that is important for 'doing Hodge theory with coefficients;' we apply this to generalize Weil's result on descent of type A Hecke characters. Drawing further inspiration from [Wei56], we then discuss (§7) what seems to be the most useful generalization of the type A condition to higher-rank groups, which we call W-algebraic representations. The subsequent sections (§8 and §9) discuss the simplest non-abelian example, that of W-algebraic Hilbert modular forms, their associated Galois representations, and the first interesting cases of Conrad's geometric lifting question (Question 1.0.5); although certain results in these sections are superseded by the general theorems of Chapter 3, we can also prove much more refined statements in the Hilbert modular case, as well as some complementary results about the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$ functorial lift. Another case where more precise results are accessible with the current technology is for certain automorphic representations on symplectic groups, and their associated (orthogonal and spin) Galois representations. After some preliminaries about 'labeled Hodge-Tate-Sen weights' in §10, we discuss these examples in §11.

4. REVIEW OF LIFTING RESULTS

In this section, we review the basic Galois lifting results due to Tate, Wintenberger, and Conrad. We then highlight some of the basic problems that remain unaddressed by these foundational results. The two main elements of Tate's proof will come up repeatedly throughout these notes, so they are worth making explicit: he requires information coming both from the 'automorphic-Galois correspondence' and from the bare automorphic theory, which in our work amounts to some question of what infinity-types automorphic representation can have.[20] In Tate's proof, the former is global class field theory– in the form of the local-global structure of the Brauer group– and the latter takes the form of precise results about the structure of the connected component of the idele class group C_F. We will give a slightly different proof that emphasizes the continuity with some of our other arguments, especially Lemma 5.1.5.

Theorem 4.0.16 (Tate; see Theorem 4 of [Ser77])**.** *Let F be a number field*[21]. *Then* $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for all primes p, and then an easy inflation-restriction argument shows we may assume that F contains μ_p. Since $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is p-power torsion, to show it is zero we may instead show that multiplication by p is injective, or equivalently that the boundary map

$$H^1(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathrm{Br}(F)[p]$$

is surjective (the identification with the Brauer group is possible since $\mu_p \subset F$). First we note that the corresponding claim holds for the completions F_v: since $\mathrm{Br}(F_v)[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ (for v finite; for v archimedean the argument is even simpler), we only need the corresponding (at v) boundary map $\delta_v \colon H^1(\Gamma_{F_v}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^2(\Gamma_{F_v}, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ to be non-zero, and thus only that multiplication by p on $H^1(\Gamma_{F_v}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ should not be surjective. But a character $F_v^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a p^{th}-power if and only if it is trivial on $\mu_p(F_v) \subset F_v^\times$, and there are obviously characters not satisfying this condition. Note also that for $\phi_v \in H^1(\Gamma_{F_v}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the image $\delta_v(\phi_v)$ depends only on the restriction $\phi_v|_{\mu_p(F_v)}$.

---

[20] A very simple aspect of this extremely difficult problem, that is.

[21] As Tate observes, the same holds for global function fields, but we are not concerned with this case.

For global F, let α be a p-torsion element of $\mathrm{Br}(F) \subset \oplus_v \mathrm{Br}(F_v)$, with local components α_v. By the local theory, for all v we have characters $\phi_v \colon F_v^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\delta_v(\phi_v) = \alpha_v$, and the collection of α_v is equivalent to the collection of restrictions $\phi_v|_{\mu_p(F_v)}$, i.e. to the corresponding character $\phi \colon \mu_p(\mathbf{A}_F) \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. The fact that the α_v arise from a global Brauer class α implies that ϕ factors through $\mu_p(F)\backslash\mu_p(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and we need only produce a finite-order extension to a Hecke character $\tilde{\phi} \colon C_F \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. The archimedean classes α_v are trivial for v complex, so Lemma 5.1.5 implies the existence of such an extension (note that it suffices to produce, as in the Lemma, an extension to a character $\tilde{\phi} \colon C_F \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, since we can then project down to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and still have an extension of ϕ). □

As mentioned in the introduction, Tate's result certainly breaks down with finite coefficients. For example, view the ℓ-adic Tate module of an elliptic curve over a totally real field F as a projective representation. The obstruction to lifting to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ lives in $H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and it vanishes if and only if the ℓ-adic cyclotomic character admits a square-root, which cannot happen for F totally real.

The papers [Con11] and [Win95] both study the problem of lifting Galois representation $\Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ through a surjection of linear algebraic groups $H' \to H$ with central kernel. The problem naturally breaks into two cases: that of finite kernel (an isogeny), and that of connected (torus) kernel. It is helpful to contrast these cases using an algebraic toy model:

Example 4.0.17. Let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a surjection of *tori* over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, with kernel D, and suppose we are given an algebraic homomorphism $\rho \colon \mathrm{G}_m \to H$. Via the (anti-) equivalence of categories between diagonalizable algebraic groups and abelian groups (taking character groups), we see that the obstruction to lifting ρ as an algebraic morphism lives in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(D), \mathbb{Z})$; in particular, when D is a torus, all such ρ lift. For instance, we can fill in the dotted arrow in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{G}_m^2 \arrow[d, "(w,z)\mapsto w^r z^s"] \\
\mathrm{G}_m \arrow[r, "z\mapsto z^n"'] \arrow[ur, dashed] & \mathrm{G}_m
\end{tikzcd}$$

precisely when $gcd(r, s)|n$. The kernel of $\mathrm{G}_m^2 \to \mathrm{G}_m$ is connected precisely when $gcd(r, s) = 1$, so there is no obstruction in that case, and in general there is an explicit congruence obstruction.

Since it is the basis of all that follows, I recall the application of Theorem 4.0.16 to lifting through central torus quotients (see Proposition 5.3 of [Con11]).

Proposition 4.0.18 (5.3 of [Con11])**.** *Let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a surjection of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ with kernel a central torus $S^\vee$.*[22] *Then any continuous representation $\rho \colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ lifts to $H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, i.e. we can fill in the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[r, "\rho"'] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] & H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. By induction, we may assume $S^\vee = \mathrm{G}_m$. There is an isogeny complement H'_1 in H' to $S^\vee$: that is, we still have a surjection $H'_1 \twoheadrightarrow H$, but now $H'_1 \cap S^\vee$ is finite,[23] and thus equal to $\mu_{n_0} \subset \mathrm{G}_m$ for some n_0. For any integer n divisible by n_0, we can enlarge H'_1 to $H'_n := H'_1 \cdot S^\vee[n]$, which now surjects onto H with kernel

---

[22] I use this awful notation to remain consistent with the 'dual picture' that we will later use to think about these questions.

[23] The simplest example is $\mathrm{SL}_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$.

$S^\vee[n] \cong \mu_n$. These isogenies yield obstruction classes $c_n \in H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ (as Γ_F-module, the $\mu_n \subset S^\vee[n]$ is of course trivial) that are compatible under the natural maps $\mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/n'$ for $n|n'$. Tate's theorem ([Ser77]) tells us that

$$\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/n) = H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

so for sufficiently large n, there exists a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to H'_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. $\square$

Remark 4.0.19.

- Note that crucial to lifting here is the ability to enlarge the coefficients: if $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a morphism of groups over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and ρ lands in $H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, then we only obtain a lift to $H'(\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\mu_n))$ for sufficiently large n.
- For example (and similarly in general; compare Lemma 13.0.7), in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n$, this proof produces lifts with finite-order determinant. If ρ is Hodge-Tate, it is possible that no such lift is also Hodge-Tate. These non-Hodge-Tate Galois lifts have no parallel in the 'toy model' of Example 4.0.17, but we will use them as a stepping-stone toward finding geometric lifts, just as in the automorphic context we can choose an initial, possibly non-algebraic, lift, and then modify it to something algebraic (see Proposition 12.3.1). By contrast, in the 'motivic' version of this problem (see §17), the motivic Galois group does not have the extra flexibility to admit such 'non-algebraic' lifts.
- If ρ is almost everywhere unramified, then it is easy to see that $\tilde{\rho}$ is almost everywhere unramified; see Lemma 5.2 of [Con11].

The problem of lifting through the isogeny $H'_1 \to H$ (or any isogeny $H' \to H$) is taken up in [Win95]. Before describing this work, we state results of Wintenberger and Conrad related to lifting p-adic Hodge theory properties through central quotients.[24]

Theorem 4.0.20 (Corollary 6.7 of [Con11])**.** *Let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a surjection of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ with central kernel of multiplicative type. For $K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ finite, let $\rho\colon \Gamma_K \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a representation satisfying a basic ℓ-adic Hodge theory property*[25] **P**. *Provided that ρ admits a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_K \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, it has a lift satisfying* **P** *if and only if $\rho|_{I_K}$ has a Hodge-Tate lift $I_K \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.*

Remark 4.0.21. When the kernel of $H' \to H$ is a central torus, Proposition 4.0.18 shows that ρ always has some lift. We will see later (Corollary 13.0.16) that it even always has a Hodge-Tate lift, so there is no obstruction to finding a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_K \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ satisfying **P**. Even simple cases of this theorem yield very interesting results: for instance, applied to $H' = \mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2} \to \mathrm{GL}_{n_1 n_2} = H$, it shows that if a tensor product of Γ_K-representations satisfies **P**, then up to twist they themselves satisfy **P**. We will use this example in Proposition 14.2.7.

We now sketch the proof of Wintenberger's main global result. This provides an occasion to simplify and generalize the arguments using subsequent progress in p-adic Hodge theory; it also serves to make clear what these methods do and do not prove, and to set up a contrast with the quite different techniques that we will use.

Theorem 4.0.22 (2.1.4 of [Win95])**.** *Let $H' \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ be a central isogeny of linear algebraic groups over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of F. Then there exist two extensions of number fields $F'' \supset F' \supset F$, and a finite set of finite places S' of F' such that for any prime number ℓ and any representation $\rho_\ell\colon \Gamma_F \to H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ satisfying*

- *ρ_ℓ has good reduction*[26] *outside S;*

---

[24] Wintenberger treated isogenies; the general case is due to Conrad.

[25] Hodge-Tate, de Rham, semistable, crystalline

[26] Meaning unramified for places not dividing ℓ, and crystalline for places above ℓ.

- *For all $v|\ell$, the one-parameter subgroups $\mu_v \colon \mathrm{G}_m \to H_{\mathbb{C}_{F_v}}$ giving the Hodge-Tate structure of $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ lift to $H'_{\mathbb{C}_{F_v}}$;*[27]

then the restriction $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ admits a geometric lift ρ'_ℓ, unramified outside S', to $H'(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; if ρ_ℓ is crystalline (resp. semistable) at places above ℓ, then the lift may be chosen crystalline (resp. semistable). Moreover, the restriction $\rho'_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}}$ is unique, i.e. independent of the initial choice of lift ρ'_ℓ.

Proof. We follow Wintenberger's arguments in detail, simplifying where the technology allows. First we explain some generalities: since the map on $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-points $\pi_\ell \colon H'(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \to H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ need not be surjective, we have an initial obstruction $O^1(\rho_\ell)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_F, H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \ker \pi))$ given by the composition

$$\Gamma_F \xrightarrow{\rho_\ell} H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/\operatorname{im}(\pi_\ell) \hookrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \ker \pi(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)).$$

We have the choice of killing this obstruction by enlarging $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ to some finite extension, or by restricting F; following Wintenberger, we will do the former. Having dealt with this obstruction, we will face the more serious lifting obstruction $O^2(\rho_\ell)$ in $H^2(\Gamma_F, \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))$.

With these preliminaries aside, we construct the field F', first dealing with all but the (finite) set of ℓ lying below a place in S. Let $a(\pi)$ be the annihilator of $\ker \pi$. The field F' (and set of places S') will be defined, independent of ℓ, in the following three steps:

- Let F_1 be the maximal abelian extension of exponent $a(\pi)$, unramified outside S. For all ℓ and ρ_ℓ, the class $O^1(\rho_\ell)$ dies after restriction to Γ_{F_1}: outside of $S_\ell = S \cup \{v|\ell\}$, it is of course unramified, and if $v|\ell$ but $v \notin S$ (i.e. $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ is crystalline), then Théorème 1.1.3 of [Win95]) shows the existence of a crystalline lift, valued in $H'(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, of $\rho_\ell|_{I_{F_v}}$.[28]
- Let F_2/F_1 be a totally imaginary extension containing $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{a(\pi)})$ and such that for all places v' above a place v in S, $a(\pi)$ divides the local degree $[F_{2,v'} : F_{1,v}(\zeta_{a(\pi)})]$. If we write S_2 for the set of places of F_2 above S, ℓ, or $a(\pi_\ell)$ (the annihilator of $\ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$), then this implies local triviality of the obstruction classes, as long as we assume S contains no places above ℓ. That is,

  $$O^2(\rho_\ell) \in \ker \left(H^2(\Gamma_{F_2,S_2}, \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S_2 \cup S_\infty} H^2(\Gamma_{F_v}, \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \right).$$

  At v' above S, the fact that F_2 contains $\zeta_{a(\pi)}$ makes the local obstruction class a Brauer obstruction, which is killed over F_2 by the assumption $a(\pi) | [F_{2,v'} : F_{1,v}(\zeta_{a(\pi)})]$. At places not in S but above $a(\pi_\ell)$ there is no obstruction, since unramified reprsentations always lift (granted that $O^1(\rho_\ell)$ is trivial). Finally, at places above ℓ, which we have assumed for now do not lie in S, Wintenberger's local result implies the existence of a (crystalline, even) lift.[29]
- Let F_3 be the Hilbert class field of F_2. By global duality, the above kernel is Pontryagin dual to

  $$\ker \left(H^1(\Gamma_{F_2,S_2}, \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^*) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S_2 \cup S_\infty} H^1(\Gamma_{F_2,S_2}, \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^*) \right)$$

  The Galois module $\ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^*$ is trivial since F_2 contains $\zeta_{a(\pi)}$, so this is just the space of homomorphisms $\Gamma_{F_2} \to \ker \pi(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ that are unramified everywhere and trivial at the places S_2. Restriction of the class $O^2(\rho_\ell)$ to F_3 corresponds to (via global duality for F_3 now) the *transfer* on the Pontryagin dual of the H^1's, so by the Hauptidealsatz, $O^2(\rho_\ell)$ dies upon restriction to F_3.

---

[27] When some local lift $I_{F_v} \to H'(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ exists, this condition is equivalent to the existence of a local Hodge-Tate lift. When $\rho_\ell|_{I_{F_v}}$ is moreover crystalline, or semistable, it is equivalent to the existence of a crystalline, or semistable, lift.

[28] Since weakly-admissible is now known to be equivalent to admissible, Wintenberger's argument applies without restriction on the Hodge-Tate weights, or the ramification of the local field $F_v/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

[29] On the full decomposition group Γ_{F_v}, this is the Proposition of 1.2 of [Win95]; to extend from I_{F_v} to Γ_{F_v}, one must use the fact that a crystalline lift on inertia is unique.

We conclude that, independent of ℓ not below places of S, and of ρ_ℓ satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem, there exist lifts over the number field F_3. To deal with ℓ for which the local representations are not crystalline, we apply Proposition 0.3 of [Win95] to find a finite extension $F(\ell)/F$, unramified outside S_ℓ, and such that all $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F(\ell)}}$ will lift.[30] Enlarging F_3 by the composite of the $F(\ell)$ (for ℓ below places of S), we obtain a number field F_4 and a set of places S_4 equal to all places above S or $a(\pi)$, such that for *all* ℓ, any ρ_ℓ as in the theorem has a lift with good reduction outside S_4 after restriction to Γ_{F_4}.

The final step of the argument makes one final extension to show that these lifts can be taken crystalline (or semistable) whenever ρ_ℓ is, and even unramified outside all primes above S_ℓ (including those above $a(\pi)$, although this is not necessary for the theorem's conclusion).[31] This will be the number field F', and the set of places S' can then be taken to be those above S. Finally, all such lifts ρ'_ℓ (with good reduction outside S') are the same over the maximal abelian extension of exponent $a(\pi)$, unramified outside S', of F'; this is our F''. □

Remark 4.0.23. We can apply the theorem to a system of representations ρ_ℓ all having good reduction outside S, and all having liftable Hodge-Tate cocharacters (which in the 'motivic' case we may assume all arise from a common underlying geometric Hodge structure), to obtain a common number field F', with finite set of places S', and a further extension F'' such that the whole system lifts to F' (with good reduction outside S'), and such that all the lifts are unique over F''. The crucial limitation of this result is that, even if the ρ_ℓ are assumed to be the system of ℓ-adic realizations of some motive (for absolute Hodge cycles, as in [Win95], or for motivated cycles), the proof of the theorem produce lifts ρ'_ℓ that are neither (proven to be) motivic nor even weakly compatible (almost everywhere locally conjugate). So, even with the strong uniqueness properties ensured by Wintenberger's theorem, it is still not at all clear how to lift a compatible (or even motivic) system of ℓ-adic representations to another compatible (or motivic) system.

Here is the simplest cautionary example of how weakly compatible systems need not lift to weakly compatible systems; it arises from an example due to Serre (see [Lar94]) involving projective representations that are everywhere locally, but not globally, conjugate:

Example 4.0.24. For any positive integer n, let H_n denote the Heisenberg group of upper-triangular unipotent elements in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. This is a non-split extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/n \to H_n \to \mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n \to 1,$$

and writing A and B for lifts of the generators of the quotient copies of $\mathbb{Z}/n$, and Z for a generator of the center, we have the commutation relation $[A, B] = Z$. Now let ζ be a primitive n^{th} root of unity; for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n$, we can then define a representation $\rho_\alpha \colon H_n \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by, in the standard basis e_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and abusively letting e_0 denote e_n as well,

$$\begin{aligned} A(e_i) &= e_{i-1} \\ B(e_i) &= \zeta^{(i-1)\alpha} e_i \\ Z(e_i) &= \zeta^{\alpha} e_i \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. One can check that for all $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the associated projective representations $\overline{\rho_\alpha} \colon H_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are everywhere locally conjugate. Nevertheless, for distinct α, they are not globally conjugate: if they were, then the corresponding $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$-representations would be twist-equivalent. The implications for our problem are the following: take $\overline{\rho_\alpha}$ and $\overline{\rho_\beta}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and form a weakly-compatible system $\rho_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \twoheadrightarrow H_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (H_n certainly arises as Galois group of a finite extension of number fields.) in which some

---

[30] This is a 'soft' result. It is easy to see that for fixed ℓ, all ρ_ℓ unramified outside S lift after restriction to some $F(\ell)$. The point of Wintenberger's theorem is that, with the ℓ-adic Hodge theory hypotheses, $F(\ell)$ can be taken independent of ℓ.

[31] We omit the details. See Lemme 2.3.5 and following of [Win95].

ρ_ℓ are formed from $\overline{\rho_\alpha}$ and others from $\overline{\rho_\beta}$. There is then no system of weakly-compatible lifts $\tilde{\rho}_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, since any character of Γ_F is trivial on Z (viewed as an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{ab}F'/F)$, where F'/F is the given H_n-extension; note that $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{ab}F'/F^{ab})$ is generated by Z). Also note that we can even produce such examples where the lifts to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ have the same determinant:

$$\det(\rho_\alpha)\colon \begin{cases} A \mapsto (-1)^{n-1}; \\ B \mapsto 1 \text{ if } n \text{ is odd, or if } \alpha \text{ and } n \text{ are even; } -1 \text{ otherwise;} \\ Z \mapsto 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, even weakly compatible systems $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ need not have compatible lifts through the isogeny $\mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n \times \mathrm{G}_m$.

To connect this example more explicitly with endoscopy, note that $\mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\overline{\rho_\alpha})$ is the (cyclic order n) subgroup generated by $\overline{\rho_\alpha}(A)$, whereas ρ_α itself is irreducible. These centralizers partially govern the multiplicities of discrete automorphic representations in Arthur's conjectures. Explicitly, $\rho_\alpha(ABA^{-1}) = \zeta^\alpha B$.

5. GL_1

A detailed analysis of the GL_1 theory provides both motivation and technical tools for addressing the more general Galois and automorphic lifting problems.

5.1. The automorphic side.

We begin by reviewing some basic facts about Hecke characters $\psi\colon \mathbf{A}_F^\times/F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Any such ψ is the twist by $|\cdot|^r$, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$, of a unitary Hecke character. At each place v of F, $\psi_v = \psi|_{F_v^\times}$ can be decomposed via projection to the maximal compact subgroup in $F_v^\times$; at $v|\infty$, this lets us write any unitary ψ_v in terms of a given embedding $\iota_v\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\psi_v(x_v) = (\iota_v(x_v)/|\iota_v(x_v)|)^{m_v} |\iota_v(x_v)|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v},$$

with $m_v = m_{\iota_v}$ an integer, and t_v a real number. To avoid cumbersome notation, we will sometimes omit reference to the embedding ι_v. Hecke characters encode finite Galois-theoretic information as well as rather subtle archimedean information; the latter will be particularly relevant in what follows, and the basic observation ([Wei56]) is:

Lemma 5.1.1. *There is a (unitary, say) Hecke character ψ of F with archimedean parameters $\{m_v, t_v\}_{v|\infty}$, as above, if and only if for some positive integer M, all global units $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ satisfy*

$$\left(\prod_{v|\infty} \left(\frac{\iota_v(\alpha)}{|\iota_v(\alpha)|} \right)^{m_v} |\iota_v(\alpha)|^{it_v} \right)^M = 1.$$

Equivalently, the inside product is trivial for all α in some finite-index subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$.

Recall that ψ is said to be of type A if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $t_v = 0$ for all v. Then Weil ([Wei56]) shows:

Lemma 5.1.2 (Weil)**.** *Let χ be a type A Hecke character locally trivialized by the modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. χ then induces a character $\chi^{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $I^{\mathfrak{m}}$, the group of non-zero, prime to $\mathfrak{m}$, fractional ideals, whose values are all algebraic numbers.*

Later we will be interested in a higher-rank version of the type A condition; in current discussions of algebraicity of automorphic representations, people tend to focus on analogues of type A_0. We recall a basic result of Weil-Artin ([Wei56]), which will later inspire much of our discussion in higher-rank as well.

Lemma 5.1.3 (Weil-Artin)**.** *Let ψ be a type-A Hecke character of a number field F. Write F_{cm} for the maximal CM subfield of F. Then there exists a finite-order Hecke character χ_0 of F and a type-A Hecke character ψ' of F_{cm} such that $\psi = \chi_0 \cdot \mathrm{BC}_{F/F_{cm}}(\psi')$. If F_{cm} is totally real, then ψ is a finite-order twist of some power $|\cdot|^r$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, of the absolute value.*

Remark 5.1.4. We emphasize that the true content of this result, which should fully generalize to higher-rank (see Proposition 6.2.4), is that the infinity-type of a type-A Hecke character descends to the maximal CM subfield.

Proof. Weil leaves it as an exercise, so we give a proof. We then indicate a second proof, which we will apply more generally in Proposition 6.2.4. First assume $F/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, and set $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. We may regard F as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ (i.e., choose an embedding), so the above relation becomes

$$\prod_{g \in G} g(\alpha)^{m_g} = 1$$

for all α in some finite-index subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$ and some integers m_g. Now take any embedding $\iota \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, using it to define a complex conjugation c_ι on F, and thus on G. In particular, when F is totally imaginary we can rewrite the above as

$$\prod_{G/c_\iota} g(\alpha)^{m_g} (c_\iota g)(\alpha)^{m_{c_\iota g}} = 1.$$

Applying $\log|\iota(\cdot)|$, we get (for all α)

$$\sum_{G/c_\iota} (m_g + m_{c_\iota g}) \log |\iota(g(\alpha))|_{\mathbb{C}} = 0,$$

which by the unit theorem is only possible when $m_g + m_{c_\iota g}$ equals a constant w_ι independent of g; the analogous argument in the totally real case shows that the m_g themselves are independent of g, concluding that case of the proof. But w_ι is independent of ι as well,[32] hence $m_g + m_{c_\iota g}$ is independent both of g and the choice of conjugation. This implies that for any two ι, ι', $m_g = m_{c_\iota c_{\iota'} g}$, and since F_{cm} is precisely the fixed field (in F) of the subgroup generated by all products $c_\iota c_{\iota'}$, we are done.

Now let F be arbitrary, and let ψ be a type A Hecke character of F. As usual letting $\tilde{F}$ denote the Galois closure, we know from the Galois case that $\psi|_{\tilde{F}}$ has infinity-type descending to $(\tilde{F})_{cm}$. Setting $H_1 = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$ and $H_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/(\tilde{F})_{cm})$, the values m_{ι_v} (for embeddings $\iota_v \colon \tilde{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$) are constant on H_1-orbits (by construction) and H_2-orbits (by the Galois case), hence on $H_1 H_2$-orbits. But $F \cap (\tilde{F})_{cm} = F_{cm}$, so $H_1 H_2 = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F_{cm})$, and the infinity-type descends all the way down to F_{cm}, proving the lemma in general.

Later, we will generalize the following argument (see Proposition 6.2.4 for more details) in the totally imaginary case: for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, there is a Hecke character ${}^\sigma\psi$ whose finite part is ${}^\sigma\psi_f(x) = \sigma(\psi_f(x))$ and whose infinity-type is given by the integers $m_{\sigma^{-1}\iota_v}$ (the key but easy check is $F^\times$-invariance). We may assume ψ is unitary, so ${}^c\psi = \psi^{-1}$, and therefore the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(\psi_f) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ such that ${}^\sigma\psi_f \cong \psi_f$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$. The result follows from some Galois theory.

As pointed out to me by Brian Conrad, a third, more algebraic, approach is possible, where infinity-types of algebraic Hecke characters are related to (algebraic) characters of the connected Serre group; compare the discussion of potentially abelian motives in §16.4.1. Of course, in all arguments, the unit theorem is the essential ingredient. □

We will have to analyze Hecke characters in the following setting: An automorphic representation π on an F-group G has a central character $\omega_\pi \colon Z_G(F)\backslash Z_G(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, and we will want to understand the possible infinity-types of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z_G(F)\backslash Z_G(\mathbf{A}) \arrow[r, "\omega_\pi"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C}^\times \\
\tilde{Z}(F)\backslash \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}) \arrow[ru, dashed, "\tilde{\omega}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[32] For instance, whatever ι, $\frac{1}{2}|G|w_\iota = \sum_{g \in G} m_g$.

where $\tilde{Z}$ is an F-torus containing Z_G. The basic case to which this is reduced, for split groups at least, is where $Z_G = \mu_n$ and $\tilde{Z} = \mathbf{G}_m$, where we have to extend a character from $\mu_n(F)\backslash\mu_n(\mathbf{A})$ to the full idele class group $F^\times\backslash\mathbf{A}^\times$.

Lemma 5.1.5. *Let F be any number field. Given a continuous character $\omega\colon \mu_n(F)\backslash\mu_n(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{S}^1$, fix embeddings $\iota_v\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and write*

$$\omega_\infty\colon (x_v)_{v|\infty} \mapsto \iota_v(x_v)^{m_v}$$

for some set of residue classes $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then:

- *There exist type A (unitary) Hecke character extensions $\tilde{\omega}\colon F^\times\backslash\mathbf{A}^\times \to \mathrm{S}^1$ if and only if the images $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ depend only on the restriction $v|_{F_{cm}}$. There exists a finite-order extension $\tilde{\omega}$ if and only if for all complex $v|\infty$, the classes $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are all zero.*
- *The extension $\tilde{\omega}$ is unique up to the n^{th} power of another type A Hecke character, except in the Grunwald-Wang special case, where one first has two choices of extension to $C_F[n]$ (then the n^{th} power ambiguity).*
- *In particular, if F is totally real or CM, then (unitary) type A extensions of ω always exist. If F is totally real, they are finite-order, and if F is CM, a finite-order extension can be chosen if and only if $\omega|_{F_\infty^\times}$ is trivial.*

Proof. For the time being, let F be arbitrary. The cokernel of $\mu_n(F)\backslash\mu_n(\mathbf{A}) \to C_F[n]$ is either trivial or order 2 (the Grunwald-Wang special case), so we first choose an extension ω' to $C_F[n]$ (we will use this flexibility in Proposition 12.2.2. Then Pontryagin duality gives an isomorphism

$$C_F^D/nC_F^D \xrightarrow{\sim} (C_F[n])^D,$$

so there exists some $\tilde{\omega} \in C_F^D$ extending ω', and it is unique up to n^{th} powers. Restricted to $F_\infty^\times$, we can write (implicitly invoking ι_v)

$$\tilde{\omega}_\infty\colon (x_v)_{v|\infty} \to \prod_{v|\infty}(x_v/|x_v|)^{m_v}|x_v|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v}$$

for integers m_v and real numbers t_v. Then a type A lift of ω' exists if and only if there is a Hecke character ψ with infinity-type

$$\psi_\infty\colon (x_v) \mapsto \prod_{v|\infty}(x_v/|x_v|)^{f_v}|x_v|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v/n}$$

for some integers f_v ($\tilde{\omega}\psi^{-n}$ is then the desired type A character). Following Weil, the existence of a Hecke character $\tilde{\omega}$ corresponding to the infinity-data $\{m_v, t_v\}_v$ is equivalent to the existence of some integer M such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$,

$$\prod_{v|\infty}(\alpha/|\alpha|)^{m_v M}|\alpha|_v^{it_v M} = 1,$$

and, similarly, such a ψ can exist if and only if there exists $M' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\prod_{v|\infty}(\alpha/|\alpha|)^{f_v MM'n}|\alpha|_v^{it_v MM'} = 1$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$. Substituting, the left-hand side is $\prod_{v|\infty}(\alpha/|\alpha|)^{(f_v n - m_v)MM'}$, which can equal 1 for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ if and only if there exists a type A Hecke character with infinity-type

$$(x_v) \mapsto \prod_{v|\infty}(x_v/|x_v|)^{f_v n - m_v}.$$

By Weil's classification of type A Hecke characters, this is possible if and only if $f_v n - m_v$ depends only on $v|_{F_{cm}}$. This in turn is possible (for some choice of f_v) if and only if $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ depends only on $v|_{F_{cm}}$. In particular, over CM and totally real fields F, there is no obstruction, so a type A lift of ω always exists.

The claim about existence of finite-order extensions is a simple variant: if all m_v (v complex) are zero in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then there is a type A lift $\tilde{\omega}$ with infinity-type

$$\tilde{\omega}_\infty \colon (x_v)_{v|\infty} \to \prod_{v|\infty} (x_v/|x_v|)^{m_v}$$

for some integers m_v, all divisible by n and only depending on $\iota_v|_{F_{cm}}$. But then there is also a type A Hecke character ψ of F with infinity-type

$$\psi_\infty \colon (x_v)_{v|\infty} \to \prod_{v|\infty} (x_v/|x_v|)^{m_v/n},$$

so $\tilde{\omega}\psi^{-n}$ is a finite-order extension of ω.

As for uniqueness, once a type A lift of ω' is chosen, any other is (by the discussion at the start of the proof) a twist by the n^{th} power of another Hecke character, which must itself clearly be of type A. This is then the ambiguity in extending ω itself, except in the Grunwald-Wang special case, in which there is the additional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ambiguity noted above. □

Remark 5.1.6.

- Of course any quasi-character of $\mu_n(\mathbf{A})$ is unitary, and to understand all extensions it suffices (twisting by powers of $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{A}}$) to understand unitary extensions– hence the restriction to S^1 instead of $\mathbb{C}^\times$.
- This raises a tantalizing question: certainly over a non-CM field F we can produce characters ω that have no type A extensions (although they certainly all have some extension to a Hecke character, by Pontryagin duality). What if ω actually arises as the central character of a suitably algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation (on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A})$, for instance)? We return to this in §6.2

A few consequences, either of the result or the method of proof, will follow; first, though, let us make a definition:

Definition 5.1.7. Let F be any number field, and let $\psi \in C_F^D$ be a unitary Hecke character. We say that ψ is *of Maass type* if for all $v|\infty$, the restriction $\psi_v \colon F_v^\times \to \mathrm{S}^1$ has the form $x \mapsto |x|^{it_v}$ for real numbers t_v.

Corollary 5.1.8. *Let $\psi \colon C_F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character of a number field F.*

- *If F is totally real or CM, then ψ can be decomposed as*

  $$\psi = \psi_{alg}\psi_{Maass}\psi_{fin}|\cdot|^w,$$

  where w is the unique real number twisting ψ to a unitary character, ψ_{alg} is unitary type A, ψ_{Maass} is of Maass type, and ψ_{fin} is finite order. The last three characters are all unique up to finite-order characters.
- *If ψ is of Maass type (after twisting to a unitary character), with F arbitrary, then ψ is 'nearly divisible': for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist Hecke characters χ of Maass type and χ_0 of finite order such that $\chi^n\chi_0 = \psi$. In particular, after a finite base-change ψ is n-divisible.*
- *Write $\mathcal{A}_F(1)$ for the space (topological group) of all Hecke characters of F. Suppose F is CM, of degree $2s$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there is an exact sequence*

  $$1 \to \Gamma_F^D \to \mathcal{A}_F(1) \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^s \times \mathbb{Q}^{s-1} \to 1.$$

(As always, Γ_F^D denotes $\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\Gamma_F, \mathrm{S}^1)$; it is the space of Dirichlet characters.) For the totally real subfield F_+ of F, we have a similar sequence

$$1 \to \Gamma_{F_+}^D \to \mathcal{A}_{F_+}(1) \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}^{s-1} \to 1.$$

It is also possible (via the unit theorem) to compute all possible infinity-types of Hecke characters of any number field, but we will make no use of this calculation. Roughly speaking, the new transcendentals that arise in the 'mixed' case where algebraic and Maass parts cannot be separated are the arguments (angles) of fundamental units. It is very tempting to ask whether for CM (or totally real fields) algebraic and spherical

(‘Maass’) infinity-types on higher-rank groups can twist together in a non-trivial way. If this question is too naïve, is there any other higher-rank generalization of part 1 of Corollary 5.1.8?

We include a couple other related useful results. For the first, note that in contrast with the situation for ℓ-adic Galois characters (see Lemma 5.2.2 below), a general Hecke character cannot be written as an n^{th}-power up to a finite-order twist.[33]

Lemma 5.1.9. *Let ψ be a Hecke character of F, and suppose that L/F is a finite Galois extension over which $\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\psi) = \psi \circ N_{L/F}$ is an n^{th}-power. Then up to a finite-order twist, ψ itself is an n^{th}-power.*

Proof. Let ω be a Hecke character of L such that $\psi \circ N_{L/F} = \omega^n$. Then ω is $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariant, and the next lemma shows that ω descends to F up to a finite-order twist □

The next lemma completes the previous one, and also enables a slight refinement of a result of Rajan (see below):

Lemma 5.1.10. *Let L/F be a Galois extension of number fields, and let ψ be a $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariant Hecke character of L. Then there exists a Hecke character ψ_F of F and a finite-order Hecke character ψ_0 of L such that $\psi = (\psi_F \circ N_{L/F}) \cdot \psi_0$.*

Proof. We may assume ψ is unitary, and we may choose, for all infinite places w of L, embeddings $\iota_w \colon L_w \to \mathbb{C}$ such that all ι_w for w above a fixed place v of F restrict to the same embedding $\iota_v \colon F_v \to \mathbb{C}$. $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ acts transitively on the places $w|v$, so when we write

$$\psi_w(x_w) = \left(\frac{\iota_w(x_w)}{|\iota_w(x_w)|}\right)^{m_w} \cdot |\iota_w(x_w)|^{it_w},$$

$\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariance implies that the m_w and t_w depend only on the place v below w (from now on in the proof, the embeddings ι_w will be implicit). We therefore denote these by m_v and t_v. Lemma 5.1.1 implies there is a Hecke character of F with infinity-type given by the data $\{m_v, t_v\}_v$. Namely, there is an integer M such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$,

$$1 = \left(\prod_{w|\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)^{m_w} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_w}\right)^M.$$

Restricting to $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$, this becomes

$$1 = \left(\prod_{v|\infty}\prod_{w|v} \left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)^{m_v} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v}\right)^M = \left(\prod_{v|\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)^{m_v} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v}\right)^{M\#\{w|v\}},$$

($\#\{w|v\}$ is independent of v) which is simply the criterion for there to be a Hecke character of F with infinity-type given by the collection $\{m_v, t_v\}_v$. Any such character has base-change differing from ψ by a finite-order character, so we are done. □

Remark 5.1.11. The aforementioned theorem of Rajan describes the image of solvable base-change: precisely, for a solvable extension L/F of number fields and a $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariant cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_L)$, Theorem 1 of [Raj02] asserts that there is a cuspidal representation π_F of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and a $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariant Hecke character ψ of L such that

$$\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\pi_0) \otimes \psi = \pi.$$

Lemma 5.1.10 shows that this ψ may be chosen finite-order; in particular, for some cyclic extension L'/L (so L'/F is still solvable), $\mathrm{BC}_{L'/L}(\pi)$ descends to F.

---

[33] Consider, for example, a type A Hecke character whose integral parameters at infinity are not divisible by n.

5.2. **Galois** GL_1**.** We now discuss (continuous) Galois characters $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. For the time being, F is any number field. These are necessarily almost everywhere unramified, and we focus on ℓ-adic Hodge theory aspects. The following is well-known, a combination of work of Serre, Henniart, and Waldschimdt:

Proposition 5.2.1. *Suppose that $\hat{\psi}$ is de Rham. Then for all places v not dividing ℓ, $\hat{\psi}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ assumes algebraic values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\iota_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and there exists a type A_0 (i.e. $L = C$-algebraic) Hecke character $\psi\colon \mathbf{A}_F/F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ corresponding to $\hat{\psi}$ (via $\iota_\infty\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$). Moreover, $\hat{\psi}$ is motivic: the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture holds for* GL_1/F.

We make repeated use of the following well-known observation (see for instance Lemma 3.1 of [Con11]).

Lemma 5.2.2. *Let $\chi\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be an ℓ-adic Galois character. For any non-zero integer m, there are characters $\chi_1, \chi_0\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, with χ_0 finite-order, such that $\chi = (\chi_1)^m\chi_0$.*

As on the automorphic side, we want to consider Galois characters somewhat outside the algebraic range. As is customary, we write $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho)$ to indicate the $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-labeled Hodge-Tate weights of an ℓ-adic Galois representation ρ; see §10 for details, as well as for what we mean when we write non-integral τ-labeled Hodge-Tate-Sen weights.

Corollary 5.2.3. *Let F be a totally imaginary field, and n a non-zero integer. For all $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, fix an integer k_τ. Then there exists a Galois character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ with $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\hat{\psi}) = \frac{k_\tau}{n}$ (respectively, with $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\hat{\psi}) \equiv \frac{k_\tau}{n} \mod \mathbb{Z}$) if and only if*

1. *k_τ depends only on $\tau_0 := \tau|_{F_{cm}}$ (respectively, only depends modulo n on τ_0);*
2. *and there exists an integer w such that $k_{\tau_0} + k_{\tau_0 \circ c} = w$ (respectively, $k_{\tau_0} + k_{\tau_0\circ c} \equiv w \mod n$) for all τ, and c the complex conjugation on F_{cm}.*[34]

Proof. Suppose such a $\hat{\psi}$ exists, with weights $\frac{k_\tau}{n}$. $\hat{\psi}^n$ is geometric, and so (via ι_ℓ and ι_∞) there exists a type A_0 Hecke character ψ of F corresponding to $\hat{\psi}^n$. For $v|\infty$ and $\iota_v\colon F_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$, $\psi|_{F_v^\times}$ is given by

$$\psi_v(x_v) = \iota_v(x_v)^{k_{\tau^*(\iota_v)}}\bar{\iota}_v(x_v)^{k_{\tau^*(\bar{\iota}_v)}},$$

where $\tau^*(\iota_v) = \tau^*_{\ell,\infty}(\iota_v)$ denotes the embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ induced by ι_v, ι_ℓ, and ι_∞. By purity for Hecke characters, there is an integer w such that $k_{\tau^*(\iota_v)} + k_{\tau^*(\bar{\iota}_v)} = w$; moreover, by Weil's descent result, $k_{\tau^*(\iota_v)}$ depends only on $\iota_v|_{F_{cm}}$. The constraint on the weights follows.

Conversely, given a set of weights satisfying the purity constraint, we form a putative infinity-type $p_{\iota_v} = k_{\tau^*(\iota_v)}$ for a Hecke character of F; that this is in fact an achievable infinity-type follows from Weil. We form the associated geometric Galois character and then use the fact that, up to a finite-order twist, we can always extract n^{th} roots of ℓ-adic characters.

The $\mod n$ statement is a simple modification. For instance, to construct a character with given weights satisfying the purity constraint modulo n, proceed as follows. Choose a maximal set modulo c of embeddings $\tau_0\colon F_{cm} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Choose lifts to $\mathbb{Q}$ of the congruence classes $\frac{k_{\tau_0}}{n} \mod \mathbb{Z}$, and declare $k_\tau = k_{\tau_0}$ for all τ lying above these τ_0. Then choose $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ lifting $k_{\tau_0} + k_{\tau_0\circ c} \pmod n$, which is possible by hypothesis, and set $k_{\tau_0 \circ c} = w - k_{\tau_0}$. □

The same technique yields an easy example of the constraints on Galois characters over totally real fields:

Lemma 5.2.4. *Suppose F is totally real, and $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a character with all Hodge-Tate-Sen weights in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then all of these weights are equal. Conversely, for $x, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are global characters with all HTS weights equal to $\frac{x}{d}$.*

---

[34] If F is Galois, we can rephrase this as $k_\tau + k_{\tau\circ c} = w$ for all choices of complex conjugation c on F.

Proof. For some integer d, all the HTS weights lie in $\frac{1}{d}\mathbb{Z}$, so $\hat{\psi}^d$ is geometric, and therefore corresponds to a type A_0 Hecke character. F is totally real, so $\hat{\psi}^d$ must be, up to a finite-order twist, an integer power of the cyclotomic character. ◻

It is worth remarking that the most general answer to the question 'what Galois characters $\hat{\psi} \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ exist' is essentially Leopoldt's conjecture.

Finally, we will need a lemma refining the construction of certain Galois characters over CM fields:

Lemma 5.2.5. *Let F be a totally real field in which a prime $\ell \neq 2$ is unramified. Let $L = KF$ be its composite with an imaginary quadratic field K in which ℓ is inert, and let ψ be any unitary type A Hecke character of L. Then:*

1. *There exists a Galois character $\hat{\psi} \colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that $\hat{\psi}^2$ corresponds to ψ^2 (which is type A_0).*
2. *Moreover, the frobenius eigenvalues $\hat{\psi}(fr_v)$ at all unramified v lie in $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$.*

Proof. First, let m_{ι_v} as before denote the integers giving the infinity-type of ψ. Using the known algebraicity of ψ ([Wei56]) and the fixed embeddings ι_∞, ι_ℓ, we can define the ℓ-adic representation associated to ψ^2:

$$(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell \circ \mathrm{rec}_L^{-1} \colon \mathbf{A}_L^\times / (\overline{L^\times L_\infty^\times}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$$

$$(x_w) \mapsto \prod_{w \nmid \ell\infty} \psi_w(x_w)^2 \prod_{w \mid \ell} \left(\psi_w(x_w)^2 \prod_{\tau \colon L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x_w)^{m_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)}} \right).$$

By the dual Grunwald-Wang theorem, to show that $(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$ is the square of some character $\Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, it suffices to check that for all places w of L, the above character is locally on $L_w^\times$ a square. This in turn immediately reduces to seeing whether, for each $w|\ell$, the character $\chi_w \colon L_w^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ given by

$$\chi_w(x_w) = \prod_{\tau \colon L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x_w)^{m_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)}}$$

is a square. Writing

$$L_w^\times = \langle \ell \rangle \times \mu_\infty(L_w^\times) \times (1 + \ell \mathcal{O}_w),$$

it suffices to check on each component of this factorization. On the $\langle \ell \rangle$ factor, this is clear (choose a square root of $\chi_w(\ell)$). On the $1 + \ell\mathcal{O}_w$ factor, the ℓ-adic logarithm, using our hypotheses that $\ell \neq 2$ is unramified, lets us define a single-valued square-root function, and thus extract a square root of $\chi_w|_{1+\ell\mathcal{O}_w}$. Now note that the product over $\tau \colon L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a product over pairs of complex-conjugate embeddings extending a given $F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and $m_{\iota^*(\bar{\tau})} = m_{\overline{\iota^*(\tau)}} = -m_{\iota^*(\tau)}$ (ψ is unitary). $\mu_\infty(L_w^\times)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$, where q is the order of the residue field at w. Let $\zeta \mapsto 1$ give the isomorphism, for ζ a primitive $(q-1)^{st}$ root of unity. Complex conjugation must identify to multiplication by an element $r \in \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$ satisfying $r^2 \equiv 1 \mod q-1$; in particular, r is an odd residue class. Then $x \mapsto \tau(x)^{m_{\iota^*(\tau)}} \cdot (\tau \circ c)(x)^{-m_{\iota^*(\tau)}}$ takes ζ to an even power of $\tau(\zeta)$, hence $\chi_w|_{\mu_\infty(L_w^\times)}$ is a square.[35]

The second part of the lemma follows from the construction of $\hat{\psi}$ and the corresponding statement ([Wei56]) for the type A Hecke character ψ. ◻

6. Coefficients: general principles and generalizing Weil's CM descent

The principle behind this section is that careful attention to the 'field of coefficients' of an arithmetic object can yield non-trivial information about its 'field of definition,' or that of certain of its invariants.

---

[35] Note also that if ℓ is split in $L/\mathbb{Q}$, χ_w cannot be a square when $m_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)}$ is odd.

6.1. **Coefficients in Hodge theory.** We first record in an abstract setting a lemma whose motivation is 'doing Hodge theory with coefficients.' Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let F and E be extensions of k with F/k finite. Let D be a filtered $F \otimes_k E$-module that is free of rank d. Let E' be a Galois extension of E large enough to split F over k. For all (k-embeddings) $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow E'$, we define the τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ as follows: for such τ we have orthogonal idempotents $e_\tau \in F \otimes_k E'$ giving rise to projections

$$\begin{aligned} F \otimes_k E' &\xrightarrow[\sim]{(e_\tau)} \prod_\tau E' \\ x \otimes \alpha &\mapsto (\tau(x)\alpha)_\tau\,. \end{aligned}$$

The projection $e_\tau(D \otimes_E E')$ is then a filtered E'-vector space of dimension d, and we define $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ to be the collection of integers h (with multiplicity) such that

$$\mathrm{gr}^h(e_\tau(D \otimes_E E')) \neq 0.$$

A particular case in which we apply this formalism is that of, for $K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ a finite extension, a de Rham representation $\Gamma_K \to \mathrm{GL}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$. $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V)$ is then a filtered $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-module, with total space free of rank $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} V$. We write $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(V)$ for $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V))$. In this section, however, we emphasize the general formalism: one should really keep in mind not ℓ-adic Hodge theory, but rather the de Rham realization of a motive over F with coefficients in E.

Lemma 6.1.1. $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ *depends only on the* $\mathrm{Gal}(E'/E)$*-orbit of* $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow E'$. *If* E/k *is Galois, then* $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ *depends only on the restriction* $\tau|_{\tau^{-1}(E)}$.

Proof. Here is a coordinate-free proof, suggested by Brian Conrad to replace my original coordinate-heavy argument. We decompose $F \otimes_k E$ into a product of fields $\prod E_i$, writing $q_i\colon F \otimes_k E \twoheadrightarrow E_i$ for the quotient map. This yields filtered E_i-vector spaces D_i for all i. Any E-algebra homomorphism $\tau\colon F \otimes_k E \to E'$ factors through $q_{i(\tau)}$ for a unique index $i(\tau)$, and then $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ is simply the multi-set of weights of $D_{i(\tau)}$. This implies the first claim, since the $\mathrm{Gal}(E'/E)$-orbit of τ is simply all embeddings τ' for which $i(\tau') = i(\tau)$.

Now we assume E/k Galois and address the second claim. Having fixed a 'reference' embedding $\tau_0\colon F \hookrightarrow E'$, it makes sense to speak of $F \cap E := F \cap \tau_0^{-1}(E)$ inside E. Obviously E splits $F \cap E$ over k, so we can write

$$F \otimes_k E \cong F \otimes_{F\cap E} (F \cap E \otimes_k E) \cong \prod_\sigma F \otimes_{F\cap E,\sigma} E,$$

where the σ range over all embeddings $F \cap E \hookrightarrow E$. These factors $F \otimes_{F\cap E,\sigma} E$ are themselves fields, since E/k is Galois, and so this decomposition realizes explicitly the decomposition of $F \otimes_k E$ into a product of fields. In particular, by the first part of the Lemma, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ depends only on $i(\tau)$, i.e. only on the $\sigma\colon F \cap E \hookrightarrow E$ to which τ restricts. □

Definition 6.1.2. We call a filtered $F \otimes_k E$-module D *regular* if the multi-sets $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(D)$ are multiplicity-free.

A very simple application that we will use later is:

Corollary 6.1.3. *Let D be a filtered $L \otimes_k E$-module (globally free) for some finite extension L/F, and suppose L does not embed in $\tilde{E}$. Then the restriction of scalars (image under the forgetful functor)* $\mathrm{Res}_{L/F}(D)$ *is not regular.*

6.2. **Generalizing Weil's CM descent of type** A **Hecke characters.** In the coming sections we pursue higher-rank analogues of two aspects of Weil's paper [Wei56]. This section extends the important observation that type A Hecke characters of a number field F descend, up to a finite-order twist, to the maximal CM subfield F_{cm} (see Lemma 5.1.3). Of interest in its own right, this generalization also provides some of the intuition necessary for a general solution to Conrad's lifting question (Question 1.0.5).

The following notation will be in effect for the rest of the paper. Let G/F be a connected reductive group. For each $v|\infty$ fix an isomorphism $\iota_v \colon \overline{F}_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. For π an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$, we can write (in Langlands' normalization) the restriction to $W_{\overline{F}_v}$ of its L-parameter as

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_v}(\pi_v) \colon z \mapsto \iota_v(z)^{\mu_{\iota_v}} \overline{\iota_v}(z)^{\nu_{\iota_v}} \in T^\vee(\mathbb{C}).$$

with $\mu_{\iota_v}, \nu_{\iota_v} \in X^\bullet(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mu_{\iota_v} - \nu_{\iota_v} \in X^\bullet(T)$. For v imaginary, $\mu_{\overline{\iota_v}} = \nu_{\iota_v}$. Unless there is risk of confusion, we will omit reference to the embedding ι_v, writing $\mu_v = \mu_{\iota_v}$, etc. Recall that, in the terminology of [BG10], π is L-algebraic if for all $v|\infty$, μ_v and ν_v lie in $X^\bullet(T)$; it is C-algebraic if μ_v and ν_v lie in $\rho + X^\bullet(T)$, where ρ denotes the half-sum of the positive roots (with respect to our fixed Borel containing T used to define a based root datum of G).

Our starting point is a result (and, more generally, conjecture) of Clozel. Take $G = \mathrm{GL}_n/F$ and, for simplicity, F to be totally imaginary. We collect the data of π's archimedean L-parameters as $M = \{\mu_\iota\}_\iota$, which we will loosely refer to as the 'infinity-type' of π. For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, define the action ${}^\sigma M = \{\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\iota}\}_\iota$.

Theorem 6.2.1 (Théorème 3.13 of [Clo90])**.** *Let F be any number field, and suppose π is a cuspidal, C- or L-algebraic automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *that is moreover regular. Then π_f has a model over the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ of all automorphisms $\sigma \in$* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ *such that ${}^\sigma\pi_f \cong \pi_f$, with $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f)$ in fact a number field for π C-algebraic. For each $\sigma \in$* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ *there is a cuspidal representation ${}^\sigma\pi$ with finite part ${}^\sigma\pi_f = \pi_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C},\sigma} \mathbb{C}$ and with infinity-type ${}^\sigma M$.*

More generally, Clozel conjectures this for any C-algebraic isobaric automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Clozel's theorem and conjecture are stated for C-algebraic representations, but the L-algebraic analogue follows easily by twisting.

Hypothesis 6.2.2. *Throughout the rest of this section, we will assume that π is an isobaric C- or L-algebraic automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *satisfying the conclusion of Clozel's theorem.*

We first note an elementary but crucial refinement of Hypothesis 6.2.2:

Corollary 6.2.3. *The field $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f)$ is CM.*

Proof. It suffices to check for each factor in an isobaric decomposition, so we may assume π is cuspidal. Consider a unitary twist $\pi|\cdot|^w$, with w necessarily some half-integer w. Its field of definition is CM if and only if that of π is. Therefore we may assume π is unitary. The L^2 inner product then implies that $\pi^\vee \cong {}^c\pi$, which in turn implies that for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, ${}^{c\sigma}\pi \cong {}^{\sigma c}\pi$, and therefore that the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f)$ of $\{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) : {}^\sigma\pi \cong \pi\}$ is CM. ◻

We can now formulate (and prove under Hypothesis 6.2.2) the appropriate higher-rank generalization of Weil's result that type A Hecke characters of F, up to a finite-order twist, descend to F_{cm}.

Proposition-Conjecture 6.2.4. *Let π be as in Hypothesis 6.2.2. Conjecturally, this should hold for all isobaric C- or L-algebraic automorphic representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$*. Then the infinity-type of π descends to F_{cm}.*

Proof. Let E denote the Galois closure (in $\mathbb{C}$) of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f)$; E is also a CM field. Extend each $\iota \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to an embedding $\tilde{\iota} \colon \tilde{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the Galois closure $\tilde{F}$. The image $\tilde{\iota}(\tilde{F}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ does not depend on the extension. By the corollary, $\tilde{\iota}(\tilde{F})$ is linearly disjoint from E over $\tilde{\iota}((\tilde{F})_{cm})$, and therefore we can find $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/E)$ restricting (via $\tilde{\iota}$) to any element we like of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/(\tilde{F})_{cm})$; the collection of such σ acts transitively on the set of embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ lying above a fixed $F \cap (\tilde{F})_{cm} = F_{cm} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.[36] For any two such $\iota, \iota' \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (related by $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/E)$), we deduce, since ${}^\sigma\pi \cong \pi$ and hence ${}^\sigma M = M$, that $\mu_\iota = \mu_{\sigma^{-1}\iota} = \mu_{\iota'}$. ◻

Any study of algebraic automorphic forms over non-CM fields will need to take this result into account.

---

[36] $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F_{cm})$ is generated by $H = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$ and $H' = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/\tilde{F}_{cm})$, with H' normal. The set $\mathrm{Hom}_{F_{cm}}(F, \mathbb{C})$ is permuted transitively by G, with H acting trivially, so for any such embedding x, $H'x = H'Hx = Gx = \mathrm{Hom}_{F_{cm}}(F, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 6.2.5.

- If π is regular, this yields an unconditional descent result for its infinity-type.
- Let F be a CM field and π be a regular L or C-algebraic cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Suppose that $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\pi_0)$ for some extension L/F. Then L is CM. This modest consequence of the proposition suggests that in the study of regular automorphic representations/motives/Galois representations over CM fields, we will never have to leave the relative comfort of this setting. In §15.2 we discuss an abstract Galois-theoretic analogue.
- If we know more about $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f)$ than that it is CM (an extreme case: $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_f) = \mathbb{Q}$), the proof of the proposition yields a correspondingly stronger result. For the related formalism, see §6.1.

Remark 6.2.6. Let us also note that Proposition 6.2.4 is a 'seed' result (under Hypothesis 6.2.2); if we moreover assume functoriality (in a form that requires functorial transfers to be strong transfers– i.e. of archimedean L-packets– at infinity), then it implies:

- Let G be a connected reductive group over a totally imaginary field F, and let π be a cuspidal tempered L-algebraic automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Then the infinity-type of π descends to F_{cm}.
- Let G and π be as above. Let $\tilde{G} \supset G$ be a connected reductive F-group obtained by enlarging the center of G to a torus $\tilde{Z}$ (see §12). Then the central character $\omega_\pi \colon Z_G(F)\backslash Z_G(\mathbf{A}_F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ extends to an L-algebraic Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. (Compare Proposition 13.3.1.)

7. W-ALGEBRAIC REPRESENTATIONS

The current section generalizes a second aspect of [Wei56], discussing a higher-rank analogue of the type A, but not necessarily A_0, condition, and begins to motivate its arithmetic significance. As always, let G/F be a connected reductive group over a number field. We continue with the infinity-type notation of §6.2.

For many interesting questions about algebraicity of automorphic representations, and especially the interaction of algebraicity and functoriality, the framework of C and L-algebraic representations does not suffice. Motivated initially by Weil's study of type-A Hecke characters, we make the following definition:

Definition 7.0.7. Let π be an automorphic representation on a group G/F. We say that π is W-algebraic if for all $v|\infty$, μ_{ι_v} and ν_{ι_v} in fact lie in $\frac{1}{2}X^\bullet(T)$.

Example 7.0.8. For GL_1, a unitary W-algebraic representation is precisely a unitary Hecke character of type A in the sense of Weil ([Wei56]). Weil's type A characters also include arbitrary twists $|\cdot|^r$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, since these also yield L-series with algebraic coefficients. The L- and C-algebraic representations are the Hecke characters of type A_0.

Example 7.0.9. Consider a Hilbert modular form f on GL_2/F with (classical) weights $\{k_\tau\}_{\tau\colon F\hookrightarrow\mathbb{R}}$, where the positive integers k_τ are not all congruent modulo 2. f then gives rise to an automorphic representation (in the unitary normalization, say) that is W-algebraic but neither L- nor C-algebraic. The previous example yields a special case: choose a quadratic CM (totally imaginary) extension L/F, and let ψ be a unitary Hecke character of L that is type A but not type A_0. Then the automorphic induction $\mathrm{Ind}_L^F \psi$ yields an example on GL_2/F. We will elaborate on the case of mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms in §8.

We are led to ask (compare §6.2 and Conjectures 3.1.5 and 3.1.6 of [BG10]):

Question 7.0.10.

- Let π be a W-algebraic automorphic representation on G. Does the $G(\mathbf{A}_{F,f})$-module π_f have a model over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}^{cm}}$)?. Note that we do not seek a model over a fixed number field. Alternatively, is π_v defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for almost all finite places v? By analogy with the terminology of [BG10], we might call the latter condition W-arithmetic, and ask whether some condition on infinity-types characterizes W-arithmeticity.
- Similarly, we can ask the CM descent question of §6.2 for W-algebraic representations. Example 7.0.12 below will be a cautionary tale: if non-induced cuspidal Π with infinity-types as in that example exist, then there is no evidence that they would satisfy the analogue of Clozel's algebraicity

conjecture. If they do not exist, or if they miraculously still satisfy the conclusion of Clozel's theorem, then we could confidently extend the CM descent conjectures to the W-algebraic case.

For tori, the most optimistic conjecture holds:

Lemma 7.0.11. *For arbitrary F-tori, W-algebraic is equivalent to W-arithmetic.*

Proof. After squaring, this follows from the corresponding result for L-algebraic/L-arithmetic (§4 of [BG10]). ◻

Continuing with Example 7.0.8, let us emphasize that the W-algebraic condition does not capture all automorphic representations with algebraic Satake parameters; we nevertheless want to make a case for isolating this condition, rather than allowing arbitrary rational parameters $\mu_v, \nu_v \in X^\bullet(T)_\mathbb{Q}$, which would be the naïve analogue of type A. First, note that if a unitary π is tempered at infinity with real parameters $\mu_v, \nu_v \in X^\bullet(T)_\mathbb{R}$, then these parameters in fact lie in $\frac{1}{2}X^\bullet(T)$. In particular, Ramanujan implies that all cuspidal unitary π on GL_n/F with real infinity-type are in fact W-algebraic. It is easy to construct non-cuspidal automorphic representations with rational Satake parameters that do not twist to W-algebraic representations, but the point is that all such examples will be degenerate, so W-algebraicity is the condition of basic importance. A more interesting question, concerning the difference between W, L, and C-algebraicity, is the following:

Example 7.0.12. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ (for example; there are obvious analogues for any totally real field). Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be real quadratic, and let π as above be a mixed parity (unitary) Hilbert modular representation. It cannot be isomorphic to its $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$-conjugate, so $\Pi = \mathrm{Ind}_F^\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is cuspidal automorphic on $\mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$, and at infinity its Langlands parameter (restricted to $\mathbb{C}^\times$) looks like

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z/\bar{z})^{\frac{k_1-1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (z/\bar{z})^{\frac{1-k_1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (z/\bar{z})^{\frac{k_2-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (z/\bar{z})^{\frac{1-k_2}{2}}, \end{pmatrix}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the classical weights of π at the two infinite places of F. This exhibits the 'parity-mixing' within a single infinite place, which implies the following:

Lemma 7.0.13. *For any functorial transfer ${}^L\mathrm{GL}_4 \xrightarrow{r} {}^L\mathrm{GL}_N$ arising from an irreducible representation r, not equal to any power of the determinant, of* $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, *the transfer* $\mathrm{Lift}_r(\Pi)$ *cannot be L-algebraic.*

Proof. We have stated the lemma globally, and therefore conjecturally, but of course the analogous archimedean statement (which is well-defined since the local transfer of Π_∞ via r is known to exist) is all we are really interested in. The proof is elementary highest-weight theory. ◻

We will see (in §8) that mixed-parity Hilbert modular representations are W-arithmetic, using the fact that they have L-algebraic functorial transfers; by contrast, if a representation Π with the infinity-type of the above example is W-arithmetic, it may be harder to establish. Of course, in the above example, Π is automorphically induced, so its W-arithmeticity is an immediate consequence of that of π. We are lead to ask whether there exist non-automorphically induced examples of such Π, or more generally of cuspidal, non-induced Π on GL_n/F that exhibit the 'parity-mixing' within a single infinite place. The trace formula does not easily yield them, since such a Π is not the transfer from a classical group of a form that is discrete series at infinity (in these cases there is a parity constraint on regular elliptic parameters).

One further motivation for considering W-algebraic representations comes from studying the fibers of functorial lifts, and their algebraicity properties. For instance, given two mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms π_1 and π_2, with the (classical) weight of $\pi_{1,v}$ and $\pi_{2,v}$ having the same parity for each $v|\infty$, the tensor product $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is L-algebraic. The π_i are not themselves twists of L-algebraic automorphic representations, so

Π cannot be expressed as a tensor product of L-algebraic representations (this is proven in Corollary 8.2.2). This is, however, the ‘farthest’ from L-algebraic that the π_i’s can be:

Proposition 7.0.14. *Let Π be an L-algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{nn'}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ for some number field F. Assume that $\Pi = \pi \boxtimes \pi'$ is in the image of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n'} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_{nn'}$ for cuspidal automorphic representations π and π' of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{A}_F)$.*[37] *If F is totally real or CM, then there are quasi-tempered W-algebraic automorphic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and π' of $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\Pi = \pi \boxtimes \pi'$.*

Proof. The first part of the argument is similar to, and will make use of, Lemme 4.9 of [Clo90], which shows that Π_∞ itself is quasi-tempered. First assume F is totally imaginary. Let w_Π (the ‘motivic weight’) be the integer such that $\Pi|\cdot|^{-w_\Pi/2}$ is unitary, and write $z^{\mu_v}\overline{z}^{\nu_v}$ and $z^{\mu'_v}\overline{z}^{\nu'_v}$ for the L-parameters at $v|\infty$ of π and π'. Temperedness of Π implies that for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mu_{v,i} + \mu'_{v,j} + \nu_{v,i} + \nu'_{v,j}) = w_\Pi.$$

Fixing j and varying i, we find that $\mathrm{Re}(\mu_{v,i}+\nu_{v,i})$ is independent of i– call it w_{π_v}. Similarly, for $w_{\pi'_v} = w_\Pi - w_{\pi_v}$, we have $\mathrm{Re}(\mu'_{v,i} + \nu'_{v,i}) = w_{\pi'_v}$ for all i. For each of π and π' there is a unique real number r, r' such that each of $\pi|\cdot|^{-r/2}$, $\pi'|\cdot|^{-r'/2}$ is unitary. Thus, $z^{\mu_v - r/2}\overline{z}^{\nu_v - r/2}$ (likewise for π'_v) is a generic (by cuspidality) unitary parameter.[38] This implies (see the proof of Lemme 4.9 of [Clo90]) that these parameters are sums of the parameters of *unitary* characters and 2×2 complementary series blocks (what Clozel denotes $J(\chi, 1)$ and $J(\chi, \alpha, 1)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ is the complementary series parameter). Since $\mathrm{Re}(\mu_{v,i} + \nu_{v,i})$ is independent of i, we can immediately rule out any complementary series factors, and we deduce that each $z^{\mu_v - r/2}\overline{z}^{\nu_v - r/2}$ is a direct sum of (parameters of) unitary characters, and that $w_{\pi_v} = r$ is independent of v (and $w_{\pi'_v} = r'$), and $r + r' = w_\Pi \in \mathbb{Z}$. We may replace π by $\pi|\cdot|^{\frac{r'-r}{2}}$ and π' by $\pi'|\cdot|^{\frac{r-r'}{2}}$, so that still $\pi \boxtimes \pi' = \Pi$ but now each has half-integral ‘motivic weight’ (namely, $\frac{1}{2}w_\Pi \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$). In particular, $\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v), \mathrm{Re}(\nu_v), \mathrm{Re}(\mu'_v)$, and $\mathrm{Re}(\nu'_v)$ all now consist of half-integers (it is easily seen that all of these half-integers are moreover congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$). Now, $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{v,i} + \mu'_{v,j}) = 0$ for all i, j, so there exists a $t_v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{v,i}) = \mathrm{Im}(\nu_{v,i}) = -\mathrm{Im}(\mu'_{v,i}) = -\mathrm{Im}(\nu'_{v,i}) = t_v$$

for all i. To conclude the proof, note that if there exists a Hecke character of F with infinity-componenets $|z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{it_v}$ for all $v|\infty$, then we can twist π and π' to arrange that both be W-algebraic (in fact, either both L-algebraic or both C-algebraic). Looking at central characters, we are handed a Hecke character with infinity-type

$$z \mapsto z^{\det(\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v)) + int_v}\overline{z}^{\det(\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v)) + int_v},$$

and by Corollary 5.1.8, the desired Hecke character exists if and only if the set of half-integers $\det(\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v)) := \sum_i \mathrm{Re}(\mu_{v,i})$ is the infinity-type of a type A Hecke character of F, i.e. descends to F_{cm}. Of course, when F itself is CM, this is no obstruction, and the proof is complete.

Now assume F is totally real. By a global base-change argument (as in Clozel’s Lemme 4.9), we may deduce temperedness of π and π' from the totally imaginary (or even CM) case, and to determine whether there are W-algebraic descents π and π', as usual it suffices to look at the archimedean L-parameters restricted to $W_{\overline{F}_v}$. The purity constraint (see Corollary 5.1.8) on the half-integers $\det(\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v))$ forces these to be independent of v, and we can argue as in the CM case to finish the proof. $\square$

In the non-CM case, we can describe the infinity-types of possible Hecke characters; this gives rise to an explicit relation between the $\det(\mathrm{Re}(\mu_v))$ and t_v that needs to be satisfied if π and π' are to have W-algebraic twists.

Conversely, note that the tensor product transfer (only known to exist locally, of course) $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_m \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_{nm}$ clearly preserves L- or W-algebraicity (but not C-algebraicity).

---

[37] To be precise, we want Π to be a weak lift that is also a strong lift at archimedean places.

[38] On GL_n, L-packets are singletons, and we can identify which parameters correspond to generic representations.

In §12, we take up in detail the (algebraicity of the) fibers of the functorial transfer ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^LG$, where $G \subset \tilde{G}$ with torus quotient.

8. FURTHER EXAMPLES: THE HILBERT MODULAR CASE AND $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$

In this section we will elaborate on the example of mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms: we discuss W-arithmeticity in this context and make some initial forays on the Galois side. First, however, we recall known results on the automorphic tensor product $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$ and provide a description of its fibers.

Ramakrishnan ([Ram00]) has proven the existence of the automorphic tensor product transfer in the case $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GL}_4$. Moreover, he establishes a cuspidality criterion:

Theorem 8.0.15 (Ramakrishnan, [Ram00] Theorem M)**.** *Let π_1 and π_2 be cuspidal automorphic representations on GL_2/F. Then $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is automorphic. The cuspidality criterion divides into two cases:*

- *If neither π_i is an automorphic induction, $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal if and only if π_1 is not equivalent to $\pi_2 \otimes \chi$ for some Hecke character χ of F.*
- *If $\pi_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\psi)$ for a Hecke character ψ of a quadratic extension L/F, then $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal if and only if $\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_2)$ is not isomorphic to its own twist by $\psi^\theta\psi^{-1}$, where θ is the non-trivial automorphism of L/F.*

Here is the Galois-theoretic heuristic for the first part of the cuspidality criterion. Assume V_1 and V_2 are two-dimensional, irreducible, non-dihedral representations. Non-dihedral implies that each $\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_i$ is irreducible. Suppose that $V_1 \otimes V_2 \cong W_1 \oplus W_2$. Taking exterior squares, we get

$$(\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1 \otimes \det V_2) \oplus (\det V_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_2) \cong \wedge^2 W_1 \oplus (W_1 \otimes W_2) \oplus \wedge^2 W_2.$$

We may therefore assume $\dim W_1 = 3$, $\dim W_2 = 1$ (so rename W_2 as a character ψ), and thus

$$V_1 \otimes V_2(\psi^{-1}) \cong W_1(\psi^{-1}) \oplus 1,$$

which implies that V_1 and V_2 are twist-equivalent.

8.1. We now describe the fibers of this tensor product lift, beginning with a Galois heuristic. Suppose we have four irreducible 2-dimensional representations (over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, say) satisfying

$$V_1 \otimes V_2 \cong W_1 \otimes W_2.$$

Taking exterior squares as above, we get

$$(\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1 \otimes \det V_2) \oplus (\det V_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_2) \cong (\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_1 \otimes \det W_2) \oplus (\det W_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_2)$$

Assume V_1 is non-dihedral, so $\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1$ is irreducible. Then we may assume

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1 \otimes \det V_2 \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_1 \otimes \det W_2$$

and

$$\det V_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_2 \cong \det W_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_2.$$

Comparing determinants in this and the initial isomorphism, we find $\det V_1 \det V_2 = \det W_1 \det W_2$, and so (for $i = 1, 2$)

$$\mathrm{Ad}^0(V_i) \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0(W_i).$$

V_i and W_1 therefore give rise to isomorphic two-dimensional projective representations, and so, by the (not very) long exact sequence in continuous cohomology associated to

$$1 \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to 1,^{39}$$

V_i and W_i are twist-equivalent: we find a pair of characters ψ_i such that $V_1 \cong W_1(\psi_1)$ and $V_2 \cong W_2(\psi_2)$. If V_2 is also non-induced, then $\psi_2 = \psi_1^{-1}$, else $V_1 \otimes V_2$, and hence one of V_1 or V_2, is an induction.

---

[39] Note that the surjection admits a topological section.

8.2. Because the functorial transfers $\mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sym}^2} \mathrm{GL}_3$ ([GJ78]) and $\mathrm{GL}_4 \xrightarrow{\wedge^2} \mathrm{GL}_6$ ([Kim03]) are known, this argument works automorphically until the last step. To conclude a purely automorphic argument, we have to know the fibers of Ad^0. These were determined by Ramakrishnan as a consequence of his construction of the tensor product lift (and his cuspidality criterion):

Theorem 8.2.1 (Ramakrishnan, [Ram00] Theorem 4.1.2)**.** *Let π and π' be unitary cuspidal automorphic representations on GL_2/F such that $\mathrm{Ad}^0\,\pi \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0\,\pi'$. Then there exists a Hecke character χ of F such that $\pi \cong \pi' \otimes \chi$.*

It would be remiss not to mention the special nature of this fiber description: by [LL79], it is essentially multiplicity one for SL_2. In any case, from this and the preceding calculation, we conclude:

Corollary 8.2.2. *Let $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_1', \pi_2'$ be unitary cuspidal automorphic representations on GL_2/F satisfying $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 \cong \pi_1' \boxtimes \pi_2'$ (it suffices to assume this almost everywhere locally). Assume that $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal (equivalently, satisfies Ramakrishnan's criterion). Up to reordering, we have $\mathrm{Ad}^0\,\pi_i \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0\,\pi_i'$ for $i = 1, 2$, and there are Hecke characters χ_i of F such that $\pi_i \cong \pi_i' \otimes \chi_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Moreover, $\chi_1 = \chi_2^{-1}$, so the fibers of the lift are just twists by Hecke characters.*

Proof. If at least one, say π_1, of the π_i is non-dihedral, then it only remains to check $\chi_1 = \chi_2^{-1}$. Since $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 \cong (\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2) \otimes (\chi_1\chi_2)$, we deduce from a theorem of Lapid-Rogawski– which we elaborate on in Lemma 8.2.3 below– that either $\chi_1\chi_2 = 1$, or $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is an automorphic induction from the (quadratic or quartic) cyclic extension of F cut out by $\chi_1\chi_2$. In the latter case, there is a cyclic base change L/F such that $\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2) = \mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_1) \boxtimes \mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_2)$ is non-cuspidal. If both π_1 and π_2 are non-dihedral, or if $\pi_2 = \mathrm{Ind}_K^F(\psi_2)$ with K a quadratic extension of F not contained in L, then the cuspidality criterion implies there exists a Hecke character ψ of L such that $\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_1) \otimes \psi \cong \mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_2)$. Since π_1 is non-dihedral, ψ is invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$; for cyclic extensions, there is no obstruction to descending invariant Hecke characters, so ψ descends to a character of F that we also write as ψ, i.e. $\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_1 \otimes \psi) \cong \mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_2)$. By cyclic descent (also Theorem B of [LR98]), π_1 and π_2 are twist-equivalent, contradicting cuspidality of $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$. We conclude that $\chi_1\chi_2 = 1$.

We resume the above argument when π_2 is dihedral with $K \subset L$. If $L = K$, then $\chi_1\chi_2$ is the quadratic character χ of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$, so $\pi_2 = \pi_2' \otimes (\chi_1^{-1}\chi)$, and thus $\pi_2 = \pi_2' \otimes \chi_1^{-1}$ since $\pi_2 \otimes \chi = \pi_2$. If L/F is quartic, then the result of Lapid-Rogawski still implies $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 = \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\psi)$, where ψ is now a Hecke character of L. Base-changing to L and comparing isobaric constituents, we again contradict the assumption that π_1 is non-dihedral.

Finally, we treat the case where both π_1 and π_2 are dihedral. Base-change implies that both π_1' and π_2' are dihedral as well, and moreover that we may assume that π_i and π_i' are both induced from the same field L_i, say by characters ψ_i and ψ_i'. Write σ_i for the non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_i/F)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{L_1}^F\left(\psi_1 \otimes \mathrm{BC}_{L_1}(\mathrm{Ind}_{L_2}^F(\psi_2))\right) = \mathrm{Ind}_{L_1}^F\left(\psi_1' \otimes \mathrm{BC}_{L_1}(\mathrm{Ind}_{L_2}^F(\psi_2'))\right),$$

and up to replacing ψ_1 by $\psi_1^{\sigma_1}$, we may assume that on GL_2/L_1 we have

$$\frac{\psi_1}{\psi_1'} \otimes \mathrm{BC}_{L_1}(\mathrm{Ind}_{L_2}^F(\psi_2)) = \mathrm{BC}_{L_1}(\mathrm{Ind}_{L_2}^F(\psi_2')).$$

If $L_1 \neq L_2$, then we find

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{L_1L_2}^{L_1}(\frac{\psi_1}{\psi_1'} \cdot \psi_2) = \mathrm{Ind}_{L_1L_2}^{L_1}(\psi_2'),$$

and possibly replacing ψ_2 by its conjugate $\psi_2^{\sigma_2}$, we may assume that as Hecke characters of L_1L_2, $\psi_1/\psi_1' = \psi_2'/\psi_2$. Let α be the Hecke character of L_1 given by ψ_1/ψ_1'. This equality shows α is σ_1-invariant, and therefore descends to a Hecke character of F. Consequently, $\pi_1 = \pi_1' \otimes \alpha$, and $\pi_2 = \pi_2' \otimes \alpha^{-1}$ as automorphic representations on GL_2/F. The case $L_1 = L_2$ is similar, but easier, and we omit the details. □

The above corollary made use of a characterization of the image of cyclic automorphic induction (in the quadratic and quartic case). This characterization in the case of non-prime-degree does not follow from the results of [AC89], but it is now known thanks to work of Lapid-Rogawski. The following is stated without proof in [LR98], as an easy application of their Statement B[40]. We fill in the details:

Proposition 8.2.3. *[Lapid-Rogawski] Let* Π *be a cuspidal automorphic representation on* GL_n/F*, and let* L/F *be a cyclic extension. Then* Π *is automorphically induced from* L *if (and only if)* $\Pi \cong \Pi \otimes \omega$ *for a Hecke character* ω *of* F *that cuts out the extension* L/F.

Proof. Lapid-Rogawski establish the following, which implies the Proposition:[41]

Theorem 8.2.4 (Statement B, part (a) of [LR98])**.** *Let* E/F *be a cyclic extension of number fields, with* σ *a generator of* $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$*, and let* ω *be a Hecke character of* E*. Denote by* ω_F *its restriction to* $\mathbf{A}_F^\times \subset \mathbf{A}_E^\times$*. Suppose* Π *is a cuspidal automorphic representation on* GL_n/E *satisfying* $\Pi^\sigma \cong \Pi \otimes \omega$*. Let* K/F *be the extension (necessarily of order dividing n) of* F *cut out by* ω_F*, and let* $L = KE$*. Then* $E \cap K = F$*, and* $[K : F]$ *divides n.*

Suppose ω cuts out L/F, cyclic of order m, and suppose m is divisible by a prime ℓ (and $m \neq \ell$). We see that $\Pi \cong \Pi \otimes \omega^{m/l}$ as well, and letting E/F be the (cyclic degree ℓ) extension cut out by $\omega^{m/l}$, the case of prime degree implies that $\Pi = \mathrm{Ind}_E^F(\pi)$ for some cuspidal representation π on GL_n/E. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_E^F(\pi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_E^F(\pi \otimes (\omega \circ N_{E/F})),$$

so the description of the fibers in the prime case implies π and $\pi \otimes (\omega \circ N_{E/F})$ are Galois-conjugate: writing τ for a generator of $\mathrm{Gal} L/F$ (or for its restriction to E), there exists an integer i such that

$$\pi^{\tau^i} \cong \pi \otimes (\omega \circ N_{E/F}).$$

Part (a) of Theorem 8.2.4 implies that the restriction of $\omega \circ N_{E/F}$ to $\mathbf{A}_F^\times/F^\times$, which is just ω^ℓ, cuts out an extension K/F that is linearly disjoint from E/F,[42] so in particular $(\omega \circ N_{E/F})^\ell$ is a non-trivial Hecke character of E. But now, since τ^ℓ is trivial on E, we can iterate the previous conjugation relation to deduce

$$\pi \cong \pi \otimes (\omega \circ N_{E/F})^\ell.$$

It now follows from induction on the degree $[L : F]$ that $\pi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^E(\pi_0)$ for some cuspidal π_0 on $\mathrm{GL}_{\frac{n}{[L:F]}}/L$, so we're done.

□

Now we take up the case of Hilbert modular forms, starting with an observation of Blasius-Rogawski ([BR93]): while a 'mixed parity' Hilbert modular representation π does not itself twist to an L-algebraic representation, its base changes to every CM field will.[43] Since we work up to twist, we may assume the parameters of π at places $v|\infty$ have the form (restricted to $\overline{F}_v^\times \subset W_{F_v}$ and implicitly invoking ι as above)

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z/\overline{z})^{\frac{k_v-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (z/\overline{z})^{\frac{1-k_v}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For a quadratic CM extension L/F, let $\psi \colon \mathbf{A}_L^\times/L^\times \to \mathbf{S}^1$ be a Hecke character whose infinity type at v is given by

$$z \mapsto (z/|z|)^{k_v-1}$$

---

[40] The proof of which was conditional on versions of the fundamental lemma that are now known.

[41] Which is in turn used to prove the more refined parts (b) and (c) of Statement B of [LR98].

[42] The point is that Π is cuspidal. For instance, in the simple case $\ell^2 \nmid m$, there's no need to appeal to the Lapid-Rogawski result: ℓ divides $\frac{m}{\ell} i$, so $\ell | i$, and then $\pi \cong \pi \otimes (\omega \circ N_{E/F})$.

[43] This observation of Blasius-Rogawski is the only time I have seen substantive use made of type A but not A_0 Hecke characters.

for all v. Then $\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi) \otimes \psi$ is L-algebraic, with infinity type

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z/\bar{z})^{k_v - 1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given two such π_1 and π_2, both W- but not L-algebraic, and with $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ L-algebraic, Blasius-Rogawski (see Theorem 2.6.2 and Corollary 2.6.3 of [BR93]) can then associate an ℓ-adic Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ to Π via the identity

$$\mathrm{BC}_L(\Pi) \cong (\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_1)(\psi_1)) \boxtimes (\mathrm{BC}_L(\pi_2)(\psi_2)) \otimes (\psi_1\psi_2)^{-1},$$

since all three tensor factors on the right-hand side are L-algebraic with associated Galois representations. (To get a Galois representation for Π over F itself, they vary L and use the now-famous patching argument.) We pursue this a little farther, emphasizing the 'W-algebraic' and non-de Rham/geometric aspects of this construction.

Proposition 8.2.5. *Let Π be the tensor product $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ for π_i as above corresponding to mixed parity Hilbert modular forms (but π_1 and π_2 having common weight-parity at each infinite place). Assume that Π is cuspidal, and for simplicity assume that the π_i are non-dihedral.*

(i) *The ℓ-adic Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is Lie irreducible.*

(ii) *$\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is isomorphic to a tensor product $\rho_{1,\ell} \otimes \rho_{2,\ell}$ of two-dimensional continuous, almost everywhere unramified, representations of Γ_F. No twist of either $\rho_{i,\ell}$ is de Rham (or even Hodge-Tate), and $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is not a tensor product of geometric Galois representations (although it is after every CM base change, by construction).*[44]

(iii) $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho_{i,\ell})$ *is geometric, corresponding to the L-algebraic* $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\pi_i)$ *(suitably ordering the representations). In particular, a mixed-parity Hilbert modular representation π gives rise to a geometric projective Galois representations $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\ell} \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$; these are the representations predicted by Conjecture* 3.2.2 *of* [BG10] *for irreducible* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$*-constituents of* $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)}$.

(iv) *With the π_i normalized to be W-algebraic, they are also W-arithmetic (see Question 7.0.10).*

Proof. To show $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is a tensor product, observe that it is essentially self-dual, since

$$\Pi^\vee \cong \pi_1^\vee \boxtimes \pi_2^\vee \cong (\pi_1 \otimes \omega_{\pi_1}^{-1}) \boxtimes (\pi_2 \otimes \omega_{\pi_2}^{-1}) \cong \Pi \otimes (\omega_{\pi_1}\omega_{\pi_2})^{-1}.$$

On the Galois side, we deduce that $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}^\vee \cong \rho_{\Pi,\ell} \otimes \mu_\Pi^{-1}$, where μ_Π is the (geometric) Galois character corresponding to the (L-algebraic) Hecke character $\omega_{\pi_1}\omega_{\pi_2}$. Since after one of these quadratic base changes L/F $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L}$ is irreducible and orthogonal (rather than symplectic), we see that it (as Γ_F-representation) is orthogonal, i.e.

$$\rho_{\Pi,\ell} \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GO}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Writing μ for the multiplier character on GO_4, and observing that $\det^2 = \mu^4$ on this group, we find an exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \to \mathrm{G}_m \to \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{GO}_4 \xrightarrow{\det \cdot \mu^{-2}} \{\pm 1\} \to 1.$$

The image of $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is contained in the kernel GSO_4 of $\det \cdot \mu^{-2}$: for this it suffices to know that $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is isomorphic to a tensor product after two disjoint quadratic base changes, for then $(\det \cdot \mu^{-2}) \circ \rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ is a character of Γ_F that is trivial on a set of primes of density strictly greater than $1/2$, and therefore it is trivial. We can then apply Proposition 4.0.18 to deduce that $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ lifts across $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{GSO}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, i.e. it is isomorphic to a tensor product $\rho_{1,\ell} \otimes \rho_{2,\ell}$. The same exact sequence implies that any expression of $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ as a tensor product has the form $(\rho_{1,\ell} \otimes \chi) \otimes (\rho_{2,\ell} \otimes \chi^{-1})$ for some continuous character $\chi \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. Lie-irreducibility also follows since the Zariski closure of the image of each $\rho_{i,\ell}$ contains SL_2 (otherwise $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$, and hence Π, is automorphically induced), and thus the Zariski closure of the image of $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ contains SO_4, which acts Lie-irreducibly in its standard 4-dimensional representation.

---

[44] Compare Proposition 14.2.7.

Now we show all twists $\rho_{i,\ell} \otimes \chi$ are almost everywhere unramified, but none are geometric (they fail to be de Rham). This follows from the Galois-theoretic arguments of the next section, but here we give a more automorphic argument. Note that both the de Rham and almost everywhere unramified conditions can be checked after a finite extension. By construction, for any $L = FK$ for $K/\mathbb{Q}$ imaginary quadratic, there exist (L-algebraic) cuspidal automorphic representations τ_i ($i = 1, 2$) on GL_2/L with associated geometric Galois representations $\sigma_i \colon \Gamma_L \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that

$$\rho_{1,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \rho_{2,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L} \cong \rho_{\Pi,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L} \cong \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

This implies there is a Galois character $\chi \colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that

$$\sigma_1(\chi) \cong \rho_{1,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L},$$
$$\sigma_2(\chi^{-1}) \cong \rho_{2,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L},$$

and every abelian ℓ-adic representation is unramified almost everywhere (easy), so each $\rho_{i,\ell}$ is almost everywhere unramified. We then have the equivalences

$$\rho_{i,\ell} \text{ is geometric } \iff \rho_{i,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L} \text{ is geometric } \iff \chi \text{ is geometric.}^{45}$$

But if χ is geometric, then (Serre) there exists a type A_0 Hecke character $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ of L such that

$$\tau_1 \otimes \chi_{\mathbf{A}} \longleftrightarrow \rho_{1,\ell}$$
$$\tau_2 \otimes \chi_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \longleftrightarrow \rho_{2,\ell},$$

where '$\pi \longleftrightarrow \rho$' indicates an equality of (incomplete) L-functions. $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-invariance and cyclic base change then imply there are cuspidal automorphic representations $\tilde{\tau}_i$ on GL_2/F lifting $\tau_1 \otimes \chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tau_2 \otimes \chi_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}$, and therefore $\mathrm{BC}_L(\tilde{\tau}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{\tau}_2) \cong \mathrm{BC}_L(\Pi)$. This implies $\tilde{\tau}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{\tau}_2$ and Π are twist-equivalent, and Corollary 8.2.2 ensures that (up to relabeling) π_i and $\tilde{\tau}_i$ are twist-equivalent. But $\tilde{\tau}_i$ is L-algebraic since τ_i and $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ are, yet it is easy to see (because π is mixed-parity and F is totally real) that no twist of π_i can be L-algebraic.

That, suitably ordered, $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho_{i,\ell})$ is a geometric Galois representation whose local factors match those of the L-algebraic cuspidal representation $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\pi_i)$ follows easily from the earlier $\wedge^2$ argument (see §8.1)and the fact that our forms (representations) are all non-dihedral.

Part (*iv*): Normalize π_i to be W-algebraic. Then $\pi_i \boxtimes \pi_i = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi_i) \boxplus \omega_{\pi_i}$ is L-algebraic, and by [BR93] it has Satake parameters in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ at unramified primes. Writing $\{\alpha_{i,v}, \beta_{i,v}\}$ for the parameters of $\pi_{i,v}$ (when unramified), we conclude that $\alpha_{i,v}^2, \beta_{i,v}^2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, hence $\alpha_{i,v}, \beta_{i,v} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. This implies $\pi_{i,v}$ has a model over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all such v,[46] answering the weaker version of Question 7.0.10. In this case the stronger version (that $\pi_{i,f}$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) follows as well, by a check (omitted) at the ramified primes. □

Now we prove a more refined result in the case $\pi_1 = \pi_2$:

Proposition 8.2.6. *Let π be a unitary, cuspidal, non-induced, mixed-parity Hilbert modular representation, so that $\Pi = \pi \boxtimes \pi$ has an associated Galois representation, the sum of Galois representations associated to the L-algebraic representations $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi)$ and ω_π. As before, there are ℓ-adic representations $\rho_{i,\ell}$ such that $\rho_{\Pi,\ell} = \rho_{1,\ell} \otimes \rho_{2,\ell}$.*

- *We can normalize the $\rho_{i,\ell}$ so that $\rho_{i,\ell}(fr_v)$ has characteristic polynomial in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[X]$ for all unramified v. Moreover, its eigenvalues in fact lie in $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$, and are pure of some weight, which we may take to be zero.*
- *For quadratic CM extensions $L = KF$ with $K/\mathbb{Q}$ a quadratic imaginary field in which ℓ is inert, there is a $\rho_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for which $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\rho_\ell) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi)$. Later on (Corollary 9.2.6), we will see this is not possible over a totally real field.*

---

[45] Moreover, $\rho_{i,\ell}$ is Hodge-Tate $\iff$ $\rho_{i,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L}$ is Hodge-Tate $\iff$ χ is Hodge-Tate $\iff$ χ is geometric!

[46] Note that the fields of definition of π_v and its Satake parameters may be different; but if one is algebraic, then both are.

- *Nevertheless, we can choose* ρ_ℓ *and a finite-order character* χ *such that* $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\rho_\ell)\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *is, viewing* $\mathrm{GO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *as the dual group* $(\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{SL}_2)^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$*, associated to an automorphic representation* $(\omega_\pi\chi, \pi_0)$ *of* $(\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{SL}_2)(\mathbf{A}_F)$*, as in* 3.2.2 *of* [BG10]*, with* π_0 *any irreducible constituent of* $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)}$.

Proof. If we take $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi$ in the unitary normalization (this will ensure $\pi \boxtimes \pi$ has 'motivic weight zero,' with an implicit– and provable– application of Ramanujan at infinity) and decompose the Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi,\ell}$ associated to $\Pi = \pi \boxtimes \pi$ as $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$, then the now-familiar $\wedge^2$ argument shows that $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho_1) \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho_2)$. We can therefore write $\rho_{\Pi,\ell} \cong \rho \otimes (\rho \otimes \chi)$ for some Galois character χ. This χ need not be a square, but we can normalize ρ so that χ is finite order (by Lemma 5.2.2). Now, the Ramanujan conjecture is known for Π[47], and of course for χ, so $\rho \otimes \rho$ is pure of weight zero (at unramified primes, say). Let α_v and β_v be frobenius eigenvalues of $\rho(fr_v)$ at an unramified prime v, so that α_v^2 and β_v^2 (being eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\rho)$) have absolute value one under any embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The same then holds for α_v and β_v, so ρ itself is pure of weight zero. Therefore the frobenius eigenvalues of ρ lie in $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$.

We conclude by showing that, after certain CM base-changes, we can take the finite-order character χ to be trivial. Restricting to $L = KF$ as above, we can find a type A Hecke character ψ of L such that $\pi \otimes \psi$ is L-algebraic, and then letting $(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$ be the geometric ℓ-adic representation associated to ψ^2, we claim that, possibly replacing ψ by ψ^{-1}, there exists a Galois character λ of L such that

$$\rho|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \lambda \cong \rho|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes (\chi(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell \lambda^{-1}).$$

Over L, $\pi \cdot \psi^{-1}$ has associated Galois representation r, and then $r \cdot (\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$ corresponds to $\pi \cdot \psi$. Then there exists a λ such that either $r = \rho \cdot \lambda$ and $r \cdot (\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell = \rho(\chi\lambda^{-1})$, or $r = \rho \cdot (\chi\lambda^{-1})$ and $r \cdot (\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell = \rho \cdot \lambda$. Either way, plugging one equation into the other we get the claim.

ρ is not an induction, so $\chi|_{\Gamma_L} = \lambda^2(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell^{-1}$. In particular, $\chi|_{\Gamma_L}$ is a square if and only if $(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$ admits a Galois-theoretic square-root. This was discussed in Lemma 5.2.5 above: it need not always be the case (for instance, if ℓ is split in $L/\mathbb{Q}$), but it is if ℓ is inert in K. Choosing such an $L = KF$, we can now find $\chi_L\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that

$$\rho_{\Pi,\ell}|_{\Gamma_L} \cong (\rho|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \chi_L)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Here $\chi_L = \lambda\hat{\psi}$ for $\hat{\psi}$ a square-root of $(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$, and we're done.

For the final point, note that the inclusion $\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{SO}_3 \to \mathrm{GO}_3$ is an isomorphism, with inverse map $g \mapsto (\frac{\det}{\mu}(g), \frac{\det}{\mu}(g)^{-1}g)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Sym}^2\colon \mathrm{GL}_2 \to \mathrm{GO}_3$ becomes $g \mapsto (\det(g), \mathrm{Ad}^0(g))$ in these coordinates. The $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$-constituents of π have Langlands parameters corresponding to the projectivization of those of π, and since $\mathrm{Ad}^0\colon \mathrm{GL}_2 \to \mathrm{SO}_3 \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is just the quotient map $\mathrm{GL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2$, the claim follows. $\square$

Remark 8.2.7. In particular, the Proposition tells us that there are pure ℓ-adic Galois representations no twist of which are geometric. They do not, however, live in compatible systems.

These propositions would have no content if the only examples of mixed parity Hilbert modular forms were the inductions described in Example 7.0.9; we end this section by showing

Lemma 8.2.8. *Over any totally real field, non-CM mixed parity Hilbert modular forms exist.*

Proof. Considering the (semi-simple, connected) $\mathbb{Q}$-group $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_2/F)$, we can apply Theorem 1B from §4 of Clozel's paper [Clo86]. We fix a discrete series representation π_∞ of $G(\mathbb{R}) \cong \prod_{\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the desired mixed-parity infinity-type. Then fix an auxiliary supercuspidal level $K_{p_0} \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_{p_0})$ for some prime p_0. At some other finite prime p, let π_p be a fixed (twist of) Steinberg representation. Finally, let S be a set of finite primes (disjoint from p_0, p) at which we will let the level go to infinity in the manner of Clozel's paper (written $K_S \to 1$). Letting K be any fixed compact open subgroup away from S, p, p_0, ∞, Clozel proves that

---

[47] Of course, Π is not cuspidal, so literally this is for $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi)$ and ω_π.

$$\liminf_{K_S \to 1} \left[\mathrm{vol}(K_S) \cdot \mathrm{mult}\left(\pi_\infty \otimes \pi_p, L^2_{cusp}(G(\mathbb{Q})/G(\mathbf{A}_F))^{K_{p_0} \times K_S \times K}\right)\right] > 0.$$

(He also determines an explicit but non-optimal constant.) This suffices for the Lemma: it implies the existence of (infinitely many) cuspidal automorphic representations on G that are Steinberg at p, and therefore not automorphically induced, and have the desired mixed parity at infinity. ◻

Remark 8.2.9. In [Shi], Shin derives, as a corollary of very general existence results for automorphic representations, an exact limit multiplicity formula for *cohomological* Hilbert modular forms. Unfortunately, this excludes precisely the mixed-parity forms we are interested in. It seems, however, that his methods should extend to cover all discrete series infinity types.

8.3. **A couple of questions.** In Proposition 8.2.5, the key point was an understanding of which type A Hecke characters– or their Galois analogues– could exist. I would like to raise here a couple questions in higher rank about the existence of automorphic forms with certain infinity-types.

Question 8.3.1. Are there automorphic representations π on GL_2/F (F totally real) such that at two infinite places v_1 and v_2,

- π_{v_1} is discrete series and π_{v_2} is limit of discrete series (excluding the easily-constructed dihedral cases, as in Example 7.0.9)? Clozel's result does not apply to this case;
- π_{v_1} is discrete series (or limit of discrete series), and π_{v_2} is principal series (looks like a Maass form)?
- as in the previous item, but with algebraic infinity-type (so a 'Plancherel density'-type result will not suffice)?

9. GALOIS LIFTING: HILBERT MODULAR CASE

9.1. **Outline.** We continue to elaborate on the examples of the previous section, now turning to some preliminary cases of a problem raised by Conrad in [Con11]. Recall from the introduction that he addresses lifting problems

$$\begin{tikzcd} & H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\ \Gamma_F \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"] & H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \end{tikzcd},$$

where $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a surjection of linear algebraic groups with central kernel, and the key remaining question is:

Question 9.1.1. Suppose that the kernel of $\tilde{H} \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a torus. If ρ is geometric, when does there exist a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$?

Here is an outline of the coming sections:

- First we address, in the regular case, with F totally real,[48] Conrad's question for lifting across $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, finding that all examples of ρ not having geometric lifts are accounted for by mixed-parity Hilbert modular forms (a converse to Propositions 8.2.5 and 8.2.6).
- To give a higher-rank example in which potential automorphy theorems still allow us to link the automorphic and Galois sides, we then carry out in §11 an analogous discussion for $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (§10 introduces the necessary background about Sen operators and 'labeled Hodge-Tate-Sen weights' in ℓ-adic Hodge theory; in the current section, we deal with this in a more *ad hoc* manner).

---

[48] Modulo the difference between potential automorphy and automorphy!

- Before proceeding to develop the general framework (§13), we take a detour (§12) to discuss the automorphic analogue. This is in some sense more intuitive, and it motivates the Galois-theoretic solution. Note that in general we have neither constructions of automorphic Galois representations nor (potential) automorphy theorems, so we cannot unconditionally bridge the automorphic-Galois chasm. Proving a 'modular lifting theorem' in this context is an important remaining question (see Question 1.0.10).

9.2. $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. In this subsection we prove:

Theorem 9.2.1. *Let F be totally real. Suppose $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a geometric representation with no geometric lift to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Then*

- *There exists a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that for all CM L/F, $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L}$ is the twist of a geometric Galois representation (in particular, $\rho|_{\Gamma_L}$ has a geometric lift, which ought to be automorphic).*

Now assume moreover that $\mathrm{Ad}(\rho)\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \subset \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ satisfies the hypotheses of (the potential automorphy theorem) Corollary 4.5.2 of [BLGGT][49] Then:

- *After a totally real base-change F'/F, $\mathrm{Ad}(\rho)$ is automorphic, and more precisely*
- *we may normalize $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ is a geometric Galois representation corresponding to $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi) \otimes \chi$ for some mixed parity Hilbert modular representation π on GL_2/F and *non-trivial* finite order character χ. Furthermore, $\tilde{\rho}$ is totally odd.*

Remark 9.2.2. If $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho)$ is not regular, there are two possibilities: either it fails to be regular everywhere, in which case Fontaine-Mazur conjecture that ρ (up to twist) has finite image. Such ρ always have finite-image (hence geometric) lifts by Tate's theorem (Proposition 4.0.18). If ρ is totally odd, and $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho)$ exhibits a mixture of regular and irregular behavior, it should be related to the existence of a mixed-parity Hilbert modular form that is limit of discrete series at some infinite places, and genuine discrete series at others. I know of no such examples not arising from Hecke characters. The question of whether there exist non-dihedral ρ that are even at some and odd at other infinite places is related to Question 8.3.1.

Lemma 9.2.3. *For any number field F and any geometric $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, there is a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of ρ whose Hodge-Tate-Sen (HTS) weights at all $v|\ell$ belong to $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ and $\det(\tilde{\rho})$ are geometric.*

Proof. Proposition 4.0.18 ensures that we can find some lift $\tilde{\rho}$. By assumption, $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho})$ is geometric, so

$$\tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\rho} \otimes \det(\tilde{\rho})^{-1} \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho}) \oplus \mathbf{1}$$

is geometric. We ask whether $\det(\tilde{\rho})$ is a square. There at least exists a Galois character $\psi\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that $\det(\tilde{\rho}) = \psi^2\chi$ with χ finite order (Lemma 5.2.2). Thus

$$[\tilde{\rho} \otimes \psi^{-1}]^{\otimes 2} \cong (\mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho}) \otimes \chi) \oplus \chi$$

is also geometric, and in particular Hodge-Tate. Replacing $\tilde{\rho}$ with the new lift $\tilde{\rho} \otimes \psi^{-1}$ we conclude that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ and $\det \tilde{\rho}$ are Hodge-Tate. The theorem of Wintenberger and Conrad (Theorem 4.0.20) then proves they must be de Rham, since the original projective ρ was. Any lift $\tilde{\rho}$ is almost everywhere unramified by Lemma 5.3 of [Con11], so the proof is complete. □

We now proceed in the same spirit as in the construction of W-algebraic forms via type A Hecke characters. The following lemma is an *ad hoc* version of Theorem 13.0.11; it may help in navigating that rather formal proof:

Lemma 9.2.4. *Consider $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as produced by Lemma 9.2.3. Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a quadratic CM extension. Then for all such L, the restriction of $\tilde{\rho}$ to Γ_L is the twist of a geometric Galois representation.*

---

[49] Essentially: there is a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ of ρ such that $(\tilde{\rho} \mod \ell)|_{\Gamma_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is irreducible and $\tilde{\rho}$ is regular (if true for one lift, this is true for all), and ℓ is sufficiently large.

Proof. Recall that our fixed embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \xhookrightarrow{\iota_\infty} \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \xhookrightarrow{\iota_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ yield a map

$$\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}\colon \bigcup_{v|\ell} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(F_v, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \mathbb{C}).$$

$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ is de Rham, so for each place $v|\ell$ of F and each $\tau\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, it has τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights[50]

$$\mathrm{HT}_\tau\left(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}})\right) = \{A_\tau, \frac{A_\tau + B_\tau}{2}, B_\tau\},$$

where the A_τ and B_τ are integers, necessarily having the same parity. We can distinguish the subset

$$\{A_\tau, B_\tau\} \subset \mathrm{HT}_\tau\left(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}})\right)$$

(trivially in the non-regular case, easily otherwise). It follows that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ is Hodge-Tate (hence de Rham) if and only if all A_τ and B_τ are even. Consider the map $\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}$ for L as well as F; the two versions are compatible, so conjugate archimedean embeddings $\iota, \bar{\iota}\colon L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ pull back to embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ that lie above a common $\tau\colon F \subset F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. To each τ we then unambiguously associate the parity $\epsilon_\tau \in \{0, 1\}$ of A_τ (and B_τ), and at the unique archimedean place $v_{\infty,\tau}$ of L above $\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)$ we define a character

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{v_{\infty,\tau}}\colon L^\times_{v_{\infty,\tau}} &\to \mathbb{C}^\times \\ z &\mapsto \iota(z)^{\frac{k_\tau}{2}}\bar{\iota}(z)^{-\frac{k_\tau}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

where k_τ is any integer with parity ϵ_τ.

L is a CM field, so Weil ([Wei56]) tells us that there exists a type A Hecke character ψ of L with components at archimedean places given by these $\psi_{v_{\infty,\tau}}$. We wish to twist $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L}$ by a Galois realization of ψ, shifting all of its HTS weights to be integers (recall this can be checked by looking at $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L})$), but care is needed: there is no Galois representation canonically associated to a type A (not A_0) Hecke character. Instead, we associate (canonically, having specified ι_∞ and ι_ℓ) an ℓ-adic representation $(\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$ to the type A_0 Hecke character ψ^2, and then we non-canonically extract, up to a finite-order character, a (Galois-theoretic, non-geometric) square root $\hat{\psi}$. Then $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \hat{\psi}$ is Hodge-Tate, since the analogues of the A_τ and B_τ, but now for $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \hat{\psi}) = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L}) \otimes (\widehat{\psi^2})_\ell$, are all even. Thus $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \hat{\psi}$ is geometric (again by Theorem 4.0.20). □

This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 9.2.1. We need deeper tools to make further progress. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be the lift as above, with both $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ and $\det(\tilde{\rho})$ geometric. $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ factors through $\mathrm{GO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ with totally even multiplier character $\det(\tilde{\rho})^2$. We now take $\ell > 7$ and make two further assumptions on $\tilde{\rho}$ (or ρ) in order to apply a potential automorphy theorem:

(1) Assume $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ is 'potentially diagonalizable' and regular at all $v|\ell$. For instance, we require that for each $v|\ell$ it be crystalline with τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights distinct and falling in the Fontaine-Laffaille range for all $\tau\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.
(2) Assume that the reduction mod ℓ of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is irreducible. (We have taken $\ell \neq 2$, so this is equivalent to the analogous assumption for $\tilde{\rho}$.)

Then we can can apply Theorem C of [BLGGT] to deduce that after base-change to some totally real field F', $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ is automorphic, corresponding to a RAESDC automorphic representation Π on GL_3/F'. Let us abusively denote by $\det(\tilde{\rho})$ the Hecke character (of type A_0) corresponding to $\det(\tilde{\rho})$. Then $\Pi \otimes \det(\tilde{\rho})^{-1}$ is self-dual with trivial central character, and (by, for instance, [Art]– details, in greater generality, appear in Lemma 11.0.7– although in this case the result is older) there exists a cuspidal π on GL_2/F' such that $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\pi) \cong \Pi \otimes \det(\tilde{\rho})^{-1}$. We may assume that ω_π has finite order (applying Lemma 5.1.5 and Proposition 12.2.2, since F' is totally real), because the descent is originally to SL_2/F', and we then have control over the choice of extension to GL_2/F'.[51]

---

[50] By duality, or by the Hodge-Tate-Sen theory.

[51] We only sketch this here, because these matters will be discussed in greater detail and generality in §12.

Lemma 9.2.5. *Such a π on GL_2/F' satisfying $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\pi) \cong \Pi \otimes \det(\tilde{\rho})^{-1}$ is necessarily a mixed parity Hilbert modular representation.*

Proof. It is clear from the archimedean L-parameters that π is W-algebraic. If it were L-algebraic, there would be a corresponding Galois representation ρ_π[52] with $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\rho_\pi) \cong \mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho})$, and thus ρ_π and $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ would be twist-equivalent. Lemma 5.2.4 then implies $\tilde{\rho}$ has all integral or all half-integral Hodge-Tate weights, which contradicts the assumption that ρ has no geometric lift (note that both F' and F are totally real). Similarly, if π were C-algebraic, then there would be a geometric representation corresponding to $\pi|\cdot|^{1/2}$, and we can use the same argument. We conclude that π must be mixed parity. □

Corollary 9.2.6. *For any $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as in Conjecture 9.2.1, and satisfying the auxiliary potential automorphy hypotheses (see page 36), there exists a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and, after a totally real base change F'/F, a mixed-parity Hilbert modular representation π on GL_2/F', such that*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi) \otimes \chi$$

for some finite order Hecke character χ of F'. Moreover, any lift $\tilde{\rho}$ is totally odd, and the character χ is necessarily non-trivial. In contrast, restricting to $L' = F'K$ where $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic imaginary extension in which ℓ is inert, we can find a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})$ corresponds to $\mathrm{BC}_{L'/F'}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi))$.

Conversely, starting with a mixed-parity π, we can produce such a χ and $\tilde{\rho}$.

Proof. In the notation of the previous Lemma, we have

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\pi) \otimes \frac{\det(\tilde{\rho})}{\omega_\pi} \cong \Pi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}}.$$

The character $\det(\tilde{\rho})/\omega_\pi$ is L-algebraic, hence equals $\chi|\cdot|^m$ for a finite-order character χ and an integer m. Replacing π by $\pi \otimes |\cdot|^{m/2}$, which is still mixed-parity, we are done except for the last part.

Assume instead that we can take $\chi = 1$. In particular, $\omega_\pi \longleftrightarrow \det(\tilde{\rho})$, and thus $\det(\tilde{\rho})(c_v) = \omega_{\pi_v}(-1)$. By the description of discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and purity of ω_π, we know that the Langlands parameter $\phi_v\colon W_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ takes the form

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z/\overline{z})^{\frac{k_v-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (z/\overline{z})^{\frac{1-k_v}{2}} \end{pmatrix} |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-w/2}$$
$$j \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{k_v-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\omega_{\pi_v}(-1) = \det(\phi_v)(j) = (-1)^{k_v}$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_{F'}} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}^0(\pi)$ is regular algebraic self-dual cuspidal, Proposition A of [Tay] shows that $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho})$ is odd, and thus for all $v|\infty$, the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\tilde{\rho})(c_v)$ are $\{-1, 1, -1\}$. We conclude that $\det(\tilde{\rho})(c_v) = -1$ for all $v|\infty$, proving the oddness claim for $\tilde{\rho}$, and contradicting, when we assume $\chi = 1$, the fact that the k_v are both odd and even.

The remaining claims, constructing from π such a $\tilde{\rho}$ and χ, were established in Proposition 8.2.6. □

This concludes the proof of Theorem 9.2.1.

10. ℓ-ADIC HODGE THEORY PRELIMINARIES

10.1. **Labeled Hodge-Tate-Sen weights.** In the previous section, we took a rather bare-hands approach to the ℓ-adic Hodge theory, but in general it will be useful to have a more robust language. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be a finite extension. Choose an algebraic closure $\overline{K}/K$, and let $\mathbb{C}_K$ denote the completion of $\overline{K}$. Let

$$\rho\colon \Gamma_K \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$$

---

[52] By [BR93].

be a de Rham representation; for some finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, V descends to an E-linear representation V_E. Then $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V)$ is a filtered $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-module that is free of rank $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} V$, and so as in §6.1 we can define, for all $\tau\colon K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the τ-labeled weights $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(V) := \mathrm{HT}_\tau(\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V))$ by applying the projector $e_\tau \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_\tau \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The identification of $\mathrm{gr}^\bullet(\mathrm{B}_{dR})$ with B_{HT} implies that the multiplicity of q in $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(V)$ also equals $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V \otimes_{\tau,K} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_K}$. We use this formulation to extend the definition of τ-labeled weights to the Hodge-Tate case. Note that if K'/K is any finite extension, and $\tau'\colon K' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is any embedding extending $\tau\colon K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, then $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau'}(V|_{\Gamma_{K'}}) = \mathrm{HT}_\tau(V)$.

We will also need the more general notion of Hodge-Tate-Sen weights, for which we drop the assumption that V is de Rham. For any embedding $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_K$, we have the Sen operator $\Theta_{\rho,\iota} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(V \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K)$. More precisely, let $K' = \iota(E)K$, so that $V_E \otimes_{E,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K$ is a $\mathbb{C}_K$-semilinear representation of $\Gamma_{K'}$, to which we can in the usual way associate the Sen operator $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$. Note that the Sen operator of a $\mathbb{C}_K$ semilinear representation is insensitive to finite restriction, so this construction is independent of the choice of (sufficiently large) K'. Moreover, choosing a model $V_{E'}$ of V with coefficients in some finite extension E'/E inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ also yields the same $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$, since we don't change the $\mathbb{C}_K$-semilinear representation of $\Gamma_{K'}$ (this may be a bigger K', having enlarged E). This allows the following Tannakian observation about Sen operators (§6 of [Con11]).

Lemma 10.1.1. *Let G be a linear algebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho\colon \Gamma_K \to G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ a (continuous) representation. Then for each embedding $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_K$, there exists a unique Sen operator $\Theta_{\rho,\iota} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K$ such that for all linear representations $r\colon G \xrightarrow[/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell]{} \mathrm{GL}_V$, $\mathrm{Lie}(r)(\Theta_{\rho,\iota})$ is equal to the previously-defined $\Theta_{r\circ\rho,\iota}$.*

Proof. This follows from Tannaka duality for Lie algebras.[53] Namely, for each $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representation $G \to \mathrm{GL}_V$ we get an element $\Theta_{V,\iota} \in \mathfrak{gl}_V \otimes_\iota \mathbb{C}_K$, and these satisfy the functorial properties

- If $V_1 \xrightarrow{T} V_2$ is a G-morphism, then $\mathrm{Lie}(T) \circ \Theta_{V_1,\iota} = \Theta_{V_2,\iota} \circ \mathrm{Lie}(T)$;
- $\Theta_{V_1 \oplus V_2,\iota} = \Theta_{V_1,\iota} \oplus \Theta_{V_2,\iota}$;
- $\Theta_{V_1 \otimes V_2,\iota} = \Theta_{V_1,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V_2} + \mathrm{id}_{V_1} \otimes \Theta_{V_2,\iota}$.

(If different fields E and K' as above are needed to define the $\Theta_{V_i,\iota}$, we can pass to a common extension and apply the remarks preceding the lemma.) Tannaka duality then implies that all $\Theta_{V,\iota}$ arise from a unique element $\Theta_{\rho,\iota} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes_\iota \mathbb{C}_K$. $\square$

Remark 10.1.2. The necessary functorial properties of the Sen operators are not automatic. All the relevant statements are in §15 of [BC].

Recall also that $V \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K$ is Hodge-Tate if and only if $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$ is semi-simple with integer eigenvalues. We will need the following comparison:

Lemma 10.1.3. *Suppose $\rho\colon \Gamma_K \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$ is Hodge-Tate. Any embedding $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_K$ induces $\tau_\iota\colon K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and we then have*

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_\iota}(\rho) = \{\textit{eigenvalues of } \Theta_{\rho,\iota}\}.$$

Proof. Let E and K' be as above. The Γ_K-representation V_E is Hodge-Tate, and there is a natural isomorphism of graded $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} K'$-modules

$$(V_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{B}_{HT})^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_K K' \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{B}_{HT})^{\Gamma_{K'}}.$$

Restricting to the q^{th} graded pieces, we get an $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} K'$-isomorphism

$$(V_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_K K' \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_{K'}},$$

---

[53] A precise reference is [HC50].

which in turn is an $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} K'$-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\tau\colon K \hookrightarrow E} (V_E \otimes_{\tau,K} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_K K' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\iota\colon E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_K} (V_E \otimes_{E,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_{K'}}.$$

Projecting to the τ-component, we obtain an $E \otimes_{\tau,K} K'$-isomorphism

$$(V_E \otimes_{\tau,K} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_K} \otimes_K K' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\iota\colon E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_K : \tau_\iota = \tau} (V_E \otimes_{E,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K(-q))^{\Gamma_{K'}}.$$

Writing $m_{q,\tau}$ for the multiplicity of q in $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(V)$, the left-hand side is a free $E \otimes_{\tau,K} K'$-module of rank $m_{q,\tau}$. Meanwhile, the K'-dimension of the ι-factor of the right-hand side is by definition the multiplicity of q as a Hodge-Tate weight of $V_E \otimes_{E,\iota} \mathbb{C}_K$, hence is q's multiplicity as an eigenvalue of $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$. We deduce (applying the ι-projection in $E \otimes_{\tau,K} K' \cong \prod_{\iota : \tau_\iota = \tau} K'$) that for all ι the eigenvalues of $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$ match the multi-set $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_\iota}(V)$.

Remark 10.1.4. We will only apply this when V is in fact de Rham, except for the last point of Remark 13.0.14.

10.2. **Induction and ℓ-adic Hodge theory.** We will later need a result on compatibility of Fontaine's functors with induction. The following lemma is certainly well-known, but I don't know of a proof in the literature, so I record some details.

Lemma 10.2.1. *Let $L/K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be finite, and let W be a de Rham representation of Γ_L. Then $V = \mathrm{Ind}_L^K W$ is also de Rham, and $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V)$ is the image under the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Fil}_L \to \mathrm{Fil}_K$ of $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(W)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ind}_L^K W$ is crystalline if and only if W is crystalline and L/K is unramified.*

Proof. I originally wrote down a proof 'in coordinates,' but Brian Conrad pointed out that this follows almost immediately from Frobenius reciprocity if one uses contravariant Fontaine functors:

$$\mathrm{D}^*_{dR}(V) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_K}(V, \mathrm{B}_{dR}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_L}(W, \mathrm{B}_{dR}|_{\Gamma_L}) = \mathrm{D}^*_{dR}(W).$$

Since $\mathrm{D}^*_{dR}(V) \cong \mathrm{D}_{dR}(V^*)$, and $\mathrm{Ind}_L^K(W^*) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^K(W)^*$, this shows that $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(V)$ is simply the filtered K-vector space underlying $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(W)$. Comparing dimensions, it is clear that V is de Rham if and only if W is. In the crystalline case, the same observation yields $\mathrm{D}^*_{cris}(V) \cong \mathrm{D}^*_{cris}(W)$, so

$$\dim_{K_0} \mathrm{D}_{cris}(V) = \dim_{K_0} \mathrm{D}_{cris}(W) = \dim_{L_0} \mathrm{D}_{cris}(W)[L_0 : K_0],$$

and comparing dimensions we see that V is crystalline if and only if W is crystalline and $[L_0 : K_0] = [L : K]$, i.e. L/K is unramified. ◻

11. SPIN EXAMPLES

To address Conrad's question in general, we will carry out rather arid formal manipulations in terms of root data. First, another example will give a flavor of the general theory, while remaining pleasantly concrete; and specializing to discrete series/regular examples, we can also still exploit known results about automorphic Galois representations. The previous example (lifting across the surjection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$) is really just the first case of a family of spin examples,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"'] & \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ is geometric but has no geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$. F will be a totally real field, and we will again see that over CM fields, geometric lifts do in fact exist. The case $n = 1$ will amount to the contents of the previous section.

We first recall the basic setup for (odd) Spin groups. We will also make heavy use of this notation, and its obvious analogues for even Spin groups, later on in some of our examples of 'motivic lifting' (see §17 and §18).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \{\pm 1\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{G}_m \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "z \mapsto z^2"] & \mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu"] & \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & \mathrm{G}_m \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{G}_m \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 1 & 1 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ν is the Clifford norm. We can then identify

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1} \arrow[d, "\nu"] & \frac{\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}}{\{1,(-1,c)\}} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "(x,g) \mapsto x^2"] \\
\mathrm{G}_m \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{G}_m
\end{tikzcd}$$

where c is the non-trivial central element of Spin_{2n+1}. This yields an identification of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{gspin}_{2n+1} \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} \mathfrak{gl}_1 \times \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1},$$

where $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ is identified with the center of $\mathfrak{gspin}_{2n+1}$. Alternatively, GSpin_{2n+1} is the dual group to GSp_{2n}, and it will be convenient to keep both normalizations of (based) root data for GSpin_{2n+1}– one from the spin description, one from the dual description– in mind. Let $(X, \Delta, X^\vee, \Delta^\vee)$ be the based root datum for GSp_{2n} (say defined with respect to $J_{2n} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$), with its diagonal maximal torus T and the Borel $B \supset T$ for which $e_i - e_{i+1}$ and $2e_n - e_0$ form a set of positive simple roots, with

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i &\colon diag(t_1, \dots, t_n, \nu t_1^{-1}, \dots, \nu t_n^{-1}) \mapsto t_i \\
e_0 &\colon diag(t_1, \dots, t_n, \nu t_1^{-1}, \dots, \nu t_n^{-1}) \mapsto \nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for GSpin_{2n+1}, we have the based root datum (with $X^\vee$ the character group)

$$\begin{aligned}
X^\vee &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{Z} e_i^* \\
\Delta^\vee &= \{\alpha_i^\vee = e_i^* - e_{i+1}^*\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{\alpha_n^\vee = e_n^*\} \\
X &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{Z} e_i \\
\Delta &= \{\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{\alpha_n = 2e_n - e_0\},
\end{aligned}$$

with X and $X^\vee$ in the duality $\langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

Alternatively, since Spin_{2n+1} is the connected, simple, simply-connected group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, we can write its character group as the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, i.e., as the submodule of $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q} \chi_i$ spanned by $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \frac{\chi_1 + \dots + \chi_n}{2}$, and its co-character lattice as those $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \lambda_i$ such that $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum c_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. The duality is $\langle \chi_i, \lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Letting χ_0 and λ_0 generate $X^\bullet(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ and $X_\bullet(\mathrm{GL}_1)$, respectively, the description of

GSpin_{2n+1} as $\frac{\mathrm{GL}_1\times\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}}{\{1,(-1,c)\}}$ then leads to another description of the root datum as

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^\bullet &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\chi_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\chi_0 + \frac{\chi_1+\ldots+\chi_n}{2}) \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Q}\chi_i\\
\Delta^\bullet &= \{\chi_i - \chi_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{\chi_n\}\\
Y_\bullet &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}(\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_0\\
\Delta_\bullet &= \{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{2\lambda_n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We summarize by comparing the two descriptions:

Lemma 11.0.2. *There is an isomorphism of based root data*

$$(X^\vee, \Delta^\vee, X, \Delta) \cong (Y^\bullet, \Delta^\bullet, Y_\bullet, \Delta_\bullet)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_i^* \mapsto \chi_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n;\\
&e_0^* \mapsto \chi_0 - \frac{\chi_1+\ldots+\chi_n}{2};\\
&e_i \mapsto \lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n-1;\\
&e_0 \mapsto \lambda_0.
\end{aligned}$$

For example, the center of GSpin_{2n+1} is generated by the co-character $e_0 \leftrightarrow \lambda_0$. The Clifford norm is given by the character $2\chi_0 \leftrightarrow 2e_0^* + \sum_1^n e_i^*$.

Returning to our representation ρ, recall that to each $v|\ell$ and $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$ we can associate the Sen operator

$$\Theta_{\rho,\iota} := \Theta_{\rho|_{\Gamma_{F_v}},\iota} \in \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}_{F_v}.$$

(The place v is implicit in ι.) Since ρ is Hodge-Tate, we can identify $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$ up to conjugation with a diagonal element

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & m_n & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & -m_n & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & -m_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $m_j = m_j(\iota)$ are all integers.[54]

Proposition 11.0.3. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation as above, with F totally real. Then ρ lifts to a geometric,* GSpin_{2n+1}*-valued representation if and only if the parity of $\sum_j m_j(\iota)$ is independent of $v|\ell$ and $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$.*

Remark 11.0.4. By itself, this result is formal. Later we will see how such ρ arise, at least when ρ is regular; but note that this Proposition makes no such assumption.

Proof. By Proposition 4.0.18, some lift $\tilde{\rho}$, necessarily unramified almost everywhere, exists. All possible lifts differ from this $\tilde{\rho}$ by $e_0 \circ \chi$ for some $\chi\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. As before, we can write the multiplier character $\nu(\tilde{\rho})\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ as $\chi^2\chi_0$, where χ_0 has finite order, and then replace $\tilde{\rho}$ by a twist having $\nu(\tilde{\rho})$ of finite-order (Lemma 13.0.7 will explain this procedure in general). Then, since finite-order characters have Hodge-Tate weights zero, functoriality of the Sen operator implies that for all $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$, $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ maps to $(0, \Theta_{\rho,\iota})$ in $(\mathfrak{gl}_1)_\iota \oplus (\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})_\iota$.[55]

---

[54] We choose the 'anti-diagonal' orthogonal pairing, so that SO_{2n+1} has a maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices.

Now consider the spin representation $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{r_{spin}} \mathrm{GL}_{2^n}$. In the above root datum notation this corresponds to the highest weight $-e_0^* \leftrightarrow -\chi_0 + \frac{\chi_1+\ldots+\chi_n}{2}$. The image of $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ is then a semi-simple element with one eigenvalue $\frac{m_1+\ldots+m_n}{2}$, and all eigenvalues congruent to this (half-integer) modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ is Hodge-Tate, and thus de Rham, if and only if for all $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$, $m_1(\iota) + \ldots + m_n(\iota)$ is even. If all (for all $v|\ell$ and all ι) of these sums are odd, then we twist by a character χ with all Hodge-Tate-Sen weights $1/2$ (see Lemma 5.2.4) to get a new $\tilde{\rho}$, now geometric, lifting ρ. On the other hand, Lemma 5.2.4 shows that for F totally real we cannot twist $\tilde{\rho}$ in a similar way if some $\sum_j m_j(\iota)$ are even and others are odd. □

Corollary 11.0.5. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be as in Proposition 11.0.3. Let L/F be a CM extension. Then $\rho|_{\Gamma_L}$ has a geometric lift.*

Proof. With the framework of the previous proof, this follows by the same argument as Lemma 9.2.4. □

If we make additional assumptions so that potential automorphy theorems apply, we can of course say more. The next couple lemmas merely cash in on some very deep recent results.

Lemma 11.0.6. *Assume that $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as in the previous proposition moreover satisfies:*

- *For all $v|\ell$, $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ is regular and potentially diagonalizable;*
- *$\bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is irreducible.*
- *$\ell \geq 2(2n+2)$.*

Then after some totally real base change F'/F, there exists a regular L-algebraic self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation π on GL_{2n+1}/F' such that $\pi \longleftrightarrow \rho$.

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem C (=Corollary 4.5.2) of [BLGGT], since ρ is automatically totally odd self-dual.[56] □

Lemma 11.0.7. *Let $\rho \longleftrightarrow \pi$ be as in Lemma 11.0.6. Then π descends to a cuspidal automorphic representation on Sp_{2n}/F'.*

Proof. This follows from Arthur's classification of automorphic representations of classical groups ([Art]). Namely, $\rho^\vee \cong \rho$ implies that $\pi^\vee \cong \pi$, and $\det(\rho) = 1$ implies that $\omega_\pi = 1$. π is cuspidal, so the associated formal A-parameter ϕ (see §1.4 of [Art]) is simple generic and so comes from a unique simple twisted endoscopic datum G_ϕ as in Theorem 1.4.1 of [Art]; since $2n+1$ is odd, the parameter ϕ therefore factors through either $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{O}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, but the latter case is ruled out since $\omega_\pi = 1$. It follows that $G_\phi = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}/F$.[57] □

Proposition 11.0.8. *Continuing with the assumptions (and conclusions) of the previous two lemmas, $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ has a geometric lift to $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ if and only if π admits an L-algebraic extension to $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. In the other direction, we have, as in Lemma 8.2.8, an automorphic construction of infinitely many such ρ, whose*

---

[55] Writing $\mathfrak{g}_\iota$ as a short-hand for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$.

[56] Note that those authors always work with C-algebraic automorphic representations, so the statements of their theorems always have an extra, but easily unraveled, twist.

[57] One would like to say that since ρ lands in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\rho)$ contains the trivial representation and therefore $L(s, \pi, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$; this would allow us to descend π to Sp_{2n}/F using [CKPSS04]. Unfortunately, nothing is known a priori about $L(s, V)$ where $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\rho) = \mathbf{1} \oplus V$; in particular, we can't say immediately it is non-vanishing at $s = 1$, so this argument doesn't seem to work. The argument we have given allows us to deduce that $L(s, \pi, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$, and therefore $L(s, V)$ is non-vanishing at $s = 1$.

local behavior[58] *we can additionally specify at any finite number of places, that do not admit a geometric lift.*

Proof. (Some details of this argument are omitted, deferring to more general arguments in the next section.) At each $v|\infty$, we can write the L-parameter of π_v as

$$\phi_v \colon W_{F_v} \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{F_v}$$

$$\phi_v|_{W_{\overline{F}_v}} \colon z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^{m_1}\overline{z}^{l_1} & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & z^{m_n}\overline{z}^{l_n} & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & z^{-m_n}\overline{z}^{-l_n} & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & z^{-m_1}\overline{z}^{-l_1}. \end{pmatrix},$$

with all $m_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the transfer of π to GL_{2n+1}/F is cuspidal, Clozel's archimedean purity theorem (see Lemme 4.9 of [Clo90]) implies that $m_i + l_i = 0$ for all i (and all v). To extend π in an L or W-algebraic fashion, the key point is to construct an appropriate extension of the central character. Any lift $\tilde{\phi}_v$ to $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ of the parameter ϕ_v must, on $W_{\overline{F}_v}$, take the form $z \mapsto z^{\tilde{\mu}_v}\overline{z}^{\tilde{\nu}_v}$, where

$$\tilde{\mu}_v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{v,i}(\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}) + \mu_{v,0}\lambda_0$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_v = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{v,i}(\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}) + \nu_{v,0}\lambda_0.$$

The central character of this extension is (by pairing with $2\chi_0$, the Clifford norm; this procedure for computing central characters is explained in general in [Lan89])

$$\omega_v \colon z \mapsto z^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_{v,i} + 2\mu_{v,0}}\overline{z}^{-\sum_{i=1}^n m_{v,i} + 2\nu_{v,0}}.$$

If we can choose an extension of π to $\tilde{\pi}$ on GSp_{2n}/F' with finite-order central character, then this calculation shows that $\tilde{\phi}_v$ (the local L-parameter for $\tilde{\pi}_v$) is always 'W-algebraic,'[59] and it is 'L-algebraic' if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n m_{v,i}$ is even. The result would follow easily, twisting our given $\tilde{\pi}$ by $|\cdot|^{1/2}$ if all $\sum_i m_{v,i}$ are odd (just as in the Galois case). That we can find such an extension $\tilde{\pi}$ with finite-order central character will be proven in much more generality in Proposition 12.3.3.

The construction of geometric $\rho \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ having no geometric lift to $\mathrm{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (and with specified local behavior) follows as in Lemma 8.2.8, applying Clozel's limit multiplicity formula to $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}/F$, transferring these forms to GL_{2n+1} (via [Art]), and then invoking the Paris Book Project, or, more precisely, Remark 7.6 of [Shi11]. □

We have therefore generalized some of the results of §9 (the case $n = 1$).

Part 3. **Galois and automorphic lifting**

This chapter begins (§12) by addressing the natural automorphic analogue of Conrad's lifting question. It is much easier to see what should be true in this setting, and the proofs are simpler as well. Equipped with the intuition coming from the automorphic case, we address the original Galois-theoretic question in §13. In §14, we combine the results of §12 and 13 to compare, *modulo the Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands conjecture*, descent problems for certain automorphic representations and Galois representations. The closing section, §15, is of a rather different nature, assembling a few results about the images of compatible systems of

---

[58] i.e. inertial type

[59] Using this term abusively for the obvious local analogue.

ℓ-adic Galois representations. The results of this section continue the attempt to compare aspects of the automorphic and Galois-theoretic formalisms.

12. Lifting W-algebraic representations

For simplicity, we take G to be a connected semi-simple split group over F; in fact, the cases of greatest interest are when G is simply-connected (so $G^\vee$ is adjoint), such as SL_n or Sp_{2n}, but we do not assume this. The semi-simplicity assumption is not essential, and in fact in §13 we will work more generally. Choose an embedding of Z_G into an F-split torus $\tilde{Z}$, and let $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{Z} \times G)/Z_G$, as before, with maximal torus $\tilde{T} = (\tilde{Z} \times T)/Z_G$ and center $\tilde{Z}$. In each case Z_G is embedded anti-diagonally.

Now let π be a (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ that is W-algebraic. We are interested in the problem of lifting π to a W-algebraic automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$; when π is moreover L-algebraic, we similarly ask whether an L-algebraic lift exists. By 'lift,' we simply mean the most naive thing: the restriction $\tilde{\pi}|_{G(\mathbf{A}_F)}$ contains π. Corollary 12.2.4 justifies this convention, showing that under the L-morphism ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^LG$, the L-packet of π is a functorial transfer of the L-packet of $\tilde{\pi}$.

12.1. **Notation and central character calculation.** In order to do computations in terms of root data, we choose coordinates (using invariant factors) such that

$$X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}w_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}w'_j,$$

and the kernel of $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}) \to X^\bullet(Z_G)$ is

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} d_i\mathbb{Z}w_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}w'_j.$$

This is $X^\bullet(S)$ for the torus $S = \tilde{Z}/Z_G$. We then write $X^\bullet(Z_G) = \oplus_1^r(\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z})\overline{w}_i$. To relate parameters for $\tilde{G}$ to those for G, we use the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^\bullet(\tilde{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}) \arrow[d] \\
X^\bullet(T) \arrow[r] & X^\bullet(Z_G),
\end{tikzcd}$$

representing elements of $X^\bullet(\tilde{T})$ as pairs $(\chi_T, \chi_{\tilde{Z}})$ that are congruent in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. Extending scalars to $\mathbb{Q}$ (or any characteristic zero field), $X^\bullet(\tilde{T})_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} X^\bullet(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We will usually (out of sad necessity) take F to be either CM or totally real. In the former case (or whenever an archimedean place is complex) we compute local central characters (see page 21 ff. of [Lan89]) as follows:

Suppose v is complex, so there is an isomorphism $\iota_v \colon F_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_v}(\pi_v) \colon z \mapsto \iota_v(z)^{\mu_v}\overline{\iota}(z)^{\nu_v} \in T^\vee(\mathbb{C})^{60}$$

be the associated Langlands parameter, with $\mu_v, \nu_v \in X^\bullet(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mu_v - \nu_v \in X^\bullet(T)$. Write $\sum_{i=1}^r [\mu_v - \nu_v]_i \overline{w}_i$ for the projection of $\mu_v - \nu_v$ to $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. Choose any $\mu_{v,i}, \nu_{v,i} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mu_{v,i} - \nu_{v,i}$ an integer projecting to $[\mu_v - \nu_v]_i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$. We can then regard $\tilde{\mu}_v = (\mu_v, \sum_1^r \mu_{v,i}w_i)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_v = (\nu_v, \sum_1^r \nu_{v,i}w_i)$ as elements of $X^\bullet(\tilde{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ parametrizing an extension of the local L-parameter of π_v to a parameter for $\tilde{G}(F_v)$. Identifying, via our chosen basis, $\tilde{Z}(F_v)$ with $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r+s}$, the central character $\omega_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$ of this lift is simply

$$\omega_{\tilde{\pi}_v} \colon (z_1, \ldots, z_r, z'_1, \ldots, z'_s) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_i^{\mu_{v,i}-\nu_{v,i}} |z_i|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\nu_{v,i}}.$$

---

[60] From now on, we write $z, \overline{z}$ in place of $\iota_v(z), \overline{\iota}_v(z)$.

Restricting to $Z_G(F_v) \cong \mu_{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{d_r} \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, we find that the central character ω_{π_v} is given by

$$\omega_{\pi_v} \colon (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{r} \zeta_i^{\mu_{v,i} - \nu_{v,i}},$$

where each ζ_i is a d_i^{th} root of unity. Clearly this is independent of the choice of lifts $\tilde{\mu}_v, \tilde{\nu}_v$.

Definition 12.1.1. If F is a CM field, and π is a (unitary) automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with archimedean parameters μ_v, ν_v as above at each $v|\infty$, then we define $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(\pi)$ to be any choice of Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}$ lifting ω_π and such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_v(z_1, \ldots, z_s') = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (z_i/|z_i|)^{\mu_{v,i} - \nu_{v,i}}.$$

This is a unitary, type A Hecke character whose existence is assured by Lemma 5.1.5.

When v is real, the lifting process is more complicated (see [Lan89]), and the central character computation depends very much on where the L-parameter of π_v sends an element of $W_{\mathbb{R}} - W_{\mathbb{C}}$. We can avoid this, however:

Definition 12.1.2. Let π be as in the previous definition, but now suppose F is totally real. Then we define $\tilde{\omega}$ to be any choice of finite-order Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}$ extending ω_π (existence again by Lemma 5.1.5).

12.2. **Generalities on lifting from $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ to $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$.** We now try to find an automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi} \subset L^2_{cusp}(\tilde{G}(F)\backslash\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F), \tilde{\omega})$[61] lifting π, where $\tilde{\omega}$ is any (unitary) lift of the central character ω_π. We say that we are in the Grunwald-Wang special case if one of the pairs (F, d_i) is in the usual Grunwald-Wang special case. Let $H_{\mathbf{A}_F} = G(\mathbf{A}_F)\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ (a normal subgroup of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$), and let $H_F = H_{\mathbf{A}_F} \cap \tilde{G}(F)$.

Lemma 12.2.1. *We are in the Grunwald-Wang special case if and only if H_F strictly contains $G(F)\tilde{Z}(F)$.*

Proof. Recall the characters $d_i w_i \in X^\bullet(S) = X^\bullet(\tilde{G})$ (since G is semi-simple). These induce an isomorphism

$$H_F/G(F)\tilde{Z}(F) \xrightarrow{\prod d_i w_i} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(F^\times \cap (\mathbf{A}_F^\times)^{d_i}\right)/(F^\times)^{d_i}.$$

□

There are various ways to show forms on $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ extend to $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$; the argument we use here is modeled on one of Flicker for the case $(\tilde{G}, G) = (\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ (see Proposition 2.4.3 of [Fli06]).

Proposition 12.2.2. *Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$, with central character ω_π. If we are not in the Grunwald-Wang special case, then for any extension $\tilde{\omega}$ of ω_π to a Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}$, there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ lifting π, and having central character $\tilde{\omega}$. If we are in the Grunwald-Wang special case, then for at least one extension of ω_π to*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} C_F[d_i] \supset \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{d_i}(F)\backslash\mu_{d_i}(\mathbf{A}_F),$$

and for any extension of this character to a Hecke character $\tilde{\omega}$ of $\tilde{Z}$, there exists a cuspidal $\tilde{\pi}$ lifting π with central character $\tilde{\omega}$.[62]

Remark 12.2.3. In particular, in all cases, for all Hecke characters $\tilde{\omega}$ extending ω_π, there exists a Hecke character $\tilde{\omega}'$ having the same infinity-type, and a cuspidal representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ lifting π, with central character $\tilde{\omega}'$.

---

[61] That is, the space of measurable functions on $\tilde{G}(F)\backslash\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with (unitary) central character $\tilde{\omega}$, and square-integrable modulo $\tilde{Z}(F_\infty)^0$– or, equivalently, modulo $A_{\tilde{G}}(\mathbb{R})^0$, where $A_{\tilde{G}}$ is the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split central torus in $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{G})$.

[62] This odd modification in the special case is presumably an artifact of my clumsy proof.

Proof. Choose an extension $\tilde{\omega}\colon \tilde{Z}(F)\backslash\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F) \to \mathrm{S}^1$ of ω_π. By extending functions along $\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ via $\tilde{\omega}$, we embed the space V_π of π into the space of cusp-forms on $G(F)\tilde{Z}(F)\backslash H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$, and thereby obtain an extension of π to a representation $\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}$ of $H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$. We construct an intertwining map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{H_{\mathbf{A}_F}}^{\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)}(\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}) \xrightarrow{U} L^2_{cusp}(\tilde{G}(F)\backslash\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F), \tilde{\omega}),$$

where the induction consists of functions $F\colon \tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F) \to V_\pi$ with the usual left-$H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$-equivariance, and the requirement of compact support modulo $H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$. Write $\delta_1\colon V_\pi \to \mathbb{C}$ for evaluation at 1 of the cusp forms in V_π, and set

$$L(F) = \sum_{G(F)\tilde{Z}(F)\backslash\tilde{G}(F)\ni u} \delta_1(F_u),$$

where we write F_u for the value at u of $F \in \mathrm{Ind}(\pi_{\tilde{\omega}})$. This sum is in fact finite: $\tilde{G}(F)$ is discrete in $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$, the fibers of $G(F)\tilde{Z}(F)\backslash\tilde{G}(F) \to H_{\mathbf{A}_F}\backslash\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ are finite, and we have assumed F has compact support modulo $H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$. It is also well-defined because for $\gamma \in G(F)\tilde{Z}(F)$,

$$\delta_1(F_{\gamma u}) = \delta_1(\gamma \cdot F_u) = F_u(\gamma) = F_u(1) = \delta_1(F_u).$$

The map U is then given by

$$U(F)\colon g \mapsto L(I(g)F),$$

where $I(\cdot)$ denotes the $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$-action on the induction. U is clearly $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$-equivariant and has output $U(F)$ which is left-$\tilde{G}(F)$-equivariant (by its construction as an average) and has central character $\tilde{\omega}$; $U(F)$ is a cusp form by a simple calculation using the fact that the unipotent radical of any parabolic of $\tilde{G}$ is in fact contained in G.

To see that $U \neq 0$, take a non-zero form $f \in V_\pi$; we may assume (by translating) $f(1) \neq 0$. Then define $F \in \mathrm{Ind}(\pi_{\tilde{\omega}})$ by

$$F_h\colon h' \mapsto f(h'h)$$

if $h \in H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$, and $F_g = 0$ for $g \notin H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$. Then

$$U(F)(1) = \sum_u \delta_1(F_u) = \sum_u \begin{cases} f(u) & \text{if } u \in H_{\mathbf{A}_F};\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

So, if we are not in the special case, we just get $U(F)(1) = f(1) \neq 0$. If we are in the special case, then for each pair (F, d_i) in the special case there is an element $\alpha_i \in F^\times$ which is everywhere locally a d_i^{th}-power– say $\alpha_i = \beta_i^{d_i}$, but not globally a d_i^{th}-power. By abuse of notation, we also write α_i for an element of $\tilde{G}(F)$ such that $(d_i w_i)(\alpha_i)$ is this element of $F^\times$ and the other characters $d_j w_j$ $(j \neq i)$ and w_j' of $\tilde{G}$ are trivial on α_i.[63] Regarding β_i as an element of the i^{th} componenet of $\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$, we can therefore write $\alpha_i = \beta_i \cdot \gamma_i$, where each γ_i lies in $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$. If only one pair (F, d_i) is in the special case, then the above expression for $U(F)(1)$ becomes $f(1) + f(\alpha_i) = f(1) + \tilde{\omega}(\beta_i)f(\gamma_i)$. This time we normalize f so that $f(\gamma_i) \neq 0$ (rather than $f(1) \neq 0$), and so necessarily either $f(1) + \tilde{\omega}(\beta_i)f(\gamma_i) \neq 0$, or this expression is non-zero for any $\tilde{\omega}$ extending the *other* extension of ω_π to a character of $C_F[d_i]$. Thus we can choose at least one initial extension to $C_F[d_i]$, and thereafter the argument proceeds as in the non-exceptional case. If multiple (F, d_i) are in the special case, the same argument applies: arrange some $f(\gamma_i) \neq 0$, and if $U(F)(1) = 0$, change the extension of ω_π to $C_F[d_i]$ just in this i^{th} component.

Finally, given that $U \neq 0$, we take $\tilde{\pi}$ to be the image of any irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_{H_{\mathbf{A}_F}}^{\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)}(\pi_{\tilde{\omega}})$ that survives under U, and we claim this is the desired extension of $\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}$. The isomorphism classes of $H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$-representations appearing in the restriction to $H_{\mathbf{A}_F}$ of the full induction are precisely the conjugates $\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}^g$, for $g \in \tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$-stability of $\tilde{\pi}$ implies that all of these in fact appear in $\tilde{\pi}|_{H_{\mathbf{A}_F}}$. In particular, this latter restriction contains $\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}$. ◻

---

[63] We use the assumption that G is split over F.

We need to supplement this by understanding to what extent π is a 'functorial transfer' of $\tilde{\pi}$, with respect to the L-homomorphism ${}^L\tilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow {}^L G$:

Corollary 12.2.4. *Continue in the setting of the proposition. Then π is a weak transfer of $\tilde{\pi}$, which is also a strong transfer at archimedean places. That is, at all unramified and all archimedean places, the L-parameters ϕ_v and $\tilde{\phi}_v$ of π_v and $\tilde{\pi}_v$ are well-defined, and our assertion is that $\tilde{\phi}_v$ reduces to ϕ_v (up to $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy) for all such v. In fact, we verify a more general local result:*

- *Let v be an archimedean place, and let $G \xrightarrow{\eta} \tilde{G}$ be a morphism of any connected reductive groups over F_v, with abelian kernel and cokernel. Given an L-parameter $\tilde{\phi}_v$ for $\tilde{G}(F_v)$, we can form $\phi_v = {}^L\eta \circ \tilde{\phi}_v$. The local L-packets $\Pi_{\tilde{\phi}_v}$ and Π_{ϕ_v} have been defined by Langlands, and for any $\tilde{\pi}_v \in \Pi_{\tilde{\phi}_v}$, the restriction $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)}$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible admissible representations belonging to Π_{ϕ_v}.*
- *Now let v be a finite place, and suppose $G \xrightarrow{\eta} \tilde{G}$ are unramified groups over F_v. Let $\tilde{\phi}_v$ be an unramified L-parameter for $\tilde{G}(F_v)$. Then the same conclusion holds as in the first part: for any $\tilde{\pi}_v \in \Pi_{\tilde{\phi}_v}$, the restriction $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)}$ is a finite direct sum of elements of the (well-defined) local L-packet Π_{ϕ_v}, with $\phi_v = {}^L\eta \circ \tilde{\phi}_v$.*

Proof. For infinite places v, the assertion follows from desideratum (*iv*) on page 30 of [Lan89]. Since the construction of the correspondence is inductive, the verification necessarily stretches out through §3 of that paper; for the first (and most important) case of discrete series, see page 43.

Now we treat the unramified case. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is unramified, i.e. there exists a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $\tilde{K}_v$ of $\tilde{G}(F_v)$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_v^{\tilde{K}_v} \neq 0$. Then for some unramified character $\tilde{\chi}_v$ of $\tilde{T}(F_v)$, $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is a sub-quotient of $I_{\tilde{B}(F_v)}^{\tilde{G}(F_v)}\tilde{\chi}_v$. The natural map $G(F_v)/B(F_v) \to \tilde{G}(F_v)/\tilde{B}(F_v)$ is an isomorphism, so restriction of functions gives an isomorphism of $G(F_v)$ representations

$$\left(I_{\tilde{B}(F_v)}^{\tilde{G}(F_v)}\tilde{\chi}_v\right)|_{G(F_v)} \xrightarrow{\sim} I_{B(F_v)}^{G(F_v)}(\tilde{\chi}_v|_{B(F_v)}).$$

Write χ_v for $\tilde{\chi}_v|_{B(F_v)}$. It is an unramified character of $T(F_v)$, and under the identification of unramified characters of $T(F_v)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(X_\bullet(T)^{\Gamma_{F_v}}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ (and the analogue for $\tilde{T}$), and thus with the space of unramified L-parameters, χ_v corresponds to the parameter $\phi_v = {}^L\eta \circ \tilde{\phi}_v$. By definition of unramified L-packets (see §10.4 of Borel), to see that any constituent π_v of $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)}$ lies in the packet Π_{ϕ_v}, we need only check that π_v has invariants under some hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(F_v)$. To see this, let u be a non-zero vector in $\tilde{\pi}_v^{\tilde{K}_v}$. Decomposing $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)} = \oplus_1^M \pi_i^{\oplus m_i}$ (with the π_i distinct isomorphism classes; in fact, the multiplicities m_i are all equal), we see that u lies in one of the isotypic componenets $\pi_i^{\oplus m_i}$, since the induction $I(\chi_v)$ can only have one $K_v := G(F_v) \cap \tilde{K}_v$- invariant line. Fixing a decomposition $u = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} u_j$ with u_j in the j^{th} copy of π_i, we find that the u_j are themselves also K_v-invariant, hence π_i contains a K_v-invariant vector (this in turn implies the multiplicities m_i must equal 1). Since $\tilde{G}(F_v)$ acts transitively on the isomorphism classes π_i, each π_i, and in particular our given π_v, contains some vector of the form $\tilde{\pi}_v(g)u$, which is gK_vg^{-1}-invariant. Now, g lies in $\tilde{G}(F_v)$ but acts through its image in $G_{ad}(F_v) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)(F_v)$; this group acts on the set of hyperspecial points of the building of $G(F_v)$, so gK_vg^{-1} is also a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup, and we're done. □

Remark 12.2.5. According to expected properties of local L-packets, π should be a strong transfer of $\tilde{\pi}$ (see §10.3 of [Bor79]), but of course this statement is meaningless until the local Langlands correspondence is known for G and $\tilde{G}$.

Eventually, we will also want to understand the ambiguity in the choice of $\tilde{\pi}$. Strictly speaking, we only need this in one direction of Proposition 12.3.3 below, but as a general problem, its importance is basic. We will need to assume something from local representation theory, which has also previously been conjectured, more generally for quasi-split G and $\tilde{G}$, by Adler and Prasad ([AP06].

Conjecture 12.2.6. *Let v be any place F, and let G and $\tilde{G}$ be as above. Then for any irreducible smooth representation $\tilde{\pi}_v$ of $\tilde{G}(F_v)$, the restriction $\tilde{\pi}_v|_{G(F_v)}$ decomposes with multiplicity one.*

The motivation for this conjecture is the uniqueness of Whittaker models for quasi-split groups, and indeed the conjecture holds for generic $\tilde{\pi}_v$. The analogous statement for v archimedean is straightforward in many cases: for instance, for a simple G, it is easy because $\mathbb{R}^\times/(\mathbb{R}^\times)^n$ is cyclic for all n. I suspect that the technology exists to deduce it in general for archimedean v. For v non-archimedean, we have verified it in the unramified case (see the proof of Lemma 12.2.4), and in general the conjecture is known for the following pairs $(\tilde{G}, G)$: $(\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n)$ (from the theory of, possibly degenerate, Whittaker models; see [LL79] for the $n = 2$ case), and any pair $(GU(V), U(V))$ where $(V, \langle, \rangle)$ is a vector space over F_v equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric or skew-symmetric form $\langle, \rangle$, and $GU(V)$, respectively $U(V)$, denote the similitude and isometry groups of the pairing (see Theorem 1.4 of [AP06]). So, whatever the status of the general conjecture, the discussion below applies to some interesting cases.

Lemma 12.2.7. *Assume Conjecture 12.2.6. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'$ are two lifts of π (i.e. their restrictions contain π) with the same central character $\tilde{\omega}$.*

- *There exist continuous idele characters $\alpha_i \colon \mathbf{A}_F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, such that, in the notation of §12.1,*
$$\tilde{\pi} \cong \tilde{\pi}' \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \circ d_i w_i \right),$$
and each $\alpha_i^{d_i}$ factors as a genuine Hecke character $\alpha_i^{d_i} \colon \mathbf{A}_F^\times/F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.
- *Since Hecke characters satisfy purity, so do the characters α_i. [We are particularly interested in the consequent constraint on the infinity type of α_i, which must be just as rigid as the constraints for Hecke characters. This will be applied in Proposition 12.3.3.]*

Proof. As before, write $H_{\mathbf{A}_F} = G(\mathbf{A}_F)\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and write $H_v = G(F_v)\tilde{Z}(F_v)$ for its local analogue. By hypothesis, both restrictions $\tilde{\pi}|_{H_{\mathbf{A}_F}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'|_{H_{\mathbf{A}_F}}$ contain $\pi \boxtimes \tilde{\omega}$, so this holds everywhere locally as well. Lemma 2.4 of [GK82] (applying Conjecture 12.2.6) implies that for all finite v, there exists a smooth character $\alpha_v \colon \tilde{G}(F_v)/H_v \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_v \cong \tilde{\pi}'_v \cdot \alpha_v$. By duality (for finite abelian groups), we can extend this to a character $\bar{\alpha}_v$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \tilde{G}(F_v)/H_v \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_v"'] & \prod_{i=1}^r F_v^\times/(F_v^\times)^{d_i} \arrow[dl, "\bar{\alpha}_v"'] \\ & \mathbb{C}^\times & \end{tikzcd}$$

We express α_v in coordinates as
$$\alpha_v = (\alpha_{i,v} \circ (d_i w_i))_{i=1}^r$$
for smooth characters $\alpha_{i,v}$ of $F_v^\times$ trivial on $(F_v^\times)^{d_i}$. Globally, we then have
$$\tilde{\pi} \cong \tilde{\pi}' \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\otimes'_v \alpha_{i,v}) \circ d_i w_i \right).$$
Here the $\alpha_i := \otimes'_v \alpha_{i,v}$ are continuous[64] characters $\mathbf{A}_F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, but they need not be Hecke characters. Taking central characters, however, we see that each $\alpha_i^{d_i}$ *is* in fact a Hecke character. □

Remark 12.2.8. Under Conjecture 12.2.6, one should be able to refine the arguments of this section to produce a multiplicity formula for cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ in terms of those of $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{A}_F)$, as in Lemma 6.2 of [LL79]). One can also axiomatize the passage between local Langlands conjectures for G and for $\tilde{G}$; this would include verifying compatibility of the conjectural formulae for the sizes of L-packets (a template, in the case of $(\mathrm{GSp}, \mathrm{Sp})$, is given in the paper of [GT10]; their arguments will clearly apply much more generally).

---

[64] Almost all $\alpha_{i,v}$ are unramified, since the same holds for $\tilde{\pi}_v$ and $\tilde{\pi}'_v$.

12.3. **Algebraicity of lifts: the ideal case.** Now we return to questions of algebraicity, handling the CM and totally real cases in turn. In each case, we carefully choose an extension of the central character of π (as described in Definitions 12.1.1 and 12.1.2), and then find a $\tilde{\pi}$ as in Proposition 12.2.2 with that central character, and whose restriction to $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ contains π. This will yield enough information about the archimedean L-parameters of $\tilde{\pi}$ to deduce the desired algebraicity statements. To give a clean argument with broad conceptual scope, we will assume that π is tempered at infinity[65]. As remarked before, this is not a serious assumption for algebraic representations: it is satisfied for forms having cuspidal transfer to some GL_N. For non-tempered forms, analogous results for the discrete spectrum can be deduced from Arthur's conjectures.

Proposition 12.3.1. *Let F be CM, with $\pi, \tilde{\pi}$ as above, and with $\tilde{\omega}$ the (type A) extension of ω_π described in Definition 12.1.1. Assume π_∞ is tempered.*

1. *If π is L-algebraic, then $\tilde{\pi}$ is L-algebraic. In particular, there exists an L-algebraic lift of π.*
2. *If π is W-algebraic, then $\tilde{\pi}$ is W-algebraic.*

Proof. We use the notation of §12.1. We may of course take all $\mu_{v,i}$ and $\nu_{v,i}$ to be integers. By the choice of central character $\tilde{\omega}$, the archimedean L-parameter for $\tilde{\pi}_v$ corresponds to

$$\tilde{\mu}_v = (\mu_v, \sum \frac{\mu_{v,i} - \nu_{v,i}}{2} w_i) \in X^\bullet(T)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and

$$\tilde{\nu}_v = (\nu_v, \sum \frac{\nu_{v,i} - \mu_{v,i}}{2} w_i) \in X^\bullet(T)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We write this parameter as an obviously integral term plus a defect:

$$\tilde{\mu}_v = (\mu_v, \sum \mu_{v,i} w_i) + (0, -\sum \frac{\mu_{v,i} + \nu_{v,i}}{2} w_i),$$

and likewise for $\tilde{\nu}_v$. Note that this lies in $X(\tilde{T})$ if and only if for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have $\frac{\mu_{v,i}+\nu_{v,i}}{2} \in d_i\mathbb{Z}$. The discussion is so far general; if we now assume π_v is tempered, then for all $\lambda \in X_\bullet(T)$, the character

$$z \mapsto z^{\langle \mu_v, \lambda \rangle} \bar{z}^{\langle \nu_v, \lambda \rangle}$$

is unitary, i.e.

$$Re(\langle \mu_v + \nu_v, X_\bullet(T) \rangle) = 0.$$

W-algebraic representations of course have real infinitesimal character, so $\mu_v = -\nu_v$, and therefore in the initial choice of lift we may assume $\mu_{v,i} = -\nu_{v,i}$ for all i. Then obviously $\tilde{\mu}_v$ is L-algebraic.

If π is only W-algebraic, then we have to check that $2\tilde{\mu}_v = (2\mu_v, \sum(\mu_{v,i} - \nu_{v,i})w_i)$ lies in $X^\bullet(\tilde{T})$. This element of $X^\bullet(T) \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})$ represents an element of $X^\bullet(\tilde{T})$ if and only if $2\mu_v$ maps to $\sum_i [\mu_v - \nu_v]_i \bar{w}_i$ in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. The latter is also the image of $\mu_v - \nu_v$, so it is equivalent to ask that $\mu_v + \nu_v \in X^\bullet(T)$ map to zero in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. As above, temperedness of π_v guarantees this, so we are done. □

Remark 12.3.2. This result would immediately extend to totally imaginary fields if we knew that ω_π extended to a type A_0 Hecke character of $\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. As noted in Remark 6.2.6, this would follow from the ('CM descent') conjectures of §6. The ability to extend L-algebraic representations on G to L-algebraic representations of $\tilde{G}$ is essential to Arthur's conjectural construction (see §6 of [Art02]) of a morphism $\mathcal{L}_F \to \mathcal{G}_F$ between (his candidates for) the automorphic Langlands group and motivic Galois group of F, and so his construction in the totally imaginary case is consistent with our results. As we will see in the next Proposition, however, the construction requires modification for totally real F.

---

[65] No assumption at finite places.

So we turn to the case of totally real F. I am grateful to Brian Conrad for urging a coordinate-free formulation of the obstruction in part 2 of the proposition.

Proposition 12.3.3. *Now suppose F is totally real, with $\pi, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\pi}$ as before ($\tilde{\omega}$ finite-order as in Definition 12.1.2). Alternatively, let F be arbitrary, but assume that ω_π admits a finite-order extension $\tilde{\omega}$. Continue to assume π_∞ is tempered.*

1. *If π is L-algebraic, then it admits a W-algebraic lift $\tilde{\pi}$.*
2. *Assume Conjecture 12.2.6 for the 'only if' direction of this statement. Assume F is totally real, and consider this W-algebraic lift $\tilde{\pi}$. Then the images of μ_v and ν_v under $X^\bullet(T) \to X^\bullet(Z_G)$ lie in $X^\bullet(Z_G)[2]$, and π admits an L-algebraic lift if and only if these images are independent of $v|\infty$.*

Proof. We continue with the parameter notation of the previous proof. Let π be L-algebraic. First we check that since $\tilde{\omega}$ can be chosen finite-order (automatic in the totally real case, but an additional assumption at complex places) $\mu_v - \nu_v$ maps to zero in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. If v is imaginary, then $\tilde{\omega}$ cannot be finite order unless ω_{π_v} is trivial, hence μ_v and ν_v themselves are trivial in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$. If v is real, then in $T^\vee \subset G^\vee$ we have the relation

$$\phi(j) z^{\mu_v} \bar{z}^{\nu_v} \phi(j)^{-1} = z^{\nu_v} \bar{z}^{\mu_v},$$

writing ϕ for the L-parameter and $j \in W_{F_v} - W_{\overline{F}_v}$. Now, $\phi(j) \in N_{G^\vee}(T^\vee)$ represents an element w of the Weyl group of $G^\vee$, and this yields the relations $w\mu_v = \nu_v$ and $w\nu_v = \mu_v$. Thus $\mu_v - \nu_v = \mu_v - w\mu_v$, which lies in the root lattice Q of G. [This holds for $w\chi - \chi$ for any $\chi \in X^\bullet(T)$: reduce to the case of simple reflections, where it is clear from the defining formula.] Restricting characters to Z_G factors through a perfect duality $Z_G \times X^\bullet(T)/Q \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, so $\mu_v - w\mu_v \in Q$ has trivial image in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$.

Any lift with finite-order central character has parameters $\tilde{\mu}_v = (\mu_v, 0)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_v = (\nu_v, 0)$; this pair yields a well-defined representation of $W_{\overline{F}_v}$, i.e. $\tilde{\mu}_v - \tilde{\nu}_v \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T})$, and its projections to T and $\tilde{Z}$ are what they have to be, so they are the only possible parameters; that this extends (possibly non-uniquely) to an L-parameter on the whole of W_{F_v} follows from general theory (Langlands Lemma), but we do not need the details of this extension. Now we use the assumption that π_v is tempered: as in the previous proposition, we see that $\mu_v = -\nu_v$. Hence $2\mu_v$ maps to zero in $X^\bullet(Z_G)$, and so $2(\mu_v, 0) \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T})$, i.e. $(\mu_v, 0)$ is W-algebraic.

For F totally real, we now show the second part of the proposition. By Lemma 12.2.7, if a second lift $\tilde{\pi}'$ is L-algebraic, then

$$\tilde{\pi}' \cong \tilde{\pi} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \circ d_i w_i \right),$$

where each $\alpha_i^{d_i}$ is a Hecke character of our totally real field, and therefore (since $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'$ both have rational infinitesimal character) takes the form $\chi_i \cdot |\cdot|^{d_i y_i}$ for a finite-order character χ_i and a rational number y_i. The infinitesimal character of $\tilde{\pi}'_v$ (for $v|\infty$) then corresponds to $(\mu_v, \sum y_i d_i w_i) \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which is integral if and only if $y_i \in \frac{1}{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $y_i d_i$ is the image of μ_v in $\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$ for each i. The claim follows easily. □

Corollary 12.3.4. *Let F be totally real and π be L-algebraic on G/F.*

1. *Suppose π_∞ is tempered. If all d_i are odd, then $\tilde{\pi}$ is L-algebraic. In particular, if the simple factors of G are all type A_{2n} (i.e. SL_{2n+1}), or of type E_6, E_8, F_4, G_2,[66] then any L-algebraic π has an L-algebraic lift.*
2. *Assume $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ (still totally real). For G simple simply-connected (and split) of type B_n, C_n, D_{2n}, and E_7, there exist, assuming Conjecture 12.2.6 for the pair $(G, \tilde{G})$, L-algebraic π on G/F, tempered at infinity, that admit no L-algebraic lift to $\tilde{G}$.*

Proof. The first part is immediate from the proof of the proposition: for d_i odd, if the image of $2\mu_v$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$ is trivial, then so of course is the image of μ_v. For the second part, we use existence results for automorphic forms that are discrete series at infinity as in Lemma 8.2.8 and Proposition 11.0.8. For a semi-simple, simply-connected group, ρ lies in the weight lattice, so discrete series representations will be L-algebraic. Choose a quotient $G \twoheadrightarrow G' \twoheadrightarrow G_{ad}$, with T' the induced maximal torus of G', such that $X^\bullet(T')/Q \cong X^\bullet(Z_G)[2]$ under the isomorphism $X^\bullet(T)/Q \xrightarrow{\sim} X^\bullet(Z_G)$.Then we can find a discrete series representation of $G(F_\infty)$ such that at two infinite places v_1 and v_2, the parameters have the form (on $\mathbb{C}^\times$)

---

[66] A_{2n} and E_6 are the interesting examples here, since in the other cases the adjoint group is simply-connected. The index $[X^\bullet(T) : Q]$ for the simply-connected simple group of type E_6 is $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

$$z \mapsto z^{\rho+\mu_{v_i}} \bar{z}^{-\rho-\mu_{v_i}},$$

where the μ_{v_i} are distinct in $X^\bullet(T')/Q$.[67] This ensures that the $\rho + \mu_{v_i}$ have distinct images in $X^\bullet(Z_G)[2]$. It remains therefore to check that the split real forms of these groups, except for A_{2n-1}, actually admit discrete series. Type C_n was treated previously. For type B_n, the (real) split group is $\mathrm{Spin}(n, n + 1)$, which always admits discrete series (real orthogonal groups $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ have discrete series whenever pq is even). Likewise, for type D_n, the split form $\mathrm{Spin}(n, n)$ has discrete series if and only if n is even. The split real form of E_7 also admits discrete series: its maximal compact subgroup is $\mathrm{SU}(8)$, which contains a compact Cartan isomorphic to $(\mathrm{S}^1)^7$ (see the table in Appendix 4 of [Kna02]). □

Remark 12.3.5.

- In [Art02], the factor of the motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_F$ associated to π (or rather its almost-everywhere system of Hecke eigenvalues) is defined as a sub-group $\mathcal{G}_\pi$ of $\tilde{G}^\vee \times \mathcal{T}_F$, with $\mathcal{T}_F$ the Taniyama group.[68] The natural motivic Galois representation is the projection to $G^\vee$, and implicit in the construction is the fact that this lifts to $\tilde{G}^\vee$. Corollary 12.3.4 then gives concrete examples of automorphic representations (including ones that ought to be 'primitive,' arranging the local behavior suitably) for which the Tannakian formalism should not behave in this way.
- If our lifting results were generalized to quasi-split groups, we could presumably include D_n for n odd in the second part of the Proposition, since the non-split quasi-split form has signature $(n+1, n-1)$, for which the associated orthogonal group admits discrete series. Similarly, we could include type A_{2n-1} by using the quasi-split unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(n, n)$ instead of SL_{2n}.

13. GALOIS LIFTING: THE GENERAL CASE

Now we discuss a general framework for Conrad's lifting problem. We consider lifting problems of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"'] & H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),
\end{tikzcd}$$

for any surjection $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$, with central torus kernel, of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. In this picture, we want to understand when a geometric ρ does or does not admit a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$. We will see (Remark 13.0.14) that the general case reduces to the case where H' and H are connected reductive, and so to reap the psychological benefits of the work of §12, we may write $H' = \tilde{G}^\vee$, $H = G^\vee$, where $G \subset \tilde{G}$ is an inclusion of connected reductive[69] split F-groups, constructed by extending the center Z_G of G to a central torus $\tilde{Z}$; that is

$$\tilde{G} = (G \times \tilde{Z})/Z_G.$$

The quotient $\tilde{Z}/Z_G$ is a torus S, and we get an exact sequence

$$1 \to S^\vee \to \tilde{G}^\vee \to G^\vee \to 1,$$

---

[67] We have shifted what was previously denoted μ_v by ρ in this case because of the description of discrete series L-parameters as arising from elements of $\rho + X^\bullet(T)$. Note that ρ mapst to $X^\bullet(Z_G)[2]$ since 2ρ is in the root lattice Q.

[68] Note that we work with split groups: in general this would be a semi-direct product.

[69] Unlike in §12, whose results were largely intended to motivate the results of this section, we no longer require G to be semi-simple.

and a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\vee \cong \mathfrak{g}^\vee \oplus \mathfrak{s}^\vee$. To start, we elaborate on some of the associated group theory, slightly recasting the notation of the previous section to take into account the fact that G may have positive-dimensional center. The exact sequence

$$1 \to Z_G \to \tilde{Z} \to S \to 1$$

gives rise, by applying $X^\bullet(\cdot)$ and then $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$, to an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Hom}(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \to X_\bullet(\tilde{Z}) \to X_\bullet(S) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \to 1.$$

Using invariant factors, it is convenient to fix a basis of $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})$ such that the inclusion $X^\bullet(S) \subset X^\bullet(\tilde{Z})$ is in coordinates

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^\bullet(S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & X^\bullet(Z_G) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z} d_i w_i \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} \mathbb{Z} w_i \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}\bar{w}_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}\bar{w}_{r+j}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let w_i^* denote the dual basis for $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee)$, and let v_i^* denote the basis of $X^\bullet(S^\vee)$ dual to $d_i w_i$; in particular, w_i^* maps to $d_i v_i^*$ under $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee) \to X^\bullet(S^\vee)$.

We work with the maximal torus $\tilde{T} = (T \times \tilde{Z})/Z_G$ of $\tilde{G}$, and deduce from its definition an exact sequence

$$1 \to X^\bullet(\tilde{T}) \to X^\bullet(T) \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}) \to X^\bullet(Z_G) \to 1,$$

and thus a (crucial) exact sequence

$$(1) \qquad 1 \to \mathrm{Hom}(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \to X^\bullet(T^\vee) \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee) \to X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \to 1.$$

Note also that there is a canonical isomorphism $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} X^\bullet(\tilde{G}^\vee)$, which follows from exactness of the row in the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & & S^\vee \arrow[d] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & G_{sc}^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \tilde{G}^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{Z}^\vee \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & & G^\vee \arrow[d] & & \\
 & & 1 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $G_{sc}^\vee$ denotes the simply-connected cover of the derived group of $G^\vee$. Recall that we index Sen operators $\Theta_{\rho,\iota}$ by embeddings $\iota \colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$, where v is a place above ℓ. Given a geometric $\rho \colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, Conrad's result (see Theorem 4.0.20, and Remark 4.0.19) implies that a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ is geometric if and only if it is Hodge-Tate at all places above ℓ; we will use this from now on without comment. That is, we need to arrange $\tilde{\rho}$ such that each Sen operator $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^\vee)_\iota$ is conjugate to an element of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^\vee)_\iota$ that pairs integrally with all of $X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee)$[70] under the natural map

$$X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Lie}} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^\vee), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

---

[70] This condition is independent of the way $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ is conjugated into $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^\vee)_\iota$, since: (1) we already know that $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ pairs integrally with the roots, which all lie in $X^\bullet(T^\vee)$; (2) the ambiguity in conjugating into $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^\vee)_\iota$ is an element of the Weyl group; (3) for any weight $\lambda \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee)$ and w in the Weyl group, $w\lambda - \lambda$ lies in the root lattice. Compare the proof of Proposition 12.3.3.

Here is our starting-point:

Lemma 13.0.6. *There exists some lift $\tilde{\rho}$ of ρ. Any other lift is of the form*

$$\tilde{\rho}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \nu_i \circ \chi_i\Big)\colon g \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(g) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} (\nu_i \circ \chi_i)(g),$$

where the $\nu_i = d_i w_i$ range over the above basis of $X_\bullet(S^\vee)$, and each $\chi_i \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a continuous character.

Proof. A lift exists by Proposition 4.0.18. Although continuous cohomology does not in general have good δ-functorial properties, there is no trouble with H^1, so any lift has the form $\tilde{\rho}(\chi)$ for some $\chi \colon \Gamma_F \to S^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. We compose with the dual characters ν_i^* in $X^\bullet(S^\vee)$ to put χ in the promised form. $\square$

The following lemma is the general substitute for choosing lifts with finite-order Clifford norm in the spin examples:[71]

Lemma 13.0.7. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation. Then there exists a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that, for all $v|\ell$ and all $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$, the Sen operator $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ pairs integrally with all of $X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee) \cong X^\bullet(\tilde{G}^\vee)$.*

Proof. We use the bases of the various character groups specified above. In particular, composing an initial lift $\tilde{\rho}$ with the various $w_i^* \in X^\bullet(\tilde{G}^\vee)$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we can write

$$w_i^* \circ \tilde{\rho} = \chi_i^{d_i} \chi_{i,0} \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times,$$

where the χ_i and $\chi_{i,0}$ are Galois characters with $\chi_{i,0}$ finite-order. Then we consider the new lift

$$\tilde{\rho}' = \tilde{\rho}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r} (d_i w_i) \circ \chi_i^{-1}\Big),$$

which has the advantage that $w_i^* \circ \tilde{\rho}' = (w_i^* \circ \tilde{\rho}) \cdot \chi_i^{-d_i}$ is finite-order for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Moreover, for the characters w_{r+j}^*, $j = 1, \ldots, s$, namely, the sub-module $\mathrm{Hom}(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \subset X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee)$, the compositions $w_{r+j}^* \circ \tilde{\rho}'$ are all geometric, since ρ is. Therefore $\alpha \circ \tilde{\rho}'$ is geometric for all $\alpha \in X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee)$, as desired. $\square$

Returning to equation (1) on page 52, we see that the obstruction to geometric lifts then comes from $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G)_{tor}, \mathbb{Z})$. For any weight $\lambda \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee)$, there is an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d\lambda \in X^\bullet(T^\vee) \oplus X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee)$, so with a $\tilde{\rho}$ as produced by Lemma 13.0.7, $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ pairs integrally with $d\lambda$ for all ι. We obtain a well-defined class, independent of the choice of $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 13.0.7,

$$\langle \lambda, \Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota} \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which can also be interpreted as the common value modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ of the eigenvalues of $\Theta_{r_\lambda \circ \tilde{\rho},\iota} = \mathrm{Lie}(r_\lambda) \circ \Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}$; here, and in what follows, we denote by r_λ the irreducible representation of $\tilde{G}^\vee$ associated to the (dominant) weight $\lambda \in X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee)$. This pairing factors through a map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which corresponds canonically to an element $\theta_{\rho,\iota}$ of $X^\bullet(Z_G)_{tor}$. Here we use the fact that for any finite abelian group A, the long exact sequence associated to $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ yields an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

To make further progress, we need to assume that ρ satisfies certain Hodge-Tate weight symmetries.

Hypothesis 13.0.8. *Let H be a linear algebraic group and $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ a geometric Galois representation with connected reductive algebraic monodromy group $H_\rho = \overline{(\rho(\Gamma_F))}^{Zar}$. We formulate the following Hodge-Tate symmetry hypothesis for such a ρ:*

---

[71] It is also implicit in the proof of 4.0.18, but a little warm-up with our notation is perhaps helfpul.

- *Let r be any irreducible algebraic representation $r\colon H_\rho \to \mathrm{GL}(V_r)$. Then:*
  1. *For $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the set $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r \circ \rho)$ depends only on $\tau_0 = \tau|_{F_{cm}}$.*
  2. *Writing HT_{τ_0} for this set common to all τ above τ_0, there exists an integer w such that*[72]

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0 \circ c}(r \circ \rho) = w - \mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0}(r \circ \rho),$$

  for c the unique complex conjugation on F_{cm}.

For ρ with not necessarily connected reductive monodromy group, the corresponding hypothesis is simply that some finite restriction (with connected algebraic monodromy group) of ρ satisfies the above.

In particular, we note for later use that the lowest weight in $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0 \circ c}(r \circ \rho)$ is w minus the highest weight in $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0}(r \circ \rho)$. We will see that to establish lifting results for geometric representations $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we will only need to know Hypothesis 13.0.8 for some easily identifiable finite collection of compositions $r_\lambda \circ \rho$, $\lambda \in X^\bullet(T^\vee)$, but we do not make that explicit here. Most important, Hypothesis 13.0.8 should in fact be no additional restriction on ρ, because of the following conjecture, which would follow from any version of the conjectural Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands correspondence:[73]

Conjecture 13.0.9. *Let F be a number field, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible geometric Galois representation. Then:*

1. *For $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the set $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho)$ depends only on $\tau_0 = \tau|_{F_{cm}}$. (This will still hold if ρ is geometric but reducible.)*
2. *Writing HT_{τ_0} for this set common to all τ above τ_0, there exists an integer w such that*

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0 \circ c}(\rho) = w - \mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0}(\rho),$$

   for c the unique complex conjugation on F_{cm}.

Unfortunately, for an abstract Galois representation, this conjecture will be extremely difficult to establish. The next lemma explains it in the automorphic case; for a motivic variant, see Corollary 16.3.5.[74]

Lemma 13.0.10. *Suppose $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is an irreducible geometric representation. Assume Proposition 6.2.4 is unconditional for ρ (i.e., admit Hypothesis 6.2.2). If ρ is automorphic in the sense of Conjecture 2.0.15, corresponding to a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{A}_F)$, then Conjecture 13.0.9 holds for ρ.*

Proof. This is immediate from the passage between infinity-types and Hodge-Tate weights, Conjecture 6.2.4, and Clozel's archimedean purity lemma (which was proven as part of Proposition 7.0.14). ◻

We can now understand when geometric lifts ought to exist; the proof proceeds by reduction to the following key case:

Proposition 13.0.11. *Let F be a totally imaginary field, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation with algebraic monodromy group equal to the whole of $G^\vee$. Assume ρ satisfies Hypothesis 13.0.8. Then ρ admits a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.*

---

[72] Interpreted in the obvious way.

[73] By 'any version,' we mean either the Galois/automorphic correspondence, or the Galois/motivic correspondence.

[74] But note for now that in the most basic motivic cases, where the Galois representation is given by $H^j(X_{\overline{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for some smooth projective variety X/F, the Hodge-Tate symmetries are immediate (even when this representation is reducible) from the ℓ-adic comparison isomorphism of [Fal89]. What is not obvious is that if this Galois representation decomposes, that the irreducible factors all satisfy the conjecture.

Proof. Choose a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ as supplied by Lemma 13.0.7. Recall that our weight-bookkeeping is done within the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & & \\
X^\bullet(\tilde{Z}^\vee) \arrow[r] & X^\bullet(S^\vee) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee) \arrow[u] & & \\
 & X^\bullet(T^\vee) \arrow[u] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[u] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have the elements $\nu_i^* \in X^\bullet(S^\vee)$ dual to $d_i w_i \in X^\bullet(S)$. Their images in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G), \mathbb{Z})$ form a basis. Let λ_i be a (dominant weight) lift to $X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee)$ that also satisfies $\langle \lambda_i, d_j w_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Note that the value $\langle \Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}, \lambda_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ does not depend on the choice of lift of ν_i^*, and it clearly lies in $\frac{1}{d_i}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, so we write it in the form $\frac{k_{\iota,i}}{d_i} + \mathbb{Z}$ for an integer $k_{\iota,i}$. By considering the geometric representation $r_{d_i\lambda_i} \circ \tilde{\rho}$, we find that $\Theta_{r_{d_i\lambda_i}\circ\tilde{\rho},\iota}$ has eigenvalues that depend only on τ_ι (by Lemma 10.1.3; see that lemma for the notation τ_ι as well), and thus we can write $k_{\tau,i}$ in place of $k_{\iota,i}$. (Equivalently, we can work with the elements $\theta_{\rho,\tau} \in X^\bullet(Z_G)_{tor}$.) These classes $\frac{k_{\tau,i}}{d_i}$ mod $\mathbb{Z}$ serve as both highest and lowest τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights (modulo $\mathbb{Z}$) for $r_{\lambda_i} \circ \tilde{\rho}$; we deduce that, modulo $d_i\mathbb{Z}$, the highest and lowest τ-labeled weights of $r_{d_i\lambda_i} \circ \tilde{\rho}$ are both congruent to $k_{\tau,i}$[75].

Now, the geometric Galois representations $(r_{d_i\lambda_i}) \circ \tilde{\rho}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ are irreducible, because we have assumed that $G^\vee$ is the monodromy group of ρ. Applying Hypothesis 13.0.8, we deduce that k_τ depends only on $\tau_0 = \tau|_{F_{cm}}$, along with the symmetry relation

$$k_{\tau_0,i} + k_{\tau_0\circ c,i} \equiv w_i \mod d_i$$

for some integer w_i (for all $\tau_0 \colon F_{cm} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$).

This relation allows us, by Lemma 5.2.3, to find Galois characters $\hat{\psi}_i \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ with $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\hat{\psi}_i) \in \frac{k_{\tau,i}}{d_i} + \mathbb{Z}$ for all τ. We then form the twist $\tilde{\rho}' := \tilde{\rho}(\sum_i (d_i w_i) \circ \hat{\psi}_i^{-1})$; recall that $\langle d_i w_i, \lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. This new lift is then geometric:

$$\langle \Theta_{\tilde{\rho}',\iota}, \lambda_i \rangle = \langle \Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\iota}, \lambda_i \rangle + \langle \Theta_{\sum (d_j w_j)\circ\hat{\psi}_j^{-1},\iota}, \lambda_i \rangle \equiv \frac{k_{\tau_\iota,i}}{d_i} - \frac{k_{\tau_\iota,i}}{d_i} \equiv 0 \mod \mathbb{Z}.$$

(Recall it suffices to check $\tilde{\rho}'$ is Hodge-Tate, by Conrad's result, quoted here as Theorem 4.0.20.) ◻

Corollary 13.0.12. *Let $\rho \colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be geometric with algebraic monodromy group $G^\vee$; maintain the notation of the previous proof, but now suppose F is totally real. To determine whether ρ has a geometric lift, apply the following criterion:*

1. *Ignore any i for which d_i is odd; these do not obstruct geometric lifting;*
2. *Then for fixed i the integers $k_{\tau,i} \mod d_i$ are all $\frac{w_i}{2}$ translated by a two-torsion class in $\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$;*
3. *ρ has a geometric lift if and only if each of these classes $k_{\tau,i}$ (or, equivalently, the associated two-torsion class) is independent of τ.*

More intrinsically, there is a geometric lift if and only if for varying ι, the elements $\theta_{\rho,\iota}$ (see page 53) in $X^\bullet(Z_G)_{tor}$ are independent of ι.

---

[75] Note that all eigenvalues of $\Theta_{r_{\lambda_i}\circ\tilde{\rho}}$ are congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$; this does not imply that all elements of $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_{d_i\lambda_i} \circ \tilde{\rho})$ are congruent modulo $d_i\mathbb{Z}$, but this congruence does hold for the highest and lowest weights.

Proof. By the previous proof and Lemma 5.2.4, ρ has a geometric lift if and only if the classes $k_{\tau,i} \mod d_i$ (fixed i, varying τ) are independent of τ. The weight-symmetry relation becomes $2k_{\tau,i} \equiv w_i \mod d_i$, and the claim follows easily. $\square$

Over totally imaginary fields, we can now reduce the general lifting problem to the special case of full monodromy:

Theorem 13.0.13. *Let F be totally imaginary, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation, with arbitrary image, satisfying Hypothesis 13.0.8. Then ρ admits a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.*

Proof. We first show that this holds if $H := \overline{\rho(\Gamma_F)}^{Zar} \subset G^\vee$ is connected. In that case, let H' be the preimage in $\tilde{G}^\vee$ of H. Then $H' \to H$ is a surjection of connected reductive groups with central torus kernel, so we can apply Proposition 13.0.11 to find a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \subset \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

For arbitrary ρ, let F'/F be a finite extension such that $\overline{\rho(\Gamma_{F'})}^{Zar} =: H$ is connected. Over F', we can therefore find a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}_{F'}\colon \Gamma_{F'} \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \subset \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, letting H' as before denote the preimage of H in $\tilde{G}^\vee$. Thus, for *any* lift $\tilde{\rho}_0\colon \Gamma_{F'} \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$, there exists a character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_{F'} \to S^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_0 \cdot \hat{\psi}$ is geometric. In particular, letting $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be any lift over F itself, there is a $\hat{\psi}_{F'}\colon \Gamma_{F'} \to S^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{F'}} \cdot \hat{\psi}_{F'}$ is geometric. But by Corollary 5.2.3, there is a Galois character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_F \to S^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ whose labeled Hodge-Tate-Sen weights descend those of $\hat{\psi}_{F'}$. It follows immediately that $\tilde{\rho} \cdot \hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_F \to \tilde{G}^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a geometric lift of ρ. $\square$

Remark 13.0.14.

1. We can replace $\tilde{G}^\vee \to G^\vee$ with any surjection $\pi\colon H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ of linear algebraic groups with central torus kernel: π induces an isomorphism on unipotent parts, so we may assume the groups are reductive (Conrad has exploited this reduction in the arguments of [Con11]), and the proof of Theorem 13.0.13 makes no reference to connectedness of the ambient group H.
2. The theorem also lets us make explicit precisely which sets of labeled Hodge-Tate weights can be achieved in a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$. We will exploit this in §17.

There is an analogous result for F totally real, with hypotheses as in Corollary 13.0.12. That result lets us immediately phrase a lifting criterion in terms of ρ and its algebraic monodromy group, but here we establish, in parallel with Proposition 12.3.3, a criterion that only depends on the ambient group, not the actual image of ρ. Recall that for each $\iota\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{F_v}$ we have the pairing

$$\langle \Theta_{\rho,\iota}, \cdot \rangle \colon X^\bullet(\tilde{T}^\vee) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

factoring through $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^\bullet(Z_G)_{tor}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 13.0.15. *Let F be totally real, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric representation with algebraic monodromy group $H_\rho \subset H$. Let $H_\rho^0 \subset H^0$ denote the corresponding connected components of the identity, with maximal tori $T_\rho \subset T_H$, and their preimages $T'_\rho \subset T'_H$ inside H'. Then ρ has a geometric lift to H' if and only if the pairing (which depends only on τ_ι) $\theta_{\rho,\tau_\iota} = \langle \Theta_{\rho,\iota}, \cdot \rangle$ is independent of $\tau_\iota\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.*

Proof. First suppose that H_ρ is connected, in which case we may assume H is also connected. Of course, ρ has a geometric lift to H' if and only if it has a geometric lift to H'_ρ. By Corollary 13.0.12, this holds if and only if the pairing

$$\langle \Theta_{\rho,\iota}, \cdot \rangle \colon X^\bullet(T'_\rho) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which depends only on τ_ι, is independent of ι. But the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^\bullet(T'_H) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[dr, "\langle \Theta_{\rho,\iota}, \cdot \rangle"'] & X^\bullet(T'_\rho) \arrow[d, "\langle \Theta_{\rho,\iota}, \cdot \rangle"] \\
& \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative,[76] so we can just as well phrase this as independence of ι (or τ_ι) of the maps $X^\bullet(T'_H) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. In the non-connected case, we argue as in Theorem 13.0.13: if, after a base-change to connected monodromy group, these pairings are independent of τ, then we can find a twisting character that (up to finite order) descends to F itself. ◻

The method of proof of Proposition 13.0.11 and Theorem 13.0.13 also implies the following local result, which emerged from a conversation with Brian Conrad. A proof of a more difficult result, where F_v is replaced by a p-adic field with algebraically closed residue field, will appear in §3.6 of [CCO12].

Corollary 13.0.16. *Let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a central torus quotient, and let $\rho\colon \Gamma_{F_v} \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a Hodge-Tate representation of Γ_{F_v}, for $F_v/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ finite. Then there exists a Hodge-Tate lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_{F_v} \to H'$.*

Proof. We sketch a proof, replacing appeal to Hypothesis 13.0.8 and the existence of certain Hecke characters by the simpler observation that local class field theory lets us find the necessary twisting characters $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_{F_v} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ by hand. That is, $\mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ sits in $I_{F_v}^{ab}$ in finite index, and on the former we can define the character

$$x \mapsto \prod_{\tau\colon K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x)^{k_\tau}$$

for any integers k_τ; then up to a finite-order character we take a d^{th} root for an integer d to build (having extended to all of Γ_{F_v}) characters with any prescribed set of rational τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights (τ running over all $F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). This observation suffices (invoking Lemma 10.1.3 in the full generality of Hodge-Tate, rather than merely de Rham, representations) for the previous arguments to carry through. ◻

14. APPLICATIONS: COMPARING THE AUTOMORPHIC AND GALOIS FORMALISMS

In §13, we took the Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands conjecture (or rather its weakened form Hypothesis 13.0.8) relating geometric Galois representations $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ to L-algebraic automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ as input to establish some of our lifting results. Now we want to apply these lifting results to give some evidence for the relationship between automorphic forms and Galois representations on groups other than GL_n. We will touch on the Buzzard-Gee conjecture, certain cases of the converse problem, and some general thoughts about comparing descent problems on the (ℓ-adic) Galois and automorphic sides. We first digress to discuss what 'automorphy' of an ${}^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-valued representation even means.

14.1. **Notions of automorphy.**

As usual we have fixed $\iota_\infty\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\iota_\ell\colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. It is sometimes more convenient simply to fix an isomorphism $\iota_{\ell,\infty}\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and to regard $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the subfield of algebraic numbers in $\mathbb{C}$. G/F is a connected reductive group, and we take a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-form of the L-group ${}^LG$. For an automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to {}^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, always assumed continuous and composing with Γ_F-projection to the identity, there are (at least) four relations between such ρ and π that might be helpful, of which only the first two can be stated unconditionally. First we describe analogues that restrict to either the automorphic or Galois side, borrowing some ideas from [Lap99] (and, inevitably, [LL79]). For automorphic representations π and π' of $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$, three notions of similarity are:

- $\pi \sim_w \pi'$ if almost everywhere locally, the (unramified, say) L-parameters are $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate.
- $\pi \sim_{w,\infty} \pi'$ if almost everywhere locally, and at infinity, the L-parameters are conjugate. This also makes sense unconditionally, since archimedean local Langlands is known.
- $\pi \sim_{ew} \pi'$ if everywhere locally, the L-parameters are $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate; this only makes sense if one knows the local Langlands conjecture for G.
- $\pi \sim_s \pi'$ is the most fanciful condition: if the conjectural automorphic Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_F$ exists, so π and π' give rise to representations $\mathcal{L}_F \to {}^LG(\mathbb{C})$, then this condition requires these representations to be globally $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate.

---

[76] Both maps are induced by differentiation, i.e. the functor Lie.

A fifth notion would compare L-parameters in a particular finite-dimensional representation of $G^\vee$.

We can unconditionally make the same sort of comparisons between ℓ-adic Galois representations, writing $\rho \sim_w \rho'$, $\rho \sim_{w,\infty} \rho'$, $\rho \sim_{ew} \rho'$, and $\rho \sim_s \rho'$. By equivalence 'at infinity' here, we mean that at real places the actions of complex conjugation are conjugate, and at places above ℓ, the associated Sen operators (i.e. labeled Hodge-Tate data) are conjugate. It is also probably prudent to take 'frobenius semi-simplification' in these definitions of local equivalence. For some nice examples, Lapid's paper ([Lap99]) studies the difference between $\sim_w, \sim_{ew}$, and $\sim_s$ for certain Artin representations (and, when possible, the corresponding comparison on the automorphic side).

We then have corresponding ways to relate an ℓ-adic ρ and an automorphic π:

- $\rho \sim_w \pi$
- $\rho \sim_{w,\infty} \pi$
- $\rho \sim_{ew} \pi$
- $\rho \sim_s \pi$

First, write $\rho \sim_w \pi$ if for almost all unramified v (for ρ and π), $\rho|_{W_{F_v}}^{ss}$ is $G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-conjugate to $\mathrm{rec}_v(\pi_v)\colon W_{F_v} \to G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \Gamma_F$; implicit is the assumption that the local parameter lands in $G^\vee(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rtimes \Gamma_F$, so that we can apply $\iota_\ell \circ \iota_\infty^{-1}$. Note that this definition does not distinguish between π and other elements of its (conjectural) global L-packet $L(\pi)$. The other relations are straightforward modifications (for compatibility with complex conjugation, we take the condition in Conjecture 3.2.1 of [BG10]), except for $\rho \sim_s \pi$. Writing $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}(\sigma)$ for the motivic Galois group for motives[77]over F with E-coefficients, using a Betti realization via $\sigma\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, one might hope that after fixing $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as well, one would obtain a map of pro-reductive groups over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{L}_F \to (\mathcal{G}_{F,E})(\sigma) \otimes_E \mathbb{C}$. If π corresponds to a representation $\mathrm{rec}(\pi)$ of $\mathcal{L}_F$, and ρ arises from (completing at some finite place of E) a representation ρ_E of $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}(\sigma)$, it makes sense to ask whether $\mathrm{rec}(\pi)$ factors through $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}(\sigma)(\mathbb{C})$, and whether the resulting representation is globally $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to (the complexification via $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of) ρ_E. This all sounds terribly idle, but it does provide context for the basic problems raised in Question 1.0.10 and Conjecture 1.0.12, as well as the work of §17.

Although we don't actually require it, it is helpful to keep in mind a basic lemma of Steinberg, which implies that $\sim_w$ can be checked by checking in all finite-dimensional representations:

Lemma 14.1.1. *Let x and y be two semi-simple elements of a connected reductive group $G^\vee$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If x and y are conjugate in every (irreducible) representation of $G^\vee$, or even merely have the same trace, then they are in fact conjugate in $G^\vee$.*

Proof. For semi-simple groups, this is Corollary 3 (to Theorem 2) in Chapter 3 of [Ste74]; the proof extends to the reductive case (and even more generally, see Proposition 6.7 of [Bor79]). The key point is that the characters of finite-dimensional representations of $G^\vee$ restrict to a basis of the ring of Weyl-invariant regular functions on a maximal torus; these in turn separate conjugacy classes in the torus. ◻

14.2. **Automorphy of projective representations.**

Throughout this section, we assume the Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands conjecture on automorphy of geometric (GL_N-valued) representations. It suffices to take a version that matches unramified (almost everywhere) and Hodge-theoretic parameters: given a geometric $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, assume there exists an isobaric automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\rho \sim_{w,\infty} \pi$, where we can moreover forget about the comparison of complex conjugations. We require that cuspidality is equivalent to irreducibility under this correspondence; this follows from properties of automorphic L-functions if we assume the correspondence in both directions for all N.

---

[77] Either for absolutely Hodge cycles, for motivated cycles, or, assuming the standard conjectures, for homological cycles.

Corollary 14.2.1. *Let F be totally imaginary. Then any geometric $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is weakly automorphic, i.e. there exists an L-algebraic automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\rho \sim_{w,\infty} \pi$ (or $\rho \sim_{ew} \pi$, if we assume a form of Fontaine-Langlands-Mazur that matches local factors everywhere).*

Proof. We have seen that ρ lifts to a geometric $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, which by assumption is automorphic, corresponding to some $\tilde{\pi}$ on GL_n/F. The irreducible constituents of $\tilde{\pi}|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)}$ form a global L-packet whose local unramified parameters correspond to those of ρ. ◻

We now give descent arguments that extend this automorphy result to F totally real. First we need a couple of elementary lemmas.

Lemma 14.2.2. *Let L/F be a cyclic, degree d, extension of number fields, with σ a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$. Let χ be a Hecke character of L, and let δ be any Hecke character whose restriction to $C_F \subset C_L$ is $\delta = \delta_{L/F}$, a fixed order d character that cuts out the extension L/F. Assume that $\chi^{1+\sigma+\dots+\sigma^{d-1}} = 1$. Then for a unique integer $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, $\chi\delta^i$ is of the form $\psi^{\sigma-1}$ for a Hecke character ψ of L.*

Proof. We may assume χ is unitary. Write C^D as usual for the Pontryagin dual of a locally compact abelian group C. We have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 & & \\
 & \mathrm{Gal}(L/F) \arrow[u] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & C_F \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & C_L \arrow[r, "\sigma-1"] & C_L \\
 & C_L \arrow[u, "N_{L/F}"] & &
\end{tikzcd},$$

dualizing to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^D \arrow[d] & & \\
1 \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & C_F^D \arrow[d, "N_{L/F}"] & C_L^D \arrow[l, "res"'] & C_L^D \arrow[l, "\sigma-1"'] \\
 & C_L^D & &
\end{tikzcd}.$$

By assumption, $N_{L/F} \circ res(\chi) = 1$, so $res(\chi) = \delta^{-i} \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^D$ for some integer i, unique modulo d. Then $res(\chi\delta^i) = 1$, and we are done by exactness of the horizontal diagram. ◻

We need a special case of an ℓ-adic analogue of the remark after Statement A of [LR98];[78] that remark is in turn the (much easier) analogue, for complex representations of the Weil group, of the main result of their paper. We first record the simple case that we need, and then out of independent interest we prove a general ℓ-adic analogue of the Lapid-Rogawski result.

---

[78] In the proof of Corollary 14.2.5 below, we could replace appeal to this lemma by simply citing Statement B of [LR98].

Lemma 14.2.3. *Let L/F be a quadratic CM extension of a totally real field F, with $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$ generating* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ *Suppose $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a Galois character such that $\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}$ is geometric. Then there exists a unitary, type A Hecke character ψ of L such that $\psi^{1-\sigma}$ is the type A_0 Hecke character associated to $\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}$.*

Proof. Write $(\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma})_{\mathbf{A}}$ for the Hecke character associated to $\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}$. By the previous lemma, it suffices to check that $(\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma})_{\mathbf{A}}$ is trivial on $C_F \subset C_L$. If a finite place v of L is split over a place v_F of F, and unramified for $\hat{\psi}$, then for a uniformizer ϖ_v of F_{v_F} (embedded into the L_v and $L_{\sigma v}$ components of $\mathbf{A}_L^\times$),

$$(\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma})_{\mathbf{A}}(\varpi_v, \varpi_v) = \hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}(fr_v)\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}(fr_{\sigma v}) = \frac{\hat{\psi}(fr_v)}{\hat{\psi}(fr_{\sigma v})} \cdot \frac{\hat{\psi}(fr_{\sigma v})}{\hat{\psi}(fr_v)} = 1.$$

Similarly for v inert, $(\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma})_{\mathbf{A}}(\varpi_v) = \frac{\hat{\psi}(fr_v)}{\hat{\psi}(\sigma fr_v \sigma^{-1})} = 1$. The Hecke character $(\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma})_{\mathbf{A}}|_{C_F}$ is therefore trivial. To see that we may choose ψ to be unitary and type A, we invoke Corollary 5.1.8: decomposing ψ as in that result, both the $|\cdot|^w$ and 'Maass' components descend to the totally real subfield F, so dividing out by them yields a new ψ, now unitary type A, and with $\psi^{1-\sigma}$ unchanged. $\square$

Lemma 14.2.4. *Let L/F be cyclic of degree d, with $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$ restricting to a generator of* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$. *Suppose $\rho\colon \Gamma_L \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is an irreducible continuous representation satisfying $\rho^\sigma \cong \rho \cdot \chi$ for some character $\chi\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. Suppose further that χ is geometric (in particular, this holds if ρ is geometric), necessarily of weight zero, so we may regard it as a character $\chi_{\mathbf{A}} = \prod_{w \in |L|} \chi_w \colon C_L \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then the (finite-order) restriction of $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ to $C_F \subset C_L$ cannot factor through a non-trivial character of* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$.

Proof. Iterating the relation $\rho^\sigma \cong \rho \cdot \chi$, we obtain $\rho \cong \rho \cdot \chi^{1+\sigma+\ldots+\sigma^{d-1}}$, so that $\chi^{1+\sigma+\ldots+\sigma^{d-1}}$ is finite-order. Each of the characters χ^{σ^i} has some common weight w, so $d \cdot w = 0$, and thus $w = 0$. Moreover, writing as usual p_{ι_w} for the algebraic parameter of χ_w (with respect to a choice $\iota_w\colon L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ representing the place w), we have $\sum p_{\iota_w} = 0$ as ι_w ranges over a $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-orbit of such embeddings. If L has a real embedding, then χ has finite-order, and the passage from $\chi\colon \Gamma_L^{ab} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ to $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}\colon C_L \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is simply via the reciprocity map $C_L \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_L^{ab}$.[79] In particular, $\chi(x) = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(x')$ for any representative x' in C_L of the image of x in Γ_L^{ab}. If on the other hand L is totally imaginary, then continuing to write $x' = (x'_w)_{w \in |L|}$, we have

$$\chi(x) = \prod_{w \nmid \ell\infty} \chi_w(x'_w) \prod_{w|\ell} \left(\chi_w(x'_w) \prod_{\tau\colon L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x'_w)^{p_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)}} \right).$$

If we further assume that the representative x' can be chosen in $C_F \subset C_L$, with elements $x'_v \in F_v^\times$ giving rise to all x'_w for $w|v$, then we can rewrite

$$\prod_{w|\ell} \prod_{\tau\colon L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x'_w)^{p_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)}}$$

as

$$\prod_{v|\ell} \prod_{\tau\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \prod_{w|v, \tilde{\tau}|\tau} \tau(x'_v) = \prod_{v|\ell} \prod_{\tau\colon F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \tau(x'_v)^{\sum_{w|v, \tilde{\tau}|\tau} p_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tilde{\tau})}} = 1.$$

The last equality follows since $\chi^{1+\sigma+\ldots+\sigma^{d-1}}$ is finite-order, and we are summing $p_{\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tilde{\tau})}$ over a full $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$-orbit. A similar argument shows that

$$\prod_{w|\infty} \chi_w(x'_w) = 1.$$

We conclude that in this case ($x' \in C_F$), $\chi(x)$ can be computed simply as $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(x')$.

---

[79] Throughout this argument we implicitly use our fixed embeddings ι_ℓ, ι_∞, but omit any reference to them for notational simplicity.

Let V be the space on which ρ acts. Then the isomorphism $\rho^\sigma \cong \rho \cdot \chi$ yields an operator $A \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ satisfying $A\rho^\sigma = \rho \cdot \chi A$. Fix $g \in \Gamma_L$, and for any $x \in \Gamma_L$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}(\rho(g)A) &= \mathrm{tr}(\rho^\sigma(x)\rho(g)A\rho^\sigma(x)^{-1})\\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\rho^\sigma(x)\rho(g)\rho(x^{-1})\chi(x^{-1})A)\\ &= \chi(x^{-1})\,\mathrm{tr}(\rho({}^\sigma xgx^{-1})A).\end{aligned}$$

(Here ${}^\sigma x = \sigma x \sigma^{-1}$.) So, if we can find an $x \in \Gamma_L$ such that ${}^\sigma xgx^{-1} = g$ and $\chi(x^{-1}) \neq 1$, then we will have $\mathrm{tr}(\rho(g)A) = 0$. Doing this for all $g \in \Gamma_L$, we see that by Schur's Lemma ρ cannot be irreducible, else $A = 0$. Now, $y = g^{-1}\sigma \in \Gamma_F$ satisfies ${}^\sigma ygy^{-1} = g$, so $x = y^d \in \Gamma_L$ does as well. It suffices to show that if $\chi|_{C_F}$ cuts out the extension L/F, then $\chi(x) \neq 1$. In fact, the image of x in Γ_L^{ab} lies in the image of the transfer $\mathrm{Ver}\colon \Gamma_F^{ab} \to \Gamma_L^{ab}$. Explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Ver}(y) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \sigma^i(g^{-1}\sigma)\phi(\sigma^i g^{-1}\sigma)^{-1},$$

where $\phi\colon \Gamma_F \to \{\sigma^i\}_{i=0,\dots,d-1}$ records the representative of the Γ_L-coset of an element of Γ_F. It is then easily seen[80] that

$$\mathrm{Ver}(y) = (g^{-1}\sigma)^d = x,$$

so by class field theory $x \in \Gamma_L^{ab}$ is represented by an element x' of $C_F \subset C_L$ under the reciprocity map rec_L. This element is a generator of $C_F/N_{L/F}C_L$, since y lifts a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$, and thus $\chi(x) = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(x') \neq 1$ if $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}|_{C_F}$ factors through a non-trivial character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$. ◻

Finally we can (conditionally) prove automorphy of geometric projective representations over totally real fields.

Corollary 14.2.5. *Let F be totally real. Assume Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands. Then for any geometric $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, there exists an L-algebraic π on SL_n/F such that $\rho \sim_w \pi$.*

Proof. We will first treat the case of ρ having irreducible lifts to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Choose a lift $\tilde{\rho}$ with finite-order determinant, a CM quadratic extension L/F, and, by Theorem 13.0.11, a Galois character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L} \cdot \hat{\psi}^{-1}$ is geometric. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_L)$ corresponding to this geometric twist. Write σ for the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$, so that $\tilde{\pi}^\sigma \cong \tilde{\pi} \cdot \chi$ where χ is the Hecke character corresponding to the geometric Galois character $\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}$. Appealing either to Lemma 14.2.3 or Lemma 14.2.4, we can write $\chi = \psi^{1-\sigma}$ for a unitary type A Hecke character ψ. Then $\tilde{\pi} \cdot \psi$ is σ-invariant, and by cyclic (prime degree) descent, there is a cuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ whose base-change is $\tilde{\pi} \cdot \psi$. We want to compare the projectivization of the unramified parameters of π with the unramified restrictions $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$.

To do so, we repeat the argument but instead with infinitely many (disjoint) quadratic CM extensions L_i/F, showing that in all cases the descent π to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ gives an L-packet on SL_n/F that is independent of the field L_i. $\tilde{\rho}$ is still a fixed lift with finite-order determinant, and we can write, for each $\tau\colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\tilde{\rho}) \in \frac{k_\tau}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer k_τ, which we fix (rather than just its congruence class mod n). For some integer w, we have the purity relation $2k_\tau \equiv w \mod n$, as follows, for instance, from (geometric) liftability after a CM base-change;[81] as with k_τ, we fix an actual integer w, not just the congruence class. Now, for each such τ, let $\iota\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the archimedean embedding associated via ι_∞, ι_ℓ (elsewhere denoted $\iota^*_{\infty,\ell}(\tau)$). For each L_i, fix an embedding $\tau(i)\colon L_i \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ extending τ, so that the other extension is $\tau(i) \circ c$. Likewise write

---

[80] Note that $\phi(\sigma^{d-1}g^{-1}\sigma) = 1$, while otherwise $\phi(\sigma^i g^{-1}\sigma) = \sigma^{i+1}$.

[81] After such a base-change L/F, the character $\hat{\psi}$ twisting $\tilde{\rho}$ to a geometric representation will have Hodge-Tate-Sen weights congruent to $\frac{k_\tau}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ at both embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ above τ; the integer w is then the weight of the Hecke character associated to $\hat{\psi}^n$.

$\iota(i)$ and $\iota(i) \circ c = \overline{\iota(i)}$ for the corresponding complex embeddings. We can then construct Galois characters $\hat{\psi}_i \colon \Gamma_{L_i} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau(i)}(\hat{\psi}_i) = \frac{k_\tau}{n}$$
$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau(i)\circ c}(\hat{\psi}_i) = \frac{w - k_\tau}{n},$$

such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{L_i}} \cdot \hat{\psi}_i^{-1}$ is geometric, corresponding to an L-algebraic cuspidal $\tilde{\pi}_i$ on GL_n/L_i. As before, we find a Hecke character ψ_i of L_i such that $\psi_i^{1-\sigma_i}$ is the type A_0 Hecke character associated to $\hat{\psi}_i^{1-\sigma_i}$; here we write σ_i for the non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_i/F)$, but of course all the σ_i are just induced by complex conjugation. Again, for all i we find cuspidal automorphic representations π_i of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\mathrm{BC}_{L_i/F}(\pi_i) = \tilde{\pi}_i \cdot \psi_i$. Restricting to composites L_iL_j, we have the comparison

$$\tilde{\rho}|_{L_iL_j}\hat{\psi}_i^{-1}|_{L_iL_j} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}|_{L_iL_j}\right) = \tilde{\rho}|_{L_iL_j}\hat{\psi}_j^{-1}|_{L_iL_j},$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j/L_i}(\tilde{\pi}_i\psi_i) \cdot \mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j}\left(\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j}\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}\right) = \mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j/L_j}(\tilde{\pi}_j\psi_j),$$ [82]

so finally

$$\mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j}(\pi_i) \cdot \mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j}\left(\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i} \cdot \frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}\right) = \mathrm{BC}_{L_iL_j}(\pi_j).$$

If the character $\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i} \cdot \frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}$ is finite-order– in the next paragraph, we check that we may assume this– it cuts out a cyclic extension L'/L_iL_j, and we have $\mathrm{BC}_{L'}(\pi_i) = \mathrm{BC}_{L'}(\pi_j)$. L'/F is solvable, however, so the characterization of the fibers of solvable base-change in [Raj02] implies that π_i and π_j are twist-equivalent, hence that $\pi_i|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)}$ and $\pi_j|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)}$ define the same L-packet on SL_n/F. Let us denote by π_0 any representative of this global L-packet. Now consider places v of F that are split in a given L_i/F. The semi-simple part $\rho(fr_v)^{ss}$ is equal (in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$) to $(\tilde{\rho}\hat{\psi}^{-1}(fr_w))^{ss}$ for any $w|v$, and this is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ to $\iota_{\ell,\infty}(\mathrm{rec}_w(\tilde{\pi}_w)(fr_w))$, whose projectivization lies in the same $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-conjugacy class as $\iota_{\ell,\infty}(rec_v(\pi_{0,v})(fr_v))$. This verifies that for all such v, $\rho(fr_v)^{ss}$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-conjugate to $\iota_{\ell,\infty}(rec_v(\pi_{0,v})(fr_v))$. Varying L_i/F, and remembering that π_0 is independent of this variation, we get the same result for all v split in any single quadratic CM extension L_i/F (we have to throw out a finite number of such L_i to ensure our representations remain cuspidal/irreducible), we conclude that $\rho \sim_w \pi$.

To finish the proof, we must check that $\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i} \cdot \frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}$ may indeed be assumed finite-order. First, recall that each ψ_i may be taken unitary and type A; in this case, the infinity-type is determined by the relation $\psi_i^{1-\sigma_i} = \hat{\psi}_i^{1-\sigma_i}$. Explicitly (using the above notation for the various embeddings), $\hat{\psi}_i{}^n$ corresponds to a Hecke character of L_i with infinity-type

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\iota(i)}(\hat{\psi}_i{}^n) \colon z \mapsto z^{k_\tau}\bar{z}^{w-k_\tau},$$ [83]

so

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\iota(i)}(\psi_i^{1-\sigma_i}) \colon z \mapsto z^{\frac{2k_\tau - w}{n}}\bar{z}^{\frac{w-2k_\tau}{n}}.$$

(Recall that $2k_\tau \equiv w \mod n$.) We then have, under our assumptions,

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\iota(i)}(\psi_i) \colon z \mapsto \left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)^{\frac{2k_\tau - w}{n}}.$$

---

[82] Here $\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}$ restricted to $\Gamma_{L_iL_j}$ is geometric, so we abusively write this for the associated Hecke character as well.

[83] Of course, z here means $\iota(i)(z)$, etc.

Of course, $\mathrm{rec}_{\iota(i)\circ c}$ is the same but with $\frac{w-2k_\tau}{n}$ in the exponent. To make the parameter comparison after restriction to a composite L_iL_j, we use the following notation for embeddings of L_iL_j into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and $\mathbb{C}$, lying above the given τ and ι:

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_1 \text{ extends } \tau(i) \text{ and } \tau(j),\\ &\tau_2 \text{ extends } \tau(i) \text{ and } \tau(j)\circ c,\\ &\iota_1 \text{ extends } \iota(i) \text{ and } \iota(j),\\ &\iota_2 \text{ extends } \iota(i) \text{ and } \iota(j)\circ c.\end{aligned}$$

We then have the conjugate embeddings $\tau_1 \circ c$, etc. Computing the τ_k-labeled weights of $\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}$, and translating them to the infinity-type at the place corresponding to ι_k, with ι_k as the chosen isomorphism $L_iL_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$, we then find

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_1}\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}\right)\colon z \mapsto 1\\ &\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_2}\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}\right)\colon z \mapsto \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{\frac{2k_\tau - w}{n}},\end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_1}\left(\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i}\right)\colon z \mapsto 1\\ &\mathrm{rec}_{\iota_2}\left(\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i}\right)\colon z \mapsto \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{\frac{w-2k_\tau}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\frac{\psi_j}{\psi_i}\cdot\frac{\hat{\psi}_i}{\hat{\psi}_j}$ is, with our normalization of the ψ_i, in fact finite-order, and the proposition follows.

Finally, we quickly treat the case of general ρ, having reducible lifts. If $\tilde{\rho}$ as above decomposes $\tilde{\rho} = \oplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{\rho}_i$, say with $\tilde{\rho}_i$ of dimension n_i, geometricity of ρ implies that over any CM L/F the same Galois character $\hat{\psi}$ twists $\tilde{\rho}_i$, for all i, to a geometric representation. We can therefore use, for all i, the same Hecke character ψ such that $\psi^{1-\sigma} = \hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma}$. As above, we invoke automorphy of $\tilde{\rho}_i\hat{\psi}^{-1}$, and, twisting by ψ, descend to a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_i of GL_{n_i}/F. The same local check (for v split in L/F) as above, but now crucially relying on the fact that ψ and $\hat{\psi}$ were independent of i, shows $\rho(fr_v)$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-conjugate to $\iota\left(\mathrm{rec}_v(\boxplus_{i=1}^m \Pi_{i,v})(fr_v)\right)$. □

By a similar argument, we can 'construct' the Galois representations (assuming of course the GL_N correspondence) associated to (tempered) L-algebraic π on SL_n/F for F CM[84] or totally real. By Proposition 12.3.1, we are reduced to the case of F totally real.

Proposition 14.2.6. *Continue to assume Fontaine-Mazur-Langlands. Let F be a totally real field, and let π be an L-algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$*. Assume that* π_∞ *is tempered. Then there exists a (not necessarily unique) projective representation* $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *satisfying* $\rho \sim_w \pi$.

Proof. By Proposition 12.3.3, there exists a W-algebraic cuspidal (and tempered at ∞) $\tilde{\pi}$ on GL_n/F lifting π. For all but finitely many quadratic CM L/F, we can find a type A Hecke character ψ such that $\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\tilde{\pi})\cdot\psi$ is L-algebraic and cuspidal on GL_n/L, hence corresponds to an irreducible geometric representation $\tilde{\rho}_L\colon \Gamma_L \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Conjugating, we find $\tilde{\rho}_L^\sigma \equiv \tilde{\rho}_L \cdot (\widehat{\psi^{\sigma-1}})$, the twist being by the geometric character associated to the type A_0 Hecke character $\psi^{\sigma-1}$. We wish to write $\hat{\chi} = (\widehat{\psi^{\sigma-1}})$ in the form $\hat{\psi}^{\sigma-1}$ for some Galois character $\hat{\psi}\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ (reversing the process in Corollary 14.2.5). Once this is managed, we have $(\tilde{\rho}_L \cdot \hat{\psi}^{-1})$ is σ-invariant, hence descends to $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Arguing as in Corollary 14.2.5, we find that this projective descent is independent of L/F, and, again as in that proof, by varying L/F we obtain the compatibility $\rho \sim_W \pi$.

---

[84] Or imaginary, assuming Conjecture 6.2.4.

To construct $\hat{\psi}$, we take as first approximation a Galois character $\hat{\psi}$ such that $\hat{\psi}^2$ equals $\widehat{(\psi^2)}$ up to a finite-order character χ_0; recall that ψ^2 is type A_0, so we can attach the Galois character $\widehat{(\psi^2)}$. Then

$$(\hat{\psi}^{\sigma-1})^2 = (\hat{\psi}^2)^{\sigma-1} = (\widehat{(\psi^2)}\chi_0)^{\sigma-1} = \hat{\chi}^2\chi_0^{\sigma-1},$$

and consequently $\hat{\chi}$ agrees with $\hat{\psi}^{\sigma-1}$ up to a finite-order character. Twisting $\tilde{\rho}_L$, we may therefore assume that $\hat{\chi}$ has finite-order. It still satisfies $\hat{\chi}^{1+\sigma} = 1$, so invoking Lemma 14.2.2 and (a simple case of) Lemma 14.2.4 we find a finite-order Hecke character,[85] which may therefore be directly regarded as a Galois character, that casts $\hat{\chi}$ in the desired form. ◻

Here is another example comparing the Tannakian formalisms:

Proposition 14.2.7. *Continue to assume Fontaine-Langlands-Mazur and, in the totally imaginary but non-CM case, Conjecture 6.2.4. Let* Π *be a cuspidal* L*-algebraic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$*, and suppose that* $\rho_\Pi \cong \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$*, where* $\rho_i \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$*. Then there exist cuspidal automorphic representations* π_i *of* $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *such that* $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$*.*

Remark 14.2.8. As the examples in §8 show, sometimes the π_i cannot be taken L-algebraic. Nevertheless, by Proposition 7.0.14, they can always be taken W-algebraic.

Proof. First suppose F is totally imaginary. For all $v|\ell$, the fact that $\rho_1|_{\Gamma_{F_v}} \otimes \rho_2|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ is de Rham implies that locally these Γ_{F_v}-representations are twists of de Rham representations. To see this, we apply Corollary 13.0.16 (to find a Hodge-Tate lift) and Theorem 4.0.20 (to find a de Rham lift, given that a Hodge-Tate lift exists) to the lifting problem (with central torus kernel) $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} G_{n_1,n_2} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n_1 n_2}$, where G_{n_1,n_2} denotes the image of the tensor product map. In particular, the projectivizations of the $\rho_i|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}$ are de Rham, so the global projective representations $\rho_i \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_{n_i}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ are geometric. We know that these geometric projective representations have geometric lifts, and we may therefore assume our original ρ_i were in fact geometric. They then correspond to L-algebraic π_i, and we have $\Pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$.

For F totally real[86], we perform a descent similar to previous arguments. Restricting to CM L/F, we find a Galois character $\hat{\psi}$ such that $\rho_1 \cdot \hat{\psi}^{-1}$ and $\rho_2 \cdot \hat{\psi}$ are geometric, corresponding to L-algebraic cuspidal π_i on GL_{n_i}/L. Writing $\hat{\psi}^{1-\sigma} = \psi^{1-\sigma}$ for a Hecke character ψ, we find $\pi_1 \cdot \psi$ and $\pi_2 \cdot \psi^{-1}$ are σ-invariant, so descend to cuspidal representations $\bar{\pi}_i$ of GL_{n_i}/F. Since $\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\Pi) = \mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\bar{\pi}_1 \boxtimes \bar{\pi}_2)$, we deduce that Π and $\bar{\pi}_1 \boxtimes \bar{\pi}_2$ are twist-equivalent, from which the result follows. ◻

These examples (and, for instance, Corollary 9.2.6) motivate a comparison of the images of $r \colon {}^L H \to {}^L G$ on the automorphic and Galois sides, when r is an L-morphism with central kernel. The most optimistic expectation (for H and G quasi-split) is that if $\ker(r)$ is a central torus, then the two descent problems for (L-algebraic) Π and (geometric) ρ_Π are equivalent; whereas if $\ker(r)$ is disconnected, there is an obstruction to the comparison, that nevertheless can be killed after a finite base-change. If G is not GL_n/F, then one will have to decide whether weak equivalence ($\pi \sim_W \rho$) suffices to connect the descent problems, or whether some stronger link (the mysterious $\pi \sim_S \rho$) must be postulated.

---

[85] Writing $\hat{\chi} = \psi^{\sigma-1}$ where the infinity-components of ψ have the form $z^p \bar{z}^q$, we see $z^{p-q}\bar{z}^{q-p}$ is the corresponding component of $\hat{\chi}$, hence that $p = q$ at each infinite place. Twisting ψ by the base-change of a character of the totally real field F, we can then assume it is finite-order.

[86] I systematically ignore the case of F neither totally real nor totally imaginary; this is silly, but as here the non-totally real case can be treated by replacing ‘take L/F CM’ by ’take L/F imaginary quadratic.’

15. Monodromy of abstract Galois representations

In this section we discuss some general results about monodromy of ℓ-adic Galois representations. Much of the richness of this subject comes from its blending of two kinds of representation theories, that of finite groups, and that of connected reductive algebraic groups. We will see (Proposition 15.1.1) that the basic lifting result (Proposition 4.0.18) allows us to some extent to understand how these two representation theories interact. In §15.2 we develop more refined results in the 'Lie-multiplicity-free' case (see Definition 15.2.1); this situation encapsulates the essential difficulties of independence-of-ℓ questions (such questions being trivial for Artin representations).

15.1. **A general decomposition.**

The following result is a simple variant of a result of Katz (Proposition 1 of [Kat87]), which he proves for lisse sheaves on affine curves over finite fields. We can replace Katz's appeal to the Lefschetz affine theorem by Proposition 4.0.18.

Proposition 15.1.1. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$ be an irreducible representation of dimension n. Then either ρ is induced, or there exists $d|n$, a Lie irreducible representation τ of dimension n/d, and an Artin representation ω of dimension d such that $\rho \cong \tau \otimes \omega$. Consequently, any (irreducible) ρ can be written in the form*

$$\rho \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\tau \otimes \omega)$$

for some finite L/F and irreducible representations τ and ω of Γ_L, with τ Lie-irreducible and ω Artin.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the algebraic monodromy group of ρ, with $\mathcal{G}^0$ the connected component of the identity. Abusively writing ρ for the representation $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, we may assume $\rho|_{\mathcal{G}^0}$ is isotypic (else ρ is induced, and we are done). If $\rho|_{\mathcal{G}^0}$ is irreducible, then ρ itself is Lie-irreducible, so again we are done. Therefore, we may assume that $\rho|_{\mathcal{G}^0} \cong \tau_0^{\oplus d}$ for some $d \geq 2$, with τ_0 an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{G}^0$, and consequently a Lie-irreducible representation of Γ_L for any L/F sufficiently large that $\rho(\Gamma_L) \subset \mathcal{G}^0(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Since the irreducible Γ_L-representation τ_0 is Γ_F-invariant, it extends to a projective representation of Γ_F. By the basic lifting result (Proposition 4.0.18), this projective representation lifts to an honest Γ_F-representation τ_1, so for some character $\chi\colon \Gamma_L \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$,

$$\tau_1^{\oplus d}|_{\Gamma_L} \cong \rho|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \chi.$$

The character $\alpha := \det(\rho)/\det(\tau_1^{\oplus d})$ of Γ_F has Γ_L-restriction equal to χ^{-n}, and over F itself we can find characters $\alpha_1, \alpha_0\colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, with α_0 finite-order, such that $\alpha = \alpha_1^n \alpha_0$. Then $(\chi\alpha_1|_{\Gamma_L})^n = (\alpha^{-1}\alpha_1^n)|_{\Gamma_L} = \alpha_0^{-1}|_{\Gamma_L}$, and replacing τ_1 by $\tau_1 \otimes \alpha_1$, and L by a finite extension trivializing α_0, we find a Lie-irreducible representation τ of Γ_F and a finite extension L of F such that $\tau^{\oplus d}|_{\Gamma_L} \cong \rho|_{\Gamma_L}$. The Γ_F-representation

$$\omega := \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_L}(\tau, \rho).$$

is therefore a d-dimensional Artin representation,[87] and the natural map $\tau \otimes \omega \to \rho$ (i.e. $v \otimes \phi \mapsto \phi(v)$) is an isomorphism of Γ_F-representations. □

Corollary 15.1.2. *Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(V)$ be a semi-simple representation (not necessarily irreducible), and suppose that ρ is Lie-isotypic, i.e. for all F'/F sufficiently large, $\rho|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ is isotypic. Then there exists a Lie-irreducible representation τ and an Artin representation (possibly reducible) ω, both of Γ_F, such that $\rho \cong \tau \otimes \omega$.*

Proof. Decompose ρ into irreducible Γ_F-representations as $\oplus_1^r \rho_i$. Each ρ_i is Lie-isotypic: there exists L/F and integers m_i such that $\rho_i|_{\Gamma_L} \cong \tau_0^{\oplus m_i}$ for all i, where τ_0 is a Lie-irreducible representation independent of i. By the argument of the previous proposition, after possibly enlarging L we find a Γ_F-representation τ whose restriction to L is isomorphic to τ_0, and then there are Artin representations ω_i of Γ_F such that $\tau \otimes \omega_i \cong \rho_i$. Consequently,

---

[87] As Γ_L-representation, $\omega \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_L}(\tau|_{\Gamma_L}, \tau|_{\Gamma_L}^{\oplus d}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^{\oplus d}$.

$$\rho \cong \tau \otimes (\bigoplus_1^r \omega_i).$$

$\square$

Remark 15.1.3.

- In general, the field L in Proposition 15.1.1 is not unique, even up to Γ_F-conjugacy. Examples of such non-uniqueness should not arise in the Lie-multiplicity free case (see §15.2), but there are easy examples of this from the representation theory of finite groups. Consider, for instance, the quaternion group $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$. The (unique) irreducible two-dimensional representation of Q_8 can be written in the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{\langle x \rangle}^{Q_8}(\varepsilon_x)$, where $\langle x \rangle$ denotes one of the subgroups generated by i, j, or k, and ε_x is a generator of the character group of $\langle x \rangle$. None of these subgroups is conjugate to any of the others.[88] It would be interesting to achieve a more systematic understanding of these ambiguities.
- These structure theorems for Galois representations should have an analogue on the automorphic side. In fact, Tate has shown (2.2.3 of [Tat79]) the analogue of Proposition 15.1.1 for representations of the Weil group W_F, where it takes the particularly simple form that any irreducible, non-induced $\rho \colon W_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\omega \otimes \chi$, where ω is an Artin representation and $\chi \colon W_F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character. A basic question is whether we should expect Proposition 15.1.1 to hold for 'representations of $\mathcal{L}_F$.' If we had the formalism of $\mathcal{L}_F$, then to carry out the argument of the proposition with complex representations of $\mathcal{L}_F$ in place of ℓ-adic representations of Γ_F requires two ingredients:
  - That a homomorphism $\mathcal{L}_F \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ lifts to a homomorphism $\mathcal{L}_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$; but this is 'implied' by Proposition 12.2.2. I should mention in this respect the theorem of Labesse ([Lab85]), which establishes the analogue for lifting homomorphisms $W_F \to {}^L G$ across surjections ${}^L\tilde{G} \to {}^L G$ with central torus kernel.
  - That the analogue of the character α in the proof of Proposition 15.1.1 can be written as a finite-order twist α_0 of the n^{th} power of a character α_1. This is *not* automatic, as it is for ℓ-adic characters, but in this case we can exploit Lemma 5.1.9, which applies to the α of the Proposition, since there the restriction to L is (continuing with the notation of the Proposition) χ^{-n}.

  This discussion motivates the following conjecture, whose formulation of course requires assuming deep cases of functoriality:

  Conjecture 15.1.4. *Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$*; assume π is not automorphically induced from any L/F. Then there exist cuspidal automorphic representations τ and ω of, respectively,* $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *and* $\mathrm{GL}_{n/d}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ *such that $\pi = \tau \boxtimes \omega$, with the following properties:*
  - *for all finite extensions L/F, the base-change* $\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\tau)$ *remains cuspidal;*
  - *for some finite extension L/F,* $\mathrm{BC}_{L/F}(\omega)$ *is isomorphic to the isobaric sum of n/d copies of the trivial representation.*

15.2. **Lie-multiplicity-free representations.** In this section, we focus on the cases antithetical to that of Artin representations, putting ourselves in the following situation. Let

$$\rho_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

be a (semi-simple, continuous) compatible system of ℓ-adic representations of the Galois group of a number field F. Write V_ℓ for the space on which ρ_ℓ acts.

---

[88] Although these groups are not conjugate, they are related by (outer) automorphisms of Q_8, but applying outer automorphisms in this fashion will not in general preserve an irreducible induced character: consider the principal series of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and the outer automorphism of A_1.

Definition 15.2.1. We say that V_ℓ is Lie-multiplicity free if after any finite restriction L/F, $V_\ell|_{\Gamma_L}$ is multiplicity-free. Equivalently,

$$\varinjlim_{L/F} \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_L]}(V_\ell)$$

is commutative. To save breath, we will often abbreviate 'Lie-multiplicity-free' to 'LMF.'

Cases to keep in mind are ρ_ℓ that are regular, or of the form $H^1(A_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ where A/F is an abelian variety with commutative $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\overline{F}})$ (by Faltings' proof of the Tate conjecture). Elementary representation theory yields:

Lemma 15.2.2. (1) *Suppose V_ℓ is irreducible. Then V_ℓ is LMF if and only if it can be written*

$$V_\ell \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{L^\ell}^F(W_\ell)^{89}$$

for some Lie-irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representation W_ℓ of Γ_{L^ℓ}, all of whose Γ_F-conjugates remain distinct after any finite restriction.

(2) *Let W_ℓ be an irreducible representation of Γ_L, and assume that $V_\ell = \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(W_\ell)$ is LMF. Then V_ℓ is irreducible.*

Proof. For (1), restrict to a finite-index subgroup of Γ_F over which V_ℓ decomposes into a direct sum of Lie-irreducible representations; take one such factor, and consider its stabilizer in Γ_F– V_ℓ is then induced from this subgroup. For (2), Mackey theory implies we need to check that $W_\ell|_{g\Gamma_L g^{-1}\cap\Gamma_L}$ and $(gW_\ell)|_{g\Gamma_L g^{-1}\cap\Gamma_L}$ are disjoint for all $g \in \Gamma_F - \Gamma_L$. These two representations occur as distinct factors in the $V_\ell|_{g\Gamma_L g^{-1}\cap\Gamma_L}$, so they are disjoint since V_ℓ is LMF. ◻

For general (possibly reducible) LMF representations, there is a decomposition into a sum of terms as in the lemma. If V_ℓ belongs to a compatible system, we expect that the number of such factors should be independent of ℓ; this is an extremely difficult problem (unlike the corresponding question for Artin representations). Instead, restricting to the case of irreducible compatible systems, we will be able to say something about independence of ℓ of the fields L^ℓ.

Our basic strategy is that the places v for which $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_\ell(fr_v)$ equals zero should detect the field L^ℓ. This is in marked contrast to the case of Artin representations: any irreducible (non-trivial) representation of a finite group has elements acting with trace zero. Our main tool will be the following (slight weakening of a) theorem of Rajan:

Theorem 15.2.3 (Theorem 3 of [Raj98])**.** *Let E be a mixed characteristic non-archimedean local field, and let H/E be an algebraic group. Let X be a subscheme of H (over E), stable under the adjoint action of H. Suppose $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to H(E)$ is a Galois representation, unramified almost everywhere, and let $C = X(E)\cap\rho(\Gamma_F)$. Denote by $H_\rho \subset H$ the algebraic monodromy group $\overline{\rho(\Gamma_F)}^{Zar}$, and let $\Phi = H_\rho/H_\rho^0$ denote its group of connected components. For $\phi \in \Phi$, we write H^ϕ for the corresponding component.*

- *Let $\Psi = \{\phi \in \Phi | H^\phi \subset X\}$. Then the density of the set of places v of F with $\rho(fr_v) \in C$ is precisely $|\Psi|/|\Phi|$.*

Rajan applies this to prove[90] (Theorem 4 of [Raj98]) that an irreducible, but *Lie reducible*, representation necessarily has a positive density of frobenii acting with trace zero; note that this also follows immediately from Čebotarev and Proposition 15.1.1, which is a more robust version of Rajan's result (basically combining his argument with Proposition 4.0.18). Our next two results establish a converse, also extending Corollaire 2 to Proposition 15 of [Ser81] to its natural level of generality: that result handles the case of connected monodromy groups.

---

[89] We write L^ℓ to show the *a priori* dependence on ℓ if V_ℓ belongs to a compatible system.

[90] In addition to the main result of his paper, a beautiful 'strong multiplicity one' theorem for ℓ-adic representations

Proposition 15.2.4. *Let $\{\rho_\ell\}$ be a compatible system of continuous, semi-simple, LMF representations $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Decompose V_ℓ as above, so*

$$V_\ell \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_\ell} \mathrm{Ind}_{L_i^\ell}^F(W_{\ell,i})$$

for Lie-irreducible representations $W_{\ell,i}$ of $\Gamma_{L_i^\ell}$. Then:

1. *Up to a density zero set of places,*
$$\{v \in |F| : \mathrm{tr}(\rho_\ell(fr_v)) = 0\} = \{v : fr_v \notin \bigcup_i \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{\ell,i}} \sigma\Gamma_{L_i^\ell}\sigma^{-1}\},$$
where $S_{\ell,i}$ is a set of representatives of $\Gamma_F/\Gamma_{L_i^\ell}$.
2. *Up to a set of density zero, the set of places of F which have a split factor in L_i^ℓ for some i is independent of ℓ. If we further assume that all V_ℓ are absolutely irreducible ($r_\ell = 1$) and all L^ℓ/F are Galois, then L^ℓ is independent of ℓ.*[91]

Proof. The "$\supseteq$" direction follows from the usual formula for the trace of an induced representation. To establish the reverse inclusion, let us consider, for each non-empty subset $I \subset \coprod_i S_{\ell,i}$, the set $\mathcal{X}_I$ of places v such that $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_\ell(fr_v)) = 0$, and $fr_v \in \sigma\Gamma_{L_{i(\sigma)}^\ell}\sigma^{-1}$ if and only if $\sigma \in I$ [Notation: if $\sigma \in I$, then $\sigma \in S_{\ell,i}$ for a unique $i =: i(\sigma)$]. Also set

$$\Gamma_I = \bigcap_{\sigma \in I} \sigma\Gamma_{L_{i(\sigma)}^\ell}\sigma^{-1},$$

and let $\mathcal{G}_I$, resp. $\mathcal{G}$, denote the algebraic monodromy group of $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_I}$, resp. ρ_ℓ.[92] To establish the "$\subseteq$" (up to density zero) direction, we must show that $\mathcal{X}_I$ has density zero for every non-empty I. For all I, $\mathcal{G}_I$ contains $\mathcal{G}^0$, the identity component of $\mathcal{G}$. We apply Rajan's Theorem (15.2.3 above) to $\rho_\ell|_{\Gamma_I}$: if $\mathcal{X}_I$ has positive density, then there is a full connected component $T\mathcal{G}^0 \subset \mathcal{G}_I$ on which the trace vanishes (here T is some coset representative for the component). Representing endomorphisms of V_ℓ in block-matrix form corresponding to the decomposition

$$V_\ell|_{\rho_\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{G}^0)} = \bigoplus_{i,\sigma} \sigma W_{\ell,i},$$

we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\left[T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & * & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}\right] = 0,$$

where the $*$'s represent *arbitrary* elements of the $\mathrm{End}(\sigma W_{\ell,i})$. For this, we use the fact that these constituents are (absolutely) irreducible and distinct: either apply Wedderburn theory to the semi-simple $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-algebra $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[[\rho_\ell(\rho_\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{G}^0))]]$, or apply Schur's lemma (using absolute irreducibility) and an algebra version of Goursat's lemma (using multiplicity-freeness). Then the above matrix equation implies the automorphism T, written in block-matrix form, has all zeros along the (block)-diagonal. But I is non-empty, so $T \in \mathcal{G}_I$ preserves at least one subspace $\sigma W_{\ell,i}$, and so its block-diagonal entry corresponding to that sub-space must be non-zero (invertible). This contradiction forces all components of $\mathcal{G}_I$ to have non-zero trace (generically), for all non-empty I, and thus the "$\subseteq$" direction is established.

Part 2 now follows from independence of ℓ of $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_\ell(fr_v))$ and Čebotarev, noting that

$$\{v : fr_v \in \bigcup_i \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{\ell,i}} \Gamma_{\sigma(L_i^\ell)}\}$$

---

[91] In the non-Galois case, see Exercise 6 in Cassels-Fröhlich!

[92] For notational simplicity, omit the ℓ-dependence in $\mathcal{G}_I$ and $\mathcal{G}$.

is the set of places v of F that have at least one split factor in some L_i^{ℓ}. $\square$

We make a few remarks about the limitations of this method:

Remark 15.2.5. (1) Without any information about how the various V_ℓ decompose into irreducible sub-representations, this result yields frustratingly little, since it is easy to find disjoint collections of number fields $\{L_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{L_i'\}_{i\in I'}$ such that the union of primes with a split factor (or even split) in the various L_i equals the union of those with a split factor in the various L_i'.[93]

(2) Even when the V_ℓ are irreducible, and even assuming that one field L^{ℓ_0} is Galois, care must be taken when the other inducing fields L^{ℓ} are not (known to be) Galois. We see that L^{ℓ_0} is contained in L^{ℓ} for all ℓ, but equality does not follow, as the following example from finite group theory shows. We want an inclusion $H \leq K \lhd G$ of groups with K normal in G, H a proper subgroup of K, and $\cup_{g\in G} gHg^{-1} = K$. Taking $K \lhd S_4$ to be the copy of the Klein four-group given by the $(2,2)$-cycles, and H to be the subgroup generated by one of these permutations, meets the requirements. Note that such examples examples require K to have non-trivial outer automorphism group: if G-conjugation acts by K-inner automorphisms on K, then $\cup_G gHg^{-1} = \cup_K kH^{k}-1 = K$ implies $H = K$, since no finite group is the union of conjugates of a proper subgroup.

(3) The group-theoretic counterexample of the previous item should not arise in practice: if we assume that W_{ℓ_0} can be put in a compatible-system, say with ℓ-adic realization $W_{\ell,0}$, then conjecturally $W_{\ell,0}$ will be Lie irreducible as well, and then the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{L^{\ell}}^{F}(W_\ell) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{L^{\ell_0}}^{F}(W_{\ell,0})$$

implies, by Mackey theory, that there is a non-zero $\Gamma_{L^{\ell_0}}$-morphism

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{s(L^{\ell})}^{L^{\ell_0}}(sW_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\ell,0}$$

for some $s \in \Gamma_F$. By part (2) of Lemma 15.2.2, this induction is irreducible, so this map is an isomorphism. But $W_{\ell,0}$ is (conjecturally) Lie-irreducible, so $L^{\ell_0} = L^{\ell}$.

In any case, the following corollary is the promised converse to Rajan's result:

Corollary 15.2.6. *An irreducible, LMF representations* $\rho_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *is Lie irreducible precisely when the set of* v *with* $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_\ell(fr_v)) = 0$ *has density-zero. In particular, in a compatible system of irreducible, LMF representations, Lie-irreducibility is independent of* ℓ.

Remark 15.2.7. If we know general automorphic base-change for GL_n, we can formulate the conditions 'Lie irreducible' and 'Lie multiplicity free' on the automorphic side. This result then suggests how to tell whether a 'LMF' automorphic representation is automorphically induced. Finding an intrinsic characterization of the image of automorphic induction, even conjecturally, is a mystery (in contrast to its close cousin base-change), so it may come as a surprise that there should be such a simple condition at the level of Satake parameters, for this broad class of LMF representations.

I originally developed Proposition 15.2.4 to prove that a regular compatible system of representations of Γ_F for F a CM field, if induced, is necessarily induced from a CM field. See Remark 6.2.5 for an automorphic analogue. Here is a partial result; it is another application of the 'Hodge-theory with coefficients' in §6.1. We begin with a definition:

Definition 15.2.8. A compatible system with coefficients in a number field E is a system of representations $\rho_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\lambda)$ for λ varying over the finite places of E. If ρ_λ is Hodge-Tate, we say it is regular if each multi-set $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho_\lambda$ consists of n distinct integers.

---

[93] The simplest example: $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}$, $L_1' = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $L_2' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $L_3' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

Lemma 15.2.9. *Let F be a CM field, and let $\rho_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\lambda)$ be a compatible system of λ-adic representations with coefficients in a CM number field E. Assume that the ρ_λ are (almost all) Hodge-Tate and regular. Assume that there is a single number field L such that for λ above a set of rational primes ℓ of density one,*

$$\rho_\lambda \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^F(r_\lambda),$$

for some E_λ-representation r_λ of Γ_L. Then L is CM.

Proof. For simplicity enlarge E to contain L_{cm}, the maximal CM subfield of L, and take its Galois closure–the result remains CM. If $L \neq L_{cm}$, then we can find a (positive-density) set of ℓ (unramified in L and for the system ρ_ℓ) which are split in E (with, say, $\lambda|\ell$) but not in (the Galois closure of L, hence) L. Consider a non-split prime $w|\ell$ of L, above a place v of F, and the restriction

$$r_\lambda|_{\Gamma_{L_w}} \colon \Gamma_{L_w} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\lambda) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_\ell);$$

By Lemma 10.2.1, $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(\rho_\lambda|_{\Gamma_{F_v}})$ is the image under the forgetful functor (from filtered L_w-vector spaces to filtered F_v-vector spaces) of $\mathrm{D}_{dR}(r_\lambda|_{\Gamma_{L_w}})$. Since L_w does not embed in $E_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, we can invoke Corollary 6.1.3 to show ρ_λ is not regular, a contradiction. Therefore $L = L_{cm}$. □

Combining this with Proposition 15.2.4, since regular clearly implies LMF,[94] we deduce:

Corollary 15.2.10. *Let F be a CM field, and let $\{\rho_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be an absolutely irreducible, regular, Hodge-Tate compatible system of representations of Γ_F with coefficients in a CM field E. Suppose that when we write*

$$\rho_\lambda \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{L^\lambda}^F(W_\lambda),$$

where W_λ is Lie irreducible, that the extensions L^λ/F are Galois. Then the field $L = L^\lambda$ is independent of λ, and L is itself CM.

Remark 15.2.11. One way of interpreting this result is that to study regular motives, compatible systems, or algebraic automorphic representations over CM fields, we will never have to leave the comfort of CM fields. This is in particular the case for Galois representations occurring as irreducible sub-quotients of the cohomology of a Shimura variety.

Part 4. **Motivic lifting**

As noted in the introduction (see Question 1.0.10), the results of Chapter 3 raise more questions than they resolve. In this chapter, we discuss some cases of the motivic analogue of Conrad's lifting question; this is also the natural framework for the problem of finding *compatible* lifts of a compatible system of Galois representations. For this we need an unconditional variant of the motivic Galois formalism, and we adopt André's approach, using his theory of motivated cycles ([And96b]). In §16 we review André's theory, prove some supplementary results needed for the application to motivic lifting, and then treat the motivic lifting problem in the potentially abelian case. In §17 we prove an arithmetic refinement of André's work ([And96a]) on, roughly speaking, the motivated theory of hyperkähler varieties. This provides a motivic analogue of Theorem 13.0.13 in many non-abelian examples. Finally, in §18, we speculate on a generalized Kuga-Satake construction, of which the results of §17 are the 'classical' case; we then prove this for H^2 of an abelian variety, generalizing known results for abelian surfaces.

---

[94] This is the one case in which the LMF condition is provably independent of ℓ.

16. Motivated cycles: generalities

16.1. **Lifting Hodge structures.** In trying to produce a motivic analogue either of Wintenberger's or of my lifting theorem, one is naturally led to try to lift all cohomological, rather than merely the ℓ-adic, realizations of a 'motive.' The easiest such lifting problem is for real Hodge-structures, which are parametrized by representations of the Deligne torus $\mathbb{S} = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{G}_m)$. Recall that $X^\bullet(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2$, where α_1 and α_2 are the first and second projections in the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^\times &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \\ z_1 \otimes z_2 &\mapsto (z_1 z_2, \overline{z_1} z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\mathbb{C}^\times = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R})^\times$, and the $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$-action, induced by $z_1 \otimes z_2 \mapsto z_1 \otimes \overline{z_2}$, is given by $c\colon (w, z) \mapsto (\overline{z}, \overline{w})$. In particular,

$$(c \cdot \alpha_1)(w, z) = c(\alpha_1(\overline{z}, \overline{w}) = z,$$

i.e. $(c \cdot \alpha_1) = \alpha_2$, and similarly $(c \cdot \alpha_2) = \alpha_1$. The group of characters over $\mathbb{R}$, denoted $X^\bullet_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{S})$, is then $\mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$, where $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = N$ is the norm, on $\mathbb{R}$-points satisfying $N(z) = z\overline{z}$.

Let $H_0' \to H_0$ be a surjection of linear algebraic groups over $\mathbb{R}$ with kernel equal to a central torus Z_0. We are interested in the lifting problem for algebraic representations over $\mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & H_0' \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathbb{S} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{h}"] \arrow[r, "h"'] & H_0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Any such h lands in some (typically non-split) maximal torus T_0, and any lift will land in the preimage $\pi^{-1}(T_0) =: T_0'$, which is a maximal torus of H_0'. We are therefore reduced to studying the dual diagram of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$-action:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & 0 \\ & X^\bullet(Z_0) \arrow[u] \\ & X^\bullet(T_0') \arrow[u] \arrow[dl, dashed] \\ X^\bullet(\mathbb{S}) & X^\bullet(T_0) \arrow[l] \arrow[u] \\ & 0 \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

As short-hand, we denote the vertically-aligned character groups, from bottom to top, by Y, Y', and L, so we in fact are studying the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(L, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(Y', X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(Y, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(L, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) \to \dots$$

Any real torus is isomorphic to a product of copies of G_m, $\mathbb{S}$, and $\mathbb{S}^1 = \ker(N\colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{G}_m)$. The character group $X^\bullet(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is $X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})/\mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$. A generator is the image of $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, which on $\mathbb{R}$-points is simply the character $z \mapsto z/\overline{z}$ of the (analytic) unit circle S^1. Complex conjugation acts as -1 on $X^\bullet(\mathbb{S}^1)$. We can therefore completely address the lifting problem by understanding morphisms and extensions between these three basic $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}]$-modules. The case of immediate interest to us will be when Z_0 is split, so L is just some number of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ with trivial $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$-action. Now,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{Z}, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) = H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) = 0,$$

since $\ker(1+c) = \mathrm{im}(c-1) = \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$. Therefore any $h\colon \mathbb{S} \to H_0$ lifts, and the ambiguity in lifting is a collection of elements (of order equal to the rank of Z_0) of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{Z}, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S})) \cong \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$.[95]

Example 16.1.1. In §17.2 we will consider the following setup: $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be an orthogonal space with signature $(m-2, 2)$,[96]. Write $m = 2n$ or $m = 2n+1$. The lifting problem will be

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\mathbb{S} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{h}"] \arrow[r, "h"'] & \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{R}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where h lands in a maximal anisotropic torus $T_0 \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^n$. We can write

$$X^\bullet(T_0') = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\chi_i\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\chi_0 + \frac{\sum \chi_i}{2}),^{97}$$

where conjugation acts by -1 on each χ_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and trivially on χ_0. Here $\oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\chi_i$ is the submodule $X^\bullet(T_0)$. A morphism $\mathbb{S} \to T_0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is given in coordinates by $\chi_i \mapsto m_i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$, for some integers m_i. A lift to a morphism $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ then amounts to an extension

$$\chi_0 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i}{2} \mapsto \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2),$$

where ϵ_0 is any integer having the same parity as $\sum m_i$. The Clifford norm N is given by the character $2\chi_0$, so the composition of such a lift with the Clifford norm is $\epsilon_0(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$. In the $K3$ (or hyperkähler) examples to be considered in the next section, $m_1 = 1$ and all other $m_i = 0$, so we find there is a unique lift

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\mathbb{S} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{h}"] \arrow[r, "h"'] & \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{R}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $N \circ \tilde{h}\colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is any *odd* multiple of the usual norm $\mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{G}_m$. The Kuga-Satake theory takes the norm itself, which then gives rise to weight 1 Hodge structures.

16.2. **Motivated cycles.** Most of our results can be stated solely in terms of abelian varieties, but both the strongest assertions and the proofs will require invoking André's theory of motivated cycles; we provide a brief review here, with some points elaborated for later application. In this section, F will be an abstract (i.e., not embedded) field of characteristic zero, small enough to be embedded in $\mathbb{C}$, and which we will eventually specify to be a number field.

In [And96b], André defines a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category of motives for 'motivated cycles' whose construction mirrors the classical construction of (Grothendieck) motives for homological equivalence, but circumvents

---

[95] Note that if we dealt with representations of $\mathbb{S}^1$ we would find an obstruction in $H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}, X^\bullet(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

[96] This part of the discussion applies to any signature (p, q) with at least one of p or q even, so that $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ has a compact maximal torus.

[97] The characters χ_i are conjugate in $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ to the characters denoted χ_i in §11 (see page 40). The torus T_0 is built out of copies of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ embedded in $\mathrm{SO}(m-2, 2)$, and these are just the usual characters

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{i\theta}.$$

the standard conjectures by formally enlarging the group of 'cycles' to include the Lefschetz involutions. Before describing this in more detail, we state the two main results of this theory:[98]

Theorem 16.2.1 (Théorème 0.3 of [And96b])**.** *For any smooth projective F-scheme X, there is a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ of 'motivated cycles,' containing the algebraic cycles on X modulo homological equivalence, and for any classical cohomology $H^\bullet$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear injection*

$$cl_H \colon A^\bullet_{mot}(X) \to H^{2\bullet}(X)$$

extending the cycle class map for H. $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ has the following properties:

- *for every element ξ of $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$, there is a smooth projective F-scheme Y, algebraic cycles α and β on $X \times Y$, and a polarization on $X \times Y$ induced by a 'product' of polarizations of X and Y, and with corresponding Lefschetz involution $*_L$, such that*

  $$cl_H(\xi) = \mathrm{pr}^{XY}_{X,*}(cl_H(\alpha) \cup *_L cl_H(\beta))$$

  for all classical cohomologies H;
- *$A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ depends bifunctorially (push-forward and pull-back) on X, satisfying the usual projection formula.*

Taking $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ as the space of cycles, and mimicking the classical construction of Grothendieck motives, André defines a category $\mathcal{M}_F$ of motives for motivated cycles satisfying:

Theorem 16.2.2 (Théorème 0.4 of [And96b])**.** *$\mathcal{M}_F$ is a neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is graded, semi-simple, and polarized. Every classical cohomology factors through $\mathcal{M}_F$.*

In particular, this sets in motion the formalism of motivic Galois groups, and the theory becomes a very useful circumvention of the standard conjectures. Perhaps its most unsatisfactory feature– present also in the theory of absolute Hodge cycles– is that its 'motives' are not known to give rise to compatible systems of ℓ-adic representations.

We now make these statements more precise. For any smooth projective F-scheme of pure dimension d, equipped with an ample line bundle η, we let $L = L_\eta$ denote the corresponding Lefschetz operator (cup-product with the cycles class $c_1(\eta) \in H^2(X)(1)$). Fix a cohomology theory H, which we will always take to be classical, but can be any Weil cohomology that moreover satisfies the hard Lefschetz theorem. That is, $L^{d-i} \colon H^i(X) \to H^{2d-i}(X)(d-i)$ is an isomorphism for all $i \leq d$. We denote by $*_L$ the corresponding Lefschetz involution, which is L^{d-i} or its inverse, depending on whether $i \leq d$ or $i \geq d$. The fixed classical cohomology takes values in some field E_H, and for any subfield E of E_H, André defines:

Definition 16.2.3. A motivated cycle on X with coefficients in E is an element of $H^\bullet(X)$ of the form

$$\mathrm{pr}^{XY}_{X,*}(\alpha \cup *_L \beta),$$

where

- Y is a smooth projective F-scheme, with polarization η_Y giving rise to a 'product' polarization $\eta_{X\times Y} = [X] \otimes \eta_Y + \eta_X \otimes [Y]$, with corresponding Lefschetz involution $*_L$, on $X \times Y$;
- α and β are algebraic cycles with E-coefficients on $X \times Y$.

These motivated cycles form a vector space $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)_E$, and $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ will always mean the case $E = \mathbb{Q}$. One can in the usual way then define spaces $C^\bullet_{mot}(X, Y)_E$ of motivated correspondences (see Définition 2 of [And96b]); for $X = Y$, this construction yields a graded E-algebra containing the Lefschetz involutions and the Künneth projectors π^i_X. Moreover, different but comparable choices of cohomology theory H yield canonically and functorially isomorphic algebras of motivated cycles (Proposition 2.3 of [And96b]): this explains how the above Theorem 16.2.1 defines $A^\bullet_{mot}(X)$ independently of the cohomology theory.

---

[98] André defines more generally a category of motives 'modeled on' a full sub-category $\mathcal{V}$ of the category of smooth projective F-schemes, with $\mathcal{V}$ assumed stable under products, disjoint union, and passage to connected components. We will always take $\mathcal{V}$ to be all smooth projective F-schemes.

From here, one can define the category of motives $\mathcal{M}_F$ as usual:

Definition 16.2.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_F$, the category of motives over F for motivated cycles, be the category whose objects are triples $M = (X, q, n)$ consisting of a smooth projective F-scheme X, an idempotent motivated correspondence $q \in C^0_{mot}(X, X)$, and a 'Tate twist' n, which is a choice of integer for each connected component of X. For short-hand (and intuition), one writes $M = q\mathfrak{h}(X)(n)$. The morphisms in $\mathcal{M}_F$ are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_F}(q\mathfrak{h}(X)(n), p\mathfrak{h}(Y)(m)) = pC^{m-n}_{mot}(X, Y)q.$$

André shows the endomorphism algebras of objects of $\mathcal{M}_F$ are semi-simple (finite-dimensional) $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras, from which it follows (see [Jan92]) that $\mathcal{M}_F$ is an abelian semi-simple category. There is an obvious structure of a rigid tensor category, where the internal $\underline{Hom}$ is given by

$$\underline{Hom}(q\mathfrak{h}(X)(n), p\mathfrak{h}(Y)(m)) = ({}^tq \times p)\mathfrak{h}(X \times Y)(\dim X - n + m)^{99}$$

but the naïve commutativity constraint (induced by the isomorphism $\sigma \colon Y \times X \to X \times Y$ that swaps the two factors) does *not* yield a Tannakian category: in any rigid tensor category there is an intrinsic notion of rank, and for the naïve commutativity constraint, $\mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{h}(X)) = \sum(-1)^i \dim H^i(X)$ can be negative. This problem derives from the fact that cup-product is anti-commutative and so the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*(X \times Y) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\sigma^*"] & H^*(X) \otimes H^*(Y) \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
H^*(Y \times X) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & H^*(Y) \otimes H^*(X),
\end{tikzcd}$$

does not commute, where ψ denotes the natural commutativity constraint in Vec_{E_H}. To make the Weil cohomology H^* a fiber functor, we therefore modify the commutativity constraint (as in [Jan92]), using the grading on $\mathcal{M}_F$ (i.e., Künneth projectors) as follows: if $\sigma \colon M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes M$ denotes the naïve constraint, decomposing $\sigma = \oplus_{r,s}\sigma^{r,s}$ with

$$\sigma^{r,s} \colon \pi^r M \otimes \pi^s N \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^s N \otimes \pi^r M,$$

the new contraint is $\sigma' = \oplus_{r,s}(-1)^{rs}\sigma^{r,s}$. This modification makes H^* a tensor functor; embedding F into $\mathbb{C}$ and taking $H^* = H^*_B$ (with $E_H = \mathbb{Q}$), $\mathcal{M}_F$ becomes a neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We will need the formalism of motivic Galois groups, for which we will have to specify fiber functors and no longer regard F as an abstract field. For any $\sigma \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{M}_F$ is Tannakian and neutralized by the σ-Betti fiber functor (denoted H_σ), so we obtain its Tannakian group, 'the' motivic Galois group, $\mathcal{G}_F(\sigma)$. For the ℓ-adic fiber functor $X \mapsto H_{et}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{F,\ell}$ the corresponding motivic group (over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$). It is more convenient to choose an embedding $\sigma \colon \overline{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, since this allows us, via the comparison isomorphisms

$$H_{et}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow[\sigma^*]{\sim} H_{et}(X_{\overline{F}} \otimes_{\overline{F},\sigma} \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_\sigma(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell,$$

to deduce an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_F(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \cong \mathcal{G}_{F,\ell}$. Eventually, F will simply be regarded as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, with $\overline{F}$ its algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$; in that case, we will omit the σ from the notation. For now, however, we retain it.

The fully faithful inclusion of the subcategory $\mathcal{M}^{art}_F$ of Artin motives over F induces a surjection

$$\mathcal{G}_F(\sigma) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{art}_F(\sigma) \cong \Gamma_F,$$

---

[99] Interpreting $\dim X$ as a function of connected components.

and when combined with the base-change functor $\mathcal{M}_F \to \mathcal{M}_{\overline{F}}$, we obtain an exact sequence[100] of pro-algebraic groups

$$1 \to \mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}(\sigma) \to \mathcal{G}_F(\sigma) \to \Gamma_F \to 1.$$

By Proposition 6.23*d* of [DM11], for all ℓ there are continuous sections (homomorphisms) $\Gamma_F \to \mathcal{G}_F(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ (after unwinding everything, this is simply the statement that Γ_F acts on ℓ-adic cohomology).

As a variant on this formalism, to any object (or collection of objects) M of $\mathcal{M}_F$, we can associate the smallest Tannakian subcategory $\langle M \rangle^{\otimes}$ generated by M (fully faithfully embedded in $\mathcal{M}_F$), and we can then look at its Tannakian group, denoted $\mathcal{G}_F^M(\sigma)$. This gives rise to a commutative diagram, where the vertical morphisms are surjective:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}^{M_{\overline{F}}}(\sigma) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}_F^M(\sigma) & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}(\sigma) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathcal{G}_F(\sigma) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \Gamma_F \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 16.2.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}^{M_{\overline{F}}}(\sigma)$ is connected. Then there exists a finite extension F'/F such that $\mathcal{G}_{F'}^{M_{F'}}(\sigma)$ is connected.*

Proof. Fix a prime ℓ, a section $s_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathcal{G}_F(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, and a finite extension F'/F such that the Zariski closure of the ℓ-adic representation $\rho_{M_\ell} \colon \Gamma_{F'} \to \mathrm{GL}(M_\ell)$ is connected. The image of $\mathcal{G}_{F'}^{M_{F'}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\mathrm{GL}(M_\ell)$ is then equal to the product of the two connected groups $\overline{\rho_{M_\ell}(\Gamma_{F'})}^{Zar}$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}^{M_{\overline{F}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell\right)$, hence is itself connected. □

Remark 16.2.6. If in $\langle M_{\overline{F}} \rangle^{\otimes}$ all Hodge cycles are motivated, $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}^{M_{\overline{F}}}(\sigma)$ is connected.

Many of the results of [And96a] rest on earlier work of André (Théorème 0.6.2 of [And96b]) showing that on a complex abelian variety, all Hodge cycles are motivated (a variant of Deligne's result that Hodge cycles on a complex abelian variety are absolutely Hodge). One useful consequence is:

Corollary 16.2.7 (André)**.** *Let $A/\mathbb{C}$ be an abelian variety, and let M be the motive $H^1(A)$ (an object of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$). Then the motivic group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}^M$ (for the Betti realization) is equal to the Mumford-Tate group $MT(A)$, and in particular is connected.*

Proof. $MT(A)$, recall, is the smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-sub-group of $\mathrm{GL}(H^1_B(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}))$ whose $\mathbb{R}$-points contain the image of the $\mathbb{S}$-representation corresponding to the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $H^1_B(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{R})$; by the general theory of Mumford-Tate groups, this is equal to

- the Tannakian group for the Tannakian category of $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures generated by $M_B = H^1_B(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$; and
- the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M_B)$ fixing exactly the Hodge tensors in every tensor construction $T^{m,n}(M_B) := (M_B)^{\otimes m} \otimes (M_B^{\vee})^{\otimes n}$.

Similarly, the motivic group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}^M$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M_B)$ fixing exactly the motivated cycles in every tensor construction $T^{m,n}(M_B)$. Of course (on any variety) all motivated cycles are Hodge cycles, so there is a quite general inclusion $MT(A) \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}^M$. Applying André's result to all powers of A, we can deduce the reverse inclusion: if $t \in T^{m,n}(M_B)$ is a Hodge cycle, then (by weight considerations) $m = n$, and viewing this tensor space (via Künneth and polarization) inside $H^{2m}(A^{2m}, \mathbb{Q})(m)$, we see that t is motivated. □

---

[100] See Proposition 6.23*a*, *c* of [DM11]. This is a corrected, TeXed version of the original article in [DMOS82]; it is available at http://www.jmilne.org/math/xnotes/index.html. Note that part *b* is a modification of the (only conjectural) statement in the original article.

The following point is implicit in the arguments of [And96a], but we make it explicit in part to explain an important foundational point in the theory of motivated cycles.

Corollary 16.2.8. *Let F be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, with algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and let A/F be an abelian variety. Let M be the object of $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{F}}$ corresponding to $H^1(A_{\overline{F}})$. Then $\mathcal{G}^M_{\overline{F}}$ (for the $\overline{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$ Betti realization) is connected, equal to $MT(A_{\mathbb{C}})$.*

Proof. We deduce this from the previous result and the following general lemma, which is of course implicit in [And96b]:

Lemma 16.2.9. *Let L/K be an extension of separably closed fields, and let M be an object of $\mathcal{M}_K$, with base-change $M|_L$ to L. Then via the canonical isomorphism $H_{et}(M, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_{et}(M|_L, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, the ℓ-adic motivic groups $\mathcal{G}^M_{K,\ell}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{M|_L}_{L,\ell}$ agree.*

Proof. This follows from the fact (2.5 *Scolie* of [And96b]) that the comparison isomorphism (for $M = H^*(X)$) identifies the spaces of motivated cycles $A^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^*(X_L)$. This follows from standard spreading out techniques, but we provide some details, since they are omitted from [And96b]. Writing $L = \varinjlim_{\lambda} K_\lambda$ as the directed limit of its finite-type K-sub-algebras allows all the data required to define a motivated cycle on X_L (a smooth projective Y/L, algebraic cycles on $X_L \times Y$, the Lefschetz involution on cohomology of $X_L \times Y$) to be descended to some $S_\lambda = \mathrm{Spec}(K_\lambda)$. The general machinery allows us to assume (enlarging λ) that we have Y_λ/S_λ smooth projective (of course $X_\lambda = X \otimes_K K_\lambda$ is smooth projective), a relatively ample invertible sheaf η_λ of 'product-type' on $X_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} Y_\lambda$, and various closed S_λ-subschemes

$$Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} := X_\lambda \times_{S_\lambda} Y_\lambda$$

that are smooth over S_λ and whose linear combinations define spread-out versions of the algebraic cycles on $X_L \times Y$. The purity theorem in this context[101] yields an isomorphism

$$H^{2j}_Z(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(j) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(Z, \mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

hence a cycle class $[Z]$ in $H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(j)$. Regarding $\bar{x}\colon \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to S_\lambda$ as a geometric point over some scheme-theoretic point x, and letting $\bar{s}\colon \mathrm{Spec}(K) \to S_\lambda$ be a geometric point over a scheme-theoretic closed point s lying in the closure of x, the cospecialization map $H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{x}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is an isomorphism ($\mathcal{X}/S_\lambda$ being smooth proper), and it carries the cycle class $[Z_{\bar{s}}]$ to the cycle class $[Z_{\bar{x}}]$, since these are both restrictions of $[Z]$, and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \arrow[dd] \\
H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
& H^{2j}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{x}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. □

□

---

[101] Théorème 3.7 of Artin's Exp. XVI of [sga73]

16.3. **Motives with coefficients.** We will need the flexibility of working with related categories of motives with coefficients. Let E be a field of characteristic zero. Given any E-linear abelian (or in fact just additive, pseudo-abelian) category $\mathcal{M}$, and any finite extension E'/E, we can define the category $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$ of objects with coefficients in E' as either of the following (this discussion is taken from §2.1 of [Del79] and 3.11, 3.12 of [DM11]):

(1) The category of 'E'-modules in $\mathcal{M}$,' whose objects are pairs (M, α) of an object M of $\mathcal{M}$ and an embedding $\alpha\colon E' \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{M}}(M)$, and whose morphisms are those commuting with these E'-structures.

(2) The pseudo-abelian envelope of the category whose objects are formally obtained from those of $\mathcal{M}$ (writing $M_{E'}$ for the object in $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$ arising from M in $\mathcal{M}$), and whose morphisms are

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_{E'}}(M_{E'}, N_{E'}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N) \otimes_E E'.$$

This construction is valid for infinite-dimensional E'/E.

To pass from the first to the second description, let (M, α) be as in (1), so that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{M}_{E'}}(M_{E'}) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \otimes_E E'$ contains, via α, $E' \otimes_E E'$. This E'-algebra (via the left factor) is isomorphic to a product of fields, and there is a unique projection $e_{id}\colon E' \otimes_E E' \twoheadrightarrow E'$ in which $x \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes x$ both map to x. Then $e_{id}(M_{E'})$ is the object of (2) corresponding to (M, α).

There is a functor $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{E'}$, which in the first language is $M \mapsto (M \otimes_E E', id_M \otimes id_{E'})$.[102] If $\mathcal{M}$ is semi-simple, then so is $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a neutral Tannakian category over E with fiber functor ω, then we can make $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$ into a neutral Tannakian category over E'. Define an E'-valued fiber functor $\omega_{E'}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{Vec}_{E'}$ by $\omega_{E'}(M) = \omega(M) \otimes_E E'$. There is a diagram commuting up to canonical isomorphism,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "\omega_{E'}"'] & \mathcal{M}_{E'} \arrow[d, "\omega'_{E'}"] \\
& \mathrm{Vec}_{E'},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\omega'_{E'}(M, \alpha) := \omega_{E'}(M) \underset{\alpha\otimes \mathrm{id}_{E'}, E'\otimes_E E'}{\otimes} E'.$$

This $\omega'_{E'}$ neutralizes $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$, and so we can define the associated Tannakian group $\mathcal{G}_{E'} = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega'_{E'})$. We wish to compare $\mathcal{G}_{E'}$ with $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega)$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{G} \otimes_E E' = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega_{E'})$. Then the composition of functors

$$\mathcal{M}_{E'} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\omega} \mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{F} \mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G} \otimes_E E')$$

given on $\mathcal{M}_{E'}$ by[103]

$$(X, \alpha) \mapsto (\omega(X), \omega(\alpha)) \mapsto E' \underset{E'\otimes E', \omega(\alpha)\otimes 1}{\otimes} (\omega(X) \otimes E')$$

is just $\omega'_{E'}$, whence a tensor-equivalence

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G}_{E'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G} \otimes_E E').$$

We apply these constructions to André's category of motives over F for motivated cycles, to form the variant $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$, motives over F with coefficients in E, for E any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote the corresponding motivic Galois group (for the σ-Betti realization) by $\mathcal{G}_{F,E}(\sigma)$; its E-linear (pro-algebraic) representations correspond to objects of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$, and it is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{F,\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$. For any object M of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$, we also have the corresponding motivic group $\mathcal{G}^M_{F,E}(\sigma)$.

As a more concrete variant, we can start with the ($\mathbb{Q}$-linear, semi-simple) isogeny category AV^0_F of abelian varieties over F, and form the E-linear, semi-simple category $AV^0_{F,E}$ of isogeny abelian varieties over F with complex multiplication by E. There is a (contravariant) functor $AV^0_{F,E} \to \mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. Faltings' theorem implies the following E-linear variant: fix an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and consider an object A of $AV^0_{F,E}$; then the natural map

---

[102] See 2.11 of [DM11] for a precise description of $M \otimes_E E'$.

[103] F just sends an object V of $\mathrm{Rep}_{E'}(\mathcal{G})$, corresponding to an E-homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{Res}_{E'/E}(\mathrm{GL}_{E'}(V))$, to $E' \otimes_{E' \otimes_E E'} V$.

$$\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F,E}}(A) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_F]}(H^1(A_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

is an isomorphism.[104]

Our next goal is to show that objects of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ satisfy (unconditionally) the Hodge-Tate weight symmetries, and the more fundamental symmetries in the rational de Rham realization, needed for our abstract Galois lifting results. I expect these results are well-known to experts, but they do not seem to have been explained in their natural degree of generality, and in a context in which they can be *proven* unconditionally[105]. So, let M be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$, of rank r. The de Rham realization M_{dR} is a filtered $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$-module, which is moreover free of rank r. As in §6.1, we define the $\tau \colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{E}$-labeled weights of M as follows: $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(M)$ is an r-tuple of integers h, with h appearing with multiplicity

$$\dim_{\overline{E}} \mathrm{gr}^h \left(M_{dR} \otimes_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E, \tau \otimes 1} \overline{E} \right).$$

In talking of τ-labeled weights, there is alway an ambient over-field, in this case $\overline{E}$, but we will at times want to change this to either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$; that will require *fixing* an embedding $\iota \colon \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ or $\iota \colon \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In either case, we can then speak of $\mathrm{HT}_{\iota\tau}(M)$, with E embedded into $\mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ via ι, and there is an obvious equality $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(M) = \mathrm{HT}_{\iota\tau}(M)$.

The essential point, which is the motivic analogue of Corollary 6.2.3, is closely related to the fact that $\mathcal{G}_F$ splits over $\mathbb{Q}^{cm}$ (see 4.6(*iii*) of [And96b] for this assertion). For lack of reference for the proof, we give some details:

Lemma 16.3.1. *Let N be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. Then there exists an object N_0 of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E_{cm}}$ such that $N \cong N_0 \otimes_{E_{cm}} E$. Consequently,* $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(N)$ *depends only on the restriction of τ to F_{cm}.*

Proof. By the formalism of Lemma 6.1.1, the second claim follows from the first. By Proposition 3.3 (the analogue of the Hodge index theorem) of [And96b], $C^0_{mot}(X, X)$ is endowed with a positive-definite, $\mathbb{Q}$-valued symmetric form, which we call $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For any sub-object $M \subset H(X)$ in $\mathcal{M}_F$, there follows a decomposition $H(X) = M \oplus M^\perp$, by positivity and the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is a semi-simple abelian category. $\langle\, , \rangle$ therefore restricts to a positive form on M itself, and in particular every simple object of $\mathcal{M}_F$ carries a positive definite form. Again by semi-simplicity, any such simple object M has $\mathrm{End}(M)$ isomorphic to a division algebra D, on which we now have an involution $'$ (transpose with respect to $\langle\, , \rangle$) and a trace form $\mathrm{tr}_M \colon D \to \mathbb{Q}$ (given by $\phi \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(\phi|_{H_B(M)})$ such that $\mathrm{tr}_M(\phi\phi') > 0$ for all non-zero $\phi \in D$. As in the proof of the Albert classification, this implies that the center of D is either a totally real or a CM field. Thus, $\mathrm{End}(M)$ splits over a CM field; in particular, for any number field E and any factor N of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ (in $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$), N can in fact be realized as (the scalar extension of) an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E_{cm}}$. ◻

Remark 16.3.2. When $E = \mathbb{Q}$, Lemma 6.1.1 shows that $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(N)$ is independent of τ; this is essentially the assertion that for a smooth projective X/F, the Hodge numbers of X do not depend on the choice of embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The next few results (culminating in Corollary 16.3.5) all have corresponding strengthenings when $E = \mathbb{Q}$.

We first record the de Rham-Betti version of the desired symmetry:

---

[104] Simply take the usual isomorphism with $\mathbb{Q}$ in place of E, restrict to those endomorphism commuting with $E \to \mathrm{End}_{AV^0_F}(A)$, and then project to the $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ component of the resulting $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-module.

[105] Our discussion is an analogue of the standard discussion in the theory of motives of CM type– see §7 of [Ser94]. The Galois symmetries themselves have also been postulated in §5 of [BLGGT], but since that paper is only concerned with Galois representations arising from essentially conjugate self-dual automorphic representations, it has no need to deal with this symmetry as a general principle.

Lemma 16.3.3. *Let N be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ lying in the k-component of the grading. Then for any choice of complex conjugation c in* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{E}/\mathbb{Q})$*,*

$$\mathrm{HT}_{c\circ\tau}(N) = \{k-h : h \in \mathrm{HT}_{\tau}(N)\}.$$

Proof. Any such object is built by taking one of the form $M = H^k(X) \otimes E$, for X/F a smooth projective variety and applying an idempotent $\alpha \in C^0_{mot}(X,X)_E$.[106] Fix an embedding $\iota \colon \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so that $\iota \circ \tau \colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There is a functorial (Betti-de Rham) comparison isomorphism

$$M_{dR} \otimes_{F,\iota\tau} \mathbb{C} \cong M_{B,\iota\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C},$$

which commutes with the action of $C^0_{mot}(X,X)_E$ on M_{dR} and $M_{B,\iota\tau}$, in particular making this an isomorphism of free $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-modules. It induces an isomorphism on the corresponding gradeds (with $q = k-p$):

$$\mathrm{gr}^p(M_{dR} \otimes_{F,\iota\tau} \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{p,q}_{\iota\tau}(M) \cong H^{p,q}(X_{\iota\tau}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E,$$

again $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-linear. Just for orientation amidst the formalism, this says that

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau}(M_{dR}) = \mathrm{HT}_{\iota\tau}(M_{dR}) = \{p : H^{p,q}(X_{\iota\tau}) \neq 0, \text{counted with multiplicity } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}(X_{\iota\tau})\}.$$

Now, the projection $X_{\iota\tau} \times_{\mathbb{C},c} \mathbb{C} \to X_{\iota\tau}$ induces a transfer of structure isomorphism on rational Betti cohomology, and then an $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism

$$F_{\infty} \colon H^{p,q}_{\iota\tau}(M) \to H^{q,p}_{c\iota\tau}(M).$$

Let $\alpha \in C^0_{mot}(X,X)_E$ be an E-linear motivated idempotent correspondence defining an object $N = \alpha M$ of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. There is a commutative diagram of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-linear morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{p,q}_{\iota\tau}(M) \arrow[r, "F_{\infty}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{\iota\tau}"'] & H^{q,p}_{c\iota\tau}(M) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{c\iota\tau}"] \\
H^{p,q}_{\iota\tau}(M) \arrow[r, "F_{\infty}"] & H^{q,p}_{c\iota\tau}(M).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the images of the two vertical maps. Since the horizontal maps are isomorphisms, and all the maps are $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-linear, we deduce an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{\iota\tau} H^{p,q}_{\iota\tau}(M) \otimes_{E\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C},\iota} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha_{c\iota\tau} H^{q,p}_{c\iota\tau}(M) \otimes_{E\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C},\iota} \mathbb{C}.$$

The left-hand side is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{gr}^p\left((\alpha M)_{dR} \otimes_{F\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}E,\iota\tau\otimes\iota} \mathbb{C}\right),$$

and letting c' be a complex conjugation in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\iota c'\tau = c\iota\tau$, the right-hand side is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{gr}^{k-p}\left((\alpha M)_{dR} \otimes_{F\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}E,\iota c'\tau\otimes\iota} \mathbb{C}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{HT}_{c'\tau}(\alpha M) = \{k-h : h \in \mathrm{HT}_{\tau}(\alpha M)\}.$$

But by the previous lemma, $\mathrm{HT}_{c'\tau}(\alpha M) = \mathrm{HT}_{c\tau}(M)$, so we are done. □

Next we observe (as in §2.4 of [And96b], but with a few more details) that 'p-adic' comparison isomorphisms hold unconditionally in $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$; this is one of the main reasons for working with motivated cycles rather than absolute Hodge cycles.

Lemma 16.3.4. *Let N be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. Then for all $v|\ell$, the free $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-module M_{ℓ} is a de Rham representation of Γ_{F_v}, and there is a functorial (with respect to morphisms in $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$) isomorphism of filtered $F_v \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \cong F_v \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E)$-modules*

$$F_v \otimes_F M_{dR} \cong \mathrm{D}_{dR}(M_{\ell}|_{\Gamma_{F_v}}).$$

---

[106] And a Tate twist, but the statement of the lemma is obviously invariant under Tate twists.

Proof. It suffices to check for objects of the form $M = H(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$, for X/F smooth projective. Faltings' theorem[107] provides the comparison

$$H^*_{dR}(X_{F_v}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \cong \mathrm{D}_{dR}\left(H^*(X_{\overline{F_v}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E,$$

which is an isomorphism of filtered $F_v \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$-modules. Let $\alpha \in C^0_{mot}(X_1, X_2)_E$ be a motivated correspondence. Let $d_1 = \dim(X_1)$. α has realizations α_{dR} and α_ℓ in cohomology groups that are also compared by Faltings:[108]

$$H^{2d_1}_{dR}((X_1 \times X_2)_{F_v})(d_1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \cong \mathrm{D}_{dR}\left(H^{2d_1}((X_1 \times X_2)_{\overline{F_v}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(d_1)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E,$$

and the claim is that α_{dR} maps to α_ℓ. The comparison isomorphism is compatible with cycle class maps,[109] and our cycle α is spanned by elements of the form $\mathrm{pr}^{X_1 \times X_2 \times Y}_{X_1 \times X_2,*}(\beta \cup *\gamma)$, with Y/F another smooth projective variety and β and γ algebraic cycles on $X_1 \times X_2 \times Y$. Applying the comparison isomorphism also to $X_1 \times X_2 \times Y$, and applying compatibility with cycle classes, cup-product, (hence) Lefschetz involution, and the projection $\mathrm{pr}^{X_1 \times X_2 \times Y}_{X^2,*}$[110], we can deduce that α_{dR} maps to α_ℓ. □

Corollary 16.3.5. *Let M be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. Fix an embedding $\iota \colon \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and use this to identify E as a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Then for all $\tau \colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ depends only on $\tau_0 = \tau|_{F_{cm}}$. If M is moreover pure of some weight k, then*

$$\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0 \circ c}(M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \left\{k - h : h \in \mathrm{HT}_{\tau_0}(M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right\}.$$

Proof. Write $\iota^{-1}\tau$ for the embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{E}$ induced by τ and ι. By the previous lemma, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \mathrm{HT}_{\iota^{-1}\tau}(M)$. The latter depends only on $\tau|_{F_{cm}}$, and when M is pure satisfies the required symmetry, by Lemmas 16.3.1 and 16.3.3. □

Finally, we can show that the Galois lifting results of §13 apply to representations arising from objects of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$. In an idealized situation in which all Hodge cycles are motivated (or motivic groups over $\overline{F}$ are connected), this will always be the case; in general there is a complication, which I have not yet been able to avoid, arising from the fact that the proof of Theorem 13.0.11 requires an initial reduction to the case of connected monodromy group. Fix an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, inducing a place λ of E. For short-hand, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ the base-change to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of the E_λ-group $\mathcal{G}_{F,\lambda}$ defined by the λ-adic étale fiber functor on the category $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$, and we make the analogous definition of $\mathcal{G}^M_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ for objects M of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$.

Corollary 16.3.6. *Let F be a totally imaginary field. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ and $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ a fixed embedding, to which we associate the ℓ-adic representation $M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, which is a representation both of Γ_F and of the motivic group $\mathcal{G}^M_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$. Make the following assumption, which is needed for the proof, but should not be necessary for the conclusion:*

- $\mathcal{G}^{M_{\overline{F}}}_{\overline{F},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ *is connected.*

Suppose that $H' \to H$ is any central torus quotient of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and that there is a factorization

$$\mathcal{G}^M_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(M_\ell \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

---

[107] Of which there are now several proofs, including the necessary corrections to the original [Fal89]. A 'simple' recent proof is [Bei12].

[108] Note that α_ℓ is fixed by Γ_{F_v}

[109] See part (b) of the proof of Theorem 3.6 of [Bei12].

[110] This last point because compatible with pull-back induced by morphisms of varieties and, again, Poincare duality.

where of course the composition of these inclusions is the natural representation. Then there exists a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[r, "\rho"'] \arrow[rru, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] & \mathcal{G}^M_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \arrow[r] & H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly, if F is any number field, but E is $\mathbb{Q}$, then without any assumption on the motivic group $\mathcal{G}^{M_{\overline{F}}}_{\overline{F}}$, such a $\tilde{\rho}$ exists.

Proof. Corollary 16.3.5 tells us that composing ρ with any irreducible algebraic representation of $\mathcal{G}^M_{F,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ yields an ℓ-adic representation satisfying the Hodge symmetries of Conjecture 13.0.9. But the arguments of Proposition 13.0.11 and Theorem 13.0.13 do not apply directly, because they assume these symmetries after composition with irreducible representations of the connected component $(\overline{\rho(\Gamma_F)}^{Zar})^0$.[111] The simplest (but imperfect) way around this is to assume $\mathcal{G}^{M_{\overline{F}}}_{\overline{F},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ is connected, and then run through the arguments of Proposition 13.0.11 and Theorem 13.0.13 with $\mathcal{G}^{M_{F'}}_{F',\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$, for F' sufficiently large (see Lemma 16.2.5), in place of $\overline{\rho(\Gamma_{F'})}^{Zar}$: reduce to the connected case (replacing F by F') as in Theorem 13.0.13, and then note that the proof of Proposition 13.0.11 only requires identifying a connected reductive group containing the image of ρ such that composition with irreducible representations of this group yields Galois representations with the desired symmetry (whether or not these Galois representations are themselves irreducible).

The second assertion (when $E = \mathbb{Q}$) follows by the same argument: by Remark 16.3.2, the τ-labeled Hodge-Tate co-character μ_τ of ρ is independent of τ. It can be interpreted as a co-character of $(\overline{\rho(\Gamma_F)}^{Zar})^0$, and composing with finite-dimensional representations of this group, we obtain the necessary Hodge-Tate symmetries to apply the argument of Proposition 13.0.11. □

Remark 16.3.7. Similarly, there is a motivic version of Corollary 13.0.12.

16.4. **Motivic lifting: the potentially abelian case.** One case of the desired motivic lifting result is immediately accessible, when the corresponding Galois representations are potentially abelian. We denote by $\mathcal{CM}_F$ the Tannakian category of motives (for motivated cycles) over F generated by Artin motives and potentially CM abelian varieties. As before an embedding $\sigma\colon F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ yields, through the corresponding Betti fiber functor, a Tannakian group $\mathcal{T}_F(\sigma) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(H_\sigma|_{\mathcal{CM}_F})$. For a number field E, we can also consider, as in §16.3, the category $\mathcal{CM}_{F,E}$ of potentially CM motives over F with coefficients in E, with its Tannakian group $\mathcal{T}_{F,E}(\sigma)$.[112] As with $\mathcal{G}_F$, there is a sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_{\overline{F},E} \to \mathcal{T}_{F,E} \to \Gamma_F \to 1,$$

where again the projection $\mathcal{T}_{F,E} \to \Gamma_F$ has a continuous section s_λ on E_λ-points. Deligne showed in [DMOS82] (Chapter IV: '*Motifs et groupes de Taniyama*') that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isomorphic to the Taniyama group constructed by Langlands as an explicit extension of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by the connected Serre group.[113]

---

[111] If this group were, contrary to conjecture, not equal to $\mathcal{G}^{M_{\overline{F}}}_{\overline{F},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$, then it is not obvious in general how to relate the two putative Hodge symmetries.

[112] From now on, σ will be implicit.

[113] More precisely, equipped with the data of the projection to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a section on $\mathbf{A}_{F,f}$-points, and the co-character $\mathrm{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ giving the Hodge filtration on objects of $\mathcal{CM}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is uniquely isomorphic to the Taniyama group, equipped with its corresponding structures.

Proposition 16.4.1. *Let F be totally imaginary. Let $H' \to H$ be a surjection of linear algebraic groups over a number field E with central torus kernel. For any homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{T}_{F,E} \to H$, there is a finite extension E'/E such that ρ lifts to a homomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & H'_{E'} \arrow[d] \\ \mathcal{T}_{F,E'} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"] & H_{E'}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Fix a finite place λ of E and consider the λ-adic representation $\rho_\lambda = \rho \circ s_\lambda\colon \Gamma_F \to H(E_\lambda)$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{F}}$ is isomorphic to the connected Serre group, we can unconditionally apply Corollary 16.3.6 to find, for some finite extension E'_λ/E_λ a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda\colon \Gamma_F \to H'(E'_\lambda)$ of ρ_λ. Note that $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$ is potentially abelian, since ρ_λ is, and the kernel of $H' \to H$ is central. Proposition IV.D.1 of [DMOS82] implies that $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$ arises from a homomorphism (of groups over E'_λ) $\mathcal{T}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E'_\lambda \cong \mathcal{T}_{F,E} \otimes_E E'_\lambda \to H' \otimes_E E'_\lambda$. This in turn must be definable over some finite extension E'/E (E' is thus embedded in E'_λ), i.e. there is a homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}\colon \mathcal{T}_{F,E'} \to H' \otimes_E E'$ whose extension to E'_λ gives rise to $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$. We verify this important technical point in Lemma 16.4.2 below. To check that $\tilde{\rho}$ is actually a lift of $\rho \otimes_E E'$, it suffices to observe:

- The restriction to $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{F},E'}$ is a lift: the restrictions of $\tilde{\rho}$ and ρ are simply the algebraic homomorphisms of the connected Serre group (tensored with E') corresponding to the labeled Hodge-Tate weights of $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$ and ρ_λ.
- The λ'-adic lift, for the place λ' of E' induced by $E' \hookrightarrow E'_\lambda$, is a lift (by construction).
- It suffices to check that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a lift on $E'_{\lambda'}$-points. First, it suffices to check that $\tilde{\rho} \otimes_{E'} E'_{\lambda'}$ lifts $\rho \otimes_E E'_{\lambda'}$. This in turn can be checked on $E'_{\lambda'}$-points: we are free to replace $\mathcal{T}_{F,E'} \otimes_{E'} E'_{\lambda'}$ by some finite-type quotient $\mathcal{T}$ in which the $E'_{\lambda'}$-points are Zariski-dense. Then the closed subscheme $\mathcal{T} \underset{H \times H}{\times} H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ (where the two maps to $H \times H$ are the diagonal $H \to H \times H$ and the product of the two maps $\mathcal{T} \to H \times H$ given by ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ followed by the quotient $H' \to H$) contains $\mathcal{T}(E'_{\lambda'})$, hence equals $\mathcal{T}$.

Then we are done, since $\mathcal{T}_{F,E'}(E'_{\lambda'}) = \mathcal{T}_{\overline{F},E'}(E'_{\lambda'}) \cdot s_{\lambda'}(\Gamma_F)$. □

Here is the promised lemma showing that $\tilde{\rho}$ may be defined over a finite extension E' of E:

Lemma 16.4.2. *Let K/k be an extension of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero. Let T be a (not necessarily connected) reductive group over k, and let $\pi\colon H' \to H$ be a surjection (defined over k) of reductive k-groups. Suppose that $\rho\colon T \to H$ is a k-morphism, and that the scalar extension ρ_K lifts to a K-morphism $\tilde{\rho}\colon T_K \to H'_K$. Then ρ lifts to a k-morphism $T \to H'$.*

Proof. By a basic result of Vinberg (Proposition 10 of [Vin96]), any homomorphism $T_K \to H'_K$ is $H'(K)$-conjugate to a homomorphism defined over k: so, there exists $\tilde{\rho}_0\colon T \to H'$ and $\tilde{h} \in H'(K)$ such that $\tilde{h}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{h}^{-1} = \tilde{\rho}_{0,K}$. Composing with π_K, and writing $h = \pi_K(\tilde{h})$, $\rho_0 = \pi_K \circ \tilde{\rho}_{0,K}$, we have $h\rho_K h^{-1} = \rho_{0,K}$. We claim that there is an $h_0 \in H(k)$ such that $h_0 \rho h_0^{-1} = \rho_0$. Granted this, we can lift h_0 to an element $\tilde{h}_0$ of $H'(k)$, and then $\tilde{h}_0^{-1}\tilde{\rho}_0\tilde{h}_0$ is a lift of ρ defined over k.

To see the claim, note that for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$, ${}^\sigma h \rho_K {}^\sigma h^{-1} = \rho_{0,K}$, and thus $c\colon \sigma \mapsto h^{-1} \cdot {}^\sigma h$ defines a cohomology class in $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K/k), \mathrm{Cent}_{H(K)}(\rho_K))$, which is of course trivial, since K/k is an extension of separably closed fields. Consequently, for some element z of this centralizer, $c(\sigma) = z^{-1} \cdot {}^\sigma z$, and the element hz^{-1} is then $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$-invariant. Hence $h_0 = hz^{-1}$ lies in $H(k)$ and conjugates ρ to ρ_0. □

Remark 16.4.3.

- We have stated the result only for imaginary fields for simplicity, but of course there is a variant for general number fields taking into account Corollary 13.0.12. When F is totally real, there will be such ρ that do not lift: simply take a type A Hecke character ψ of a quadratic CM extension L/F as in Example 7.0.9. Then $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\psi))$ will have a potentially abelian Galois ℓ-adic realizations $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, which arises from a representation of $\mathcal{T}_F$ by Proposition IV.D.1 of [DMOS82]. As we have seen, these representations do not lift geometrically to GSpin_3.

- The method of checking that $\tilde{\rho}$– once we know it exists– actually lifts ρ will recur in §17; this division into a geometric argument (lifting $\rho|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{F},E}}$ and a Galois-theoretic argument (lifting $\rho \circ s_\lambda$) seems to be the natural way to make arguments about motivic Galois groups over number fields (compare Lemma 16.2.5).
- On the automorphic side, representations of the global Weil group ought to parametrize 'potentially abelian' automorphic representations. In that case, the analogous lifting result is a theorem of Labesse ([Lab85]).

Before proceeding to more elaborate examples, let us clarify here that, even though we study lifting through *central* quotients, the nature of these problems is in fact highly non-abelian. That is, suppose we have a lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E} \to H'_E$ of $\rho\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E} \to H_E$ (we may assume H' and H are reductive; let us further suppose they are connected), and let r' and r be irreducible faithful representations of H'_E and H_E. If the derived group of H is not simply-connected, but its simply-connected cover H_{sc} injects into H', then typically $r' \circ \tilde{\rho}$ will not lie in the Tannakian subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ generated by $r \circ \rho$ and all potentially CM motives, i.e. objects of $\mathcal{CM}_{F,E}$ (of course, it does in the example of Proposition 16.4.1). We make this precise at the Galois-theoretic level:

Lemma 16.4.4. *Let $H' \to H$, r', and r be as above. Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a geometric Galois representation having a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}\colon \Gamma_F \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Assume that*

- *the algebraic monodromy group of ρ is H itself;*
- *the kernel of $H_{sc} \cap \overline{(\tilde{\rho}(\Gamma_F))}^{Zar} \to H$ is non-zero.*

Then $r' \circ \tilde{\rho}$ is not contained in the Tannakian sub-category of semi-simple geometric $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representations of Γ_F generated by $r \circ \rho$ and all potentially abelian geometric representations.

Proof. If $r' \circ \tilde{\rho}$ were contained in this category, then there would exist an irreducible potentially abelian representation τ of Γ_F and an injection $r' \circ \tilde{\rho} \hookrightarrow \tau \otimes (r_1 \circ \rho)$ for some irreducible algebraic representation r_1 of H. By Proposition 15.1.1, τ has the form $\mathrm{Ind}_L^F(\psi \cdot \omega)$ for some character ψ and irreducible Artin representation ω of Γ_L. By Frobenius reciprocity, there is a non-zero map $(r' \circ \tilde{\rho})|_{\Gamma_L} \to \psi \cdot (r_1 \circ \rho)|_{\Gamma_L} \otimes \omega$; both sides are irreducible,[114] so ω is one-dimensional, and absorbing ω into ψ we may assume $r' \circ \tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi \cdot (r_1 \circ \rho)|_{\Gamma_L}$. Comparing algebraic monodromy groups, we obtain a contradiction, since by assumption $H_{sc} \cap \overline{(\tilde{\rho}(\Gamma_F))}^{Zar}$ cannot inject into $\mathrm{G}_m \times H$. □

In §17, we will see many examples of ρ with full SO monodromy group; their lifts to GSpin will satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 16.4.4.

17. MOTIVIC LIFTING: THE HYPERKÄHLER CASE

17.1. **Setup.** The aim of this section is to produce a lifting not merely at the level of a single ℓ-adic representation, but of actual motives, in a very special family of cases whose prototype is the primitive second cohomology of a $K3$ surface over F. More generally, we work in the axiomatized setup of [And96a]. That is, let (X, η) be a polarized variety over a subfield F of $\mathbb{C}$– this means that η is an F-rational ample line bundle– and for a fixed $k \leq \dim X$, we will consider the 'motive' $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$, as an object of $\mathcal{M}_F$.[115] Precisely, η yields its first Chern classes in $H^2(X)(1)$ (again, the various realizations), and endowing $H^{2k}(X)(k)$ with the quadratic form

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\eta = (-1)^k x \cup y \cup \eta^{\dim X - 2k} \in H^{2\dim X}(X)(\dim X) \cong \mathbb{Q},$$

$Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ is the orthogonal complement of $H^{2k-2}(X)(k-1) \cup \eta$. We will use cohomology with coefficients in some field, except for occasionally taking integral Betti cohomology, where these definitions should be made modulo torsion. In that case, the primitive lattice defines a polarized (by $\langle\,,\rangle_\eta$) integral Hodge structure of weight 0; we write $h^{p,q}$ for the Hodge numbers. André proves his theorems, which include versions of the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures, under the following axioms:

---

[114] For the right-hand side, see Proposition 15.1.1: the tensor product of a Lie-irreducible and an Artin representation is irreducible; of course, for the purposes of this lemma, we could just further restrict L.

[115] We omit the η-dependence from the notation.

- A_k: $h^{1,-1} = 1$, $h^{0,0} > 0$, and $h^{p,q} = 0$ if $|p - q| > 2$.
- B_k: There exists a smooth connected F-scheme S, a point $s \in S(F)$, and a smooth projective morphism $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to S$ such that:
  - $X \cong \mathcal{X}_s$;
  - the Betti class $\eta_B \in H^2(X^{an}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})(1)/torsion$ extends to a section of $R^2 f^{an}_{\mathbb{C}*}\mathbb{Z}(1)/torsion$;
  - letting $\tilde{S}$ denote the universal cover of $S(\mathbb{C})$, and D denote the period domain of Hodge structures on $V_{\mathbb{Z}} := Prim^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})(k)$ polarized by $\langle\,,\rangle_\eta$, we require that the image of $\tilde{S} \to D$ contain an open subset.
- B_k^+: For each $t \in S(\mathbb{C})$, every Hodge class in $H^{2k}(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{Q})(k)$ is an algebraic class.

A_k is essential to the method, which relies on studying the associated Kuga-Satake abelian variety, which exists for Hodge structures of this particular form. B_k is a statement about deforming X into a 'big' family. B_k^+ is of course a case of the Hodge conjecture, which is always known when $k = 1$ (the theorem of Lefschetz). But we provide these axioms merely for orientation. Of interest is the following collection of varieties for which they are known to hold:

Proposition 17.1.1. *The axioms A_1, B_1, and B_1^+ are satisfied by: polarized abelian surfaces, surfaces of general type with $h^{2,0}(X) = 1$ and $\mathcal{K}_X \cdot \mathcal{K}_X = 1$, and hyperkähler varieties with $b_2 > 3$ (in particular, $K3$ surfaces). Cubic fourfolds satisfy A_2, B_2, and B_2^+*

This relies on the work of many people; see §2 and §3 of [And96a]. Finally, André observes that these axioms are independent of the choice of embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$; note that for A_k this is a special case of Remark 16.3.2.

Remark 17.1.2. The proposition does not apply to hyperkähler varieties with Betti number 3, since their (projective) deformation theory is not sufficiently robust. In fact, it is believed that such hyperkählers do not exist. Regardless, the motivic lifting problem in that case is quite easy, since the ℓ-adic representation $H^2(X_{\overline{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is potentially abelian. Proposition 16.4.1 therefore applies. More generally, this shows:

Lemma 17.1.3. *Let X/F be a smooth projective variety with $b_2(X) = 3$. Then the motivic Galois representation $\rho\colon \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{SO}(H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}))$ factors through $\mathcal{T}_F$ and therefore, after some finite extension of scalars $E/\mathbb{Q}$, lifts to* $\mathrm{GSpin}(H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, E))$.[116]

Notation 17.1.4.
- From now on we view F as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, with $\overline{F}$ its algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$. These embeddings will be used implicitly to define Betti realizations, motivic Galois groups (for Betti realizations), and étale-Betti comparisons, for varieties (or motives) over extensions of F inside $\overline{F}$.
- We write $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $Prim^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})(k)$, and for a prime ℓ, we will write V_ℓ for the ℓ-adic realization $Prim^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(k)$. Sometimes $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ will be used to indicate the underlying motive, i.e. object of $\mathcal{M}_F$.
- For fields E containing $\mathbb{Q}$, we will sometimes denote the extension of scalars $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ by V_E (or similarly for $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and V_ℓ). This is the Betti realization of an object $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$.
- The same subscript conventions will hold for other motives we consider, especially the direct factors of $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ given by the algebraic cycles (to be denoted Alg) and its orthogonal complement, the transcendental lattice (T).[117]
- First assume $\dim V_{\mathbb{Q}} = m$ is odd. The group $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ may not have a rationally-defined spin representation, but it does after some extension of scalars, and over any suitably large field E, we

---

[116] Of course, for E sufficiently large, this is the same as lifting across $\mathrm{GL}_{2,E} \to \mathrm{PGL}_{2,E}$.

[117] These will be discussed in §17.4.

denote by $r_{spin}\colon \mathrm{GSpin}(V_E) \to \mathrm{GL}(W_E)$ this algebraic representation. If m is even, we similarly denote by $W_E = W_{+,E} \oplus W_{-,E}$ the direct sum of the two half-spin representations. In contrast to $V_E = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$, this W_E is not necessarily an extension of scalars from an underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-space.

Possibly after replacing F by a quadratic extension, we may assume that the Γ_F-representation V_ℓ is special orthogonal, i.e. $\rho_\ell\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell) \subset \mathrm{O}(V_\ell)$. Since for almost all finite places v, the frobenius fr_v acts on $H^{2k-2}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ with eigenvalues that are independent of ℓ ([Del74]), and trivially on the ℓ-adic Chern class η_ℓ, the eigenvalues of fr_v on $Prim^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(k)$ are independent of ℓ. Consequently, if $\det \rho_\ell$ is trivial for one ℓ, then it is for all ℓ. We will from now on assume, for technical simplicity, that this determinant condition is satisfied.

17.2. **The Kuga-Satake construction.** We outline André's refinement of the Kuga-Satake construction, which implies a potential version (i.e., after replacing F by a finite extension) of our motivic lifting result. See §4 and §5 of [And96a]. His approach is inspired by that of [Del72], in which Deligne used the Kuga-Satake construction (in families) to reduce the Weil conjectures for $K3$ surfaces to the (previously known) case of abelian varieties. Let $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the polarized quadratic lattice $Prim^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})(k)$ of the previous subsection. Write $m = 2n$ or $m = 2n+1$ for its rank. Basic Hodge theory implies that the pairing on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is negative-definite on the sub-space $(H^{1,-1} \oplus H^{-1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$, and positive-definite on $H^{0,0}_{\mathbb{R}}$, hence has signature $(2, m-2)$. Recalling the discussion of §16.1, this real Hodge structure yields a homomorphism $h\colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ that lifts uniquely to a homomorphism $\tilde{h}\colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ whose composition $N_{spin} \circ \tilde{h}$ with the Clifford norm N_{spin} is the usual norm $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $C(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$ denote the Clifford algebra and the even Clifford algebra associated to the quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a free left $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$-module of rank one. Denote by C^+ the ring $\mathrm{End}_{C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})}(L_{\mathbb{Z}})^{op}$. Because of the 'op,' C^+ naturally acts on $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on the right, and we then correspondingly have $\mathrm{End}_{C^+}(L_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$. The choice of a generator x_0 of $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ induces a ring isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}}) &\xrightarrow{\phi_{x_0}} C^+ \\ c &\mapsto (bx_0 \mapsto bcx_0). \end{aligned}$$

Via $\tilde{h}$ and the tautological representation $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow C^+(V_{\mathbb{R}})^\times$, $L_{\mathbb{R}} := L_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ acquires a Hodge structure of type $(1,0), (0,1)$; the Hodge type is easily read off from the fact that $\mathrm{End}_{C^+}(L_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is also an isomorphism of Hodge-structures,[118] or by recalling the remarks of §16.1 and listing the weights of the spin representation. Concretely (as in the original construction of Satake [Sat66] and Kuga-Satake [KS67]), we can choose an orthogonal basis e_1, e_2 of $(H^{1,-1} \oplus H^{-1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$, normalized so that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = -1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the automorphism of $C^+(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ given by multiplication by $e_1 e_2$ is a complex structure, by the defining relations for the Clifford algebra. In fact, this integral Hodge structure is polarizable: this can be shown explicitly, or by a very soft argument (apply Proposition 2.11.*b.iii′* of [Del72]). We therefore obtain a complex abelian variety, the Kuga-Satake abelian variety $KS(X) = KS(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, k)$, associated to our original $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The right-action of C^+ on $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ commutes with the Hodge structure, so C^+ acts as endomorphisms of $KS(X)$. Generically, this will be the full endomorphism ring; we will have to be attentive later to how much bigger the endomorphism ring can be.

One of the main technical ingredients in [And96a] is the following descent result, which uses rigidity properties of the Kuga-Satake construction:

Lemma 17.2.1 (Main Lemma 1.7.1 of [And96a])**.** *Let (X, η) be a polarized variety over a subfield F of $\mathbb{C}$, satisfying properties A_k and B_k. Then there exists an abelian variety $A_{F'}$ over some *finite* extension F'/F such that*

- *The base-change $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Kuga-Satake variety $KS(X)$;*

---

[118] Giving $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$ the Hodge structure induced from that on the tensor powers of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

- *there is a subalgebra C^+ of* $\mathrm{End}(A_{F'})$ *and an isomorphism of* $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[\Gamma_{F'}]$*-algebras*
$$\mathrm{End}_{C^+}\left(H^1(A_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\right) \cong C^+(Prim^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)(k)).$$

We subsequently write L_ℓ for the ℓ-adic realization $H^1(A_{F'} \otimes \overline{F}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. The main result of the 'motivated' theory of hyperkählers, (the foundation of André's results on the Tate and Shafarevich conjectures) is:

Theorem 17.2.2 (see Theorem 6.5.2 of [And96a])**.** *For some finite extension F'/F, $Prim^{2k}(X_{F'})(k)$ is a direct factor (in $\mathcal{M}_F$) of $\underline{\mathrm{End}}\left(H^1(A_{F'})\right)$, and both $Prim^{2k}(X_{F'})(k)$ and $H^1(A_{F'})$ have connected motivic Galois group.*

Write $\rho^A \colon \mathcal{G}_{F'} \to \mathrm{GL}(L_\mathbb{Q})$ and $\rho^V \colon \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q})$ for the motivic Galois (for the Betti realization) representations associated to $H^1(A_{F'})$ and $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$. We will somewhat sloppily use the same notation ρ^A and ρ^V for various restrictions of these representations (eg, to $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}$). Note that by Corollary 16.2.8, the image of ρ^A is the Mumford-Tate group of $KS(X)$, which is easily seen to be contained in $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\mathbb{Q}) = \{x \in C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})^\times : xV_\mathbb{Q}x^{-1} = V_\mathbb{Q}\}$. More precisely:

Corollary 17.2.3. *For some finite extension F'/F, ρ^A factors through* $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\mathbb{Q})$ *and lifts $\rho^V|_{\mathcal{G}_{F'}}$. A fortiori, ρ^A_ℓ lifts $\rho^V_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$.*

Proof. Over $\mathbb{C}$ (or $\overline{F}$), the image of ρ^A is $MT(A_\mathbb{C})$, hence contained in $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\mathbb{Q})$. We can therefore compare the two maps
$$\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{(\rho^V, \pi\circ\rho^A)} \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}\left(C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})\right),$$
where π denotes the projection $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q})$. Under the motivated isomorphism
$$C^+(Prim^{2k}(X_\mathbb{C})(k)) \cong \underline{\mathrm{End}}\left(H^1(A)\right)$$
the adjoint action of ρ^A on $\mathrm{End}(L_\mathbb{Q})$ agrees with the action of $\pi \circ \rho^A$ on $C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})$, so the two compositions $\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{GL}\left(C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})\right)$ above coincide. Now, if m is odd, $\mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}(C^+(V_\mathbb{Q}))$ is injective, so $\rho^V = \pi \circ \rho^A$; that is, at least over $\mathbb{C}$, ρ^A lifts ρ^V. If m is even, we deduce that the compositions
$$\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{(\rho^V, \pi\circ\rho^A)} \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 V_\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 V_\mathbb{Q})$$
agree.[119] The kernel of $\wedge^2 \colon \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^2 V_\mathbb{Q})$ is $\{\pm 1\}$ (and central), so we see that ρ^V and $\pi \circ \rho^A$ agree up to twisting by some character $\chi \colon \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C} \to \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_\mathbb{Q})$. But clearly this character factors through $\mathcal{G}^M_\mathbb{C}$, where $M = Prim^{2k}(X_\mathbb{C})(k) \oplus H^1(A)$, and by Theorem 17.2.2, $\mathcal{G}^M_\mathbb{C}$ is connected. Therefore χ is trivial, and ρ^A lifts ρ^V as $\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C}$-representations. By Lemma 16.2.9, the same holds for the corresponding $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}$-representations, and then as in Lemma 16.2.5 the same holds over some finite extension F'/F. □

This result does not always hold with $F' = F$, and it is our task in the coming sections to achieve a motivic descent over F itself. The Kuga-Satake variety is highly redundant, and it is technically convenient to work with a smaller 'spin' abelian variety, many copies of which constitute the Kuga-Satake variety. Since the rational Clifford algebra $C^+_\mathbb{Q}$ may not be split, this requires a finite extension of scalars $E/\mathbb{Q}$, after which we can work in the isogeny category $AV^0_{F',E}$ of abelian varieties over F' with E-coefficients. We take F' as in the Corollary, and now to ease the notation, we write simply A for $A_{F'}$.

Lemma 17.2.4. *There exists a number field E and an abelian variety B/F' with endomorphisms by E such that there is a decomposition in $AV^0_{F',E}$:*
$$A \otimes_\mathbb{Q} E \cong B^{2^n} \quad \textit{if } m = 2n+1;$$
$$A \otimes_\mathbb{Q} E \cong B^{2^{n-1}} \quad \textit{if } m = 2n.$$

---

[119] The filtration on $C^+(V_\mathbb{Q})$ given by the image of $V^{\otimes \leq 2i}$ is motivated, since $\mathrm{O}(V)$-stable, so ρ^V and $\pi \circ \rho^A$ coincide on the $i = 1$ graded piece, which is just $\wedge^2(V_\mathbb{Q})$.

The ℓ-adic realization $H^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is isomorphic to the composite $r_{spin} \circ \rho_\ell^A$ as $(E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)[\Gamma_{F'}]$-modules, where as before ρ_ℓ^A denotes the representation $\Gamma_{F'} \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes E)$ obtained from $L_\ell \otimes E$, and r_{spin} denotes either the spin (m odd) or sum of half-spin (m even) representations of $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes E)$. When $m = 2n$, B decomposes in $AV^0_{F',E}$ as $B_+ \times B_-$, corresponding to the two half-spin representations.

Proof. Choose a number field E splitting $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then, letting W_E denote either the spin (m odd) representation or the direct sum $W_{+,E} \oplus W_{-,E}$ of the two irreducible half-spin representations (m even), $C^+(V_E)$ is isomorphic as $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_E)$-representations to $W_E^{2^n}$, or to $W_{E,+}^{2^{n-1}} \oplus W_{E,-}^{2^{n-1}}$. As E-algebra it is then isomorphic either to $\mathrm{End}(W_E) \cong M_{2^n}(E)$ or $\mathrm{End}(W_{+,E}) \oplus \mathrm{End}(W_{-,E}) \cong M_{2^{n-1}}(E) \oplus M_{2^{n-1}}(E)$.[120] Using the orthogonal idempotents in $C_E^+ \cong C^+(V_E)$, we decompose the object $A_{F'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ of $AV^0_{F',E}$ into 2^n (when m is odd) or 2^{n-1} (when m is even) copies of an abelian variety B/F' with complex multiplication by E. ◻

We state a simple case of the main result of this section; for the proof, see §17.5. More general versions will be proven in stages, depending on the complexity of the transcendental lattice of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorem 17.2.5. *Let (X, η) be a polarized variety over a number field $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ for which $Prim^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})(k)$ satisfies axioms A_k, B_k, and B_k^+. Possibly enlarging F by a quadratic extension, we may assume as above that for all ℓ, $\det V_\ell = 1$, so that the ℓ-adic representation ρ_ℓ^V maps Γ_F to $\mathrm{SO}(V_\ell)$. We make the following hypothesis on the monodromy:*

- *The transcendental lattice $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see the discussion at the beginning of §17.4) has $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.*
- $\det(T_\ell) = 1$.[121]

Then there exists:

- *a finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}$*
- *an object B of $AV^0_{F,E}$;*
- *an Artin motive M in $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$*
- *for each prime λ of E, a lift $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes E_\lambda) \arrow[r, "r_{spin}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{GL}_{E_\lambda}(W_{E_\lambda}) \\
\Gamma_F \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}_\lambda"] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell) \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell \otimes E_\lambda) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the composite $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\lambda$ is isomorphic to some number of copies[122] *of $M_\lambda \otimes \left(H^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \underset{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell}{\otimes} E_\lambda\right)$ as E_λ-linear Γ_F-representations. In particular, the system of lifts $\{\tilde{\rho}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ is weakly compatible. It is even 'motivic' in the sense of arising from a lift*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{GSpin}(V_E) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{G}_{F,E} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[r, "\rho"'] & \mathrm{SO}(V_E).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This theorem is an optimal (up to the $\mathrm{O}(V_\ell) \supset \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell)$ distinction) arithmetic refinement of the (*a priori* highly transcendental) Kuga-Satake construction, showing the precise sense in which it descends to the initial field of definition F.

---

[120] Note that the field E can be made explicit if we know the structure of the quadratic lattice $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$. See Example 17.3.1 for a case where $E = \mathbb{Q}$.

[121] Unlike the first hypothesis, this determinant condition is a technicality; it can again be arranged after an (independent of ℓ) quadratic extension. Of course, in the generic case in which $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = T_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it is no additional hypothesis.

[122] Which can be made explicit.

17.3. **A simple case.** To achieve the refined descent of Theorem 17.2.5, the basic idea is to apply our Galois-theoretic lifting results (which apply over F) to deduce Γ_F-invariance of the abelian variety B that we know to exist over F'; Faltings' isogeny theorem ([Fal83]) implies that this invariance is realized by actual isogenies; then we apply a generalization of a technique used by Ribet ([Rib92]; our generalization is Proposition 17.6.3) to study elliptic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that are isogenous to all of their $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-conjugates.[123] Ribet's technique applies to elliptic curves without complex multiplication, and we will have to keep track of monodromy groups enough to reduce the descent problem to one for an absolutely simple abelian variety. In some cases, a somewhat 'softer' argument than Ribet's works– we give an example in Lemma 17.5.4– but in addition to being satisfyingly explicit, the Ribet method seems to be more robust.

But first we prove Theorem 17.2.5 in the simplest case, when the Hodge structure $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is 'generic,' $\dim V_{\mathbb{Q}} = 2n + 1$ is odd, and the even Clifford algebra $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is split over $\mathbb{Q}$. Our working definition of 'generic' will be that $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains no trivial $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge sub-structures (i.e., it is equal to its transcendental lattice), and that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.

Example 17.3.1. If X/F is a generic $K3$ surface, then these hypotheses are satisfied. The $K3$-lattice $H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an even unimodular lattice of rank 22 whose signature over $\mathbb{R}$ is (by Hodge theory) $(3, 19)$. The classification of even unimodular lattices implies it is isomorphic (over $\mathbb{Z}$) to $(-E_8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 3}$, where E_8 and U are the E_8-lattice and the hyperbolic plane lattice. Over $\mathbb{Q}$, the orthogonal complement of the ample class η is isomorphic to $(-E_8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -q(\eta)\rangle$, where q is the quadratic form and $\langle\alpha\rangle$ denotes the one-dimensional quadratic space with a generator whose square is α. Since $Prim^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ is odd-dimensional, the basic structure theory of Clifford algebras (see Chapter 9, especially Theorem 2.10, of [Sch85]) implies that $C^+(Prim^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ is a central simple algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, whose Brauer class is simply twice the Brauer class of E_8 plus twice the (trivial) Brauer class of U. This is obviously the trivial class, so $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is in this case isomorphic to $M_{2^{10}}(\mathbb{Q})$. More generally, this argument applies to $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = Prim^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ for X a hyperkähler satisfying:

- $b_2(X) > 3$;
- $H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is even;
- the number of copies of the E_8-lattice, i.e. the number $\frac{b_2(X)-6}{8}$, is even.

Now, our 'generic' hypothesis implies that the Hodge group of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the full $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (more generally, see Zarhin's result, quoted as Proposition 17.5.1, below); it follows without difficulty that the Mumford-Tate group $MT(A_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the full $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\mathbb{C}}) = C^+_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By our second simplifying hypothesis, this Clifford algebra is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_{2^n}(\mathbb{Q})$, and, writing $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the spin representation of $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have two isomorphisms of $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$-representations:

$$C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2^n};$$
$$C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})_{ad} \cong \mathrm{End}(W_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

where $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ acts on the first $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by left-multiplication, and on $C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})_{ad}$ by conjugation (i.e., through the natural $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$-action).

We may certainly enlarge F' to a finite extension over which all endomorphisms of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ are defined, and the complex multiplication by $C^+_{\mathbb{Q}}$ then gives an isogeny decomposition $A \sim B^{2^n}$, where B/F' is an abelian variety with $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \mathbb{Q}$. We can take the spin representation $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to be equal to $H^1(B_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ (and, extending scalars and invoking comparison isomorphisms, we get identifications with other cohomological realizations of B– in particular, the ℓ-adic realization $W_\ell = W_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$).

Proof of Theorem 17.2.5 when $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is generic. We sketch the argument, with some details postponed until later sections– the goal here is to review Ribet's method and outline the argument in a simple case. We will now apply the technique of [Rib92] in combination with our abstract Galois-theoretic lifting results. By Corollary 16.3.6, there exists a lift

---

[123] So-called "$\mathbb{Q}$-curves".

$$\tilde{\rho}_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell})$$

of ρ_ℓ^V, and we can normalize this lift so that in the spin representation its labeled Hodge-Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell)$ are of 'abelian variety'-type, i.e. 2^{n-1} zeroes and 2^{n-1} ones, for each $\tau \colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.[124] This normalization determines $\tilde{\rho}_\ell$ up to finite-order twist, and it implies that $\tilde{\rho}_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ is a finite-order twist of ρ_ℓ^A, since they both lift $\rho_\ell^V|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ with the same Hodge-Tate data. We may therefore replace F' by a finite extension and assume

$$\rho_\ell^A = \tilde{\rho}_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'}}.$$

Since $\tilde{\rho}_\ell$ begins life over F, we see that ρ_ℓ^A is Γ_F-conjugation invariant. The composition of ρ_ℓ^A with the Clifford representation is 2^n copies of the ℓ-adic representation ρ_ℓ^B associated to B, so ρ_ℓ^B is also Γ_F-invariant. By Faltings' theorem, for each $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$, there exists an isogeny $\mu_\sigma \colon {}^{\sigma}B \to B$; we can and do arrange that μ_σ is defined first for a (finite) system of representatives σ_i in Γ_F for $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$, and then defined in general by $\mu_{\sigma_i h} = \mu_{\sigma_i}$ for all $h \in \Gamma_{F'}$. The collection of μ_σ yields an obstruction class

$$[c_B] \in H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathrm{End}^0(B)^\times) = H^2(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}^\times),$$ [125]

given by

$$c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}.$$

That is, c_B measures the failure of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^{\sigma\tau}B \arrow[r, "\mu_{\sigma\tau}"] \arrow[d, "{}^{\sigma}\mu_{\tau}"'] & B \\
{}^{\sigma}B \arrow[ur, "\mu_{\sigma}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

to commute. Now, the class c_B may be non-trivial, and the abelian variety B may not descend (up to isogeny) to F.[126] Nevertheless, Tate's vanishing result $H^2(\Gamma_F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times) = 0$ tells us that there is a continous 1-cochain $\alpha \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ whose coboundary equals c_B, i.e. $c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha(\sigma\tau)\alpha(\tau)^{-1}\alpha(\sigma)^{-1}$. By continuity, α is locally constant with respect to $\Gamma_{F''}$ for some finite F''/F', and it takes values in some finite extension $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. We now consider the restriction of scalars abelian variety $C := \mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B)$.[127] C is an abelian variety over F with endomorphism algebra $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{End}^0(C)$, which as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{F''}\left(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F''/F)} {}^{\sigma}B, B\right) = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{Q}\mu_\sigma,$$

where again we use the fact that $\mathrm{End}^0(B_{\overline{F}}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Write λ_σ for the element of $\mathcal{R}$ corresponding to μ_σ under this isomorphism.

Lemma 17.3.2 (Lemma 6.4 of [Rib92])**.** *The algebra structure of $\mathcal{R}$ is given by $\lambda_\sigma\lambda_\tau = c_B(\sigma, \tau)\lambda_{\sigma\tau}$, so there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism $\alpha \colon \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ given by the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear extension of $\lambda_\sigma \mapsto \alpha(\sigma)$.*

Since the isogeny category of abelian varieties over F is a semi-simple abelian category, we can form the object

$$M = \mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{R},\alpha} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha).$$

---

[124] For details, see Lemma 17.5.3.

[125] The local constancy of the isogenies μ_σ implies this is a continuous cohomology class, with $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ equipped with the discrete topology.

[126] That triviality of this class is equivalent to isogeny-descent is Theorem 8.2 of [Rib92].

[127] Here B is really the base-change to F'', but we omit this not to clutter the notation.

We regard M as an object of $AV^0_{F,\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}$, with $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$-rank (in the obvious sense) equal to the $\mathbb{Q}$-rank of B. Moreover, for any place $\lambda|\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, the λ-adic realization

$$\rho^M_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)_\lambda}(M_\lambda)$$

has projectivization isomorphic to the canonical projective descent to Γ_F of the Γ_F-invariant, irreducible representation of $\Gamma_{F''}$ on $H^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)_\lambda)$.[128] So, $\rho^M_\lambda|_{\Gamma_{F''}}$ and $\rho^B_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}} \cong r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}}$ are isomorphic up to twist, hence $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell$ and ρ^M_λ are twist-equivalent as Γ_F-representations. The representation $r_{spin} \colon \mathrm{GSpin}(V_\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}(W_\mathbb{Q})$ is the identity on the center, so after identifying ρ^M_λ to a representation on $W_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)_\lambda$, we see that it factors through $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)_\lambda)$ as a lift of $\rho^V_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)_\lambda$. The required motivic lift is the representation of $\mathcal{G}_{F,\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}$ corresponding to $H^1(M)$;[129] and the various ρ^M_λ form a compatible system because they are formed from Tate modules of abelian varieties. □

17.4. **Arithmetic descent: preliminary reduction.** Now we proceed to a more general argument, making first some preliminary reductions to the analogous lifting problem for the transcendental lattice. We must invoke André's work on the Tate conjecture for X.

Theorem 17.4.1 (see Theorem 1.6.1 of [And96a])**.** *Let (X, η) be a polarized variety over a number field*[130] *F satisfying A_k, B_k, and B^+_k. Then:*

- *$Prim^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(k)$ is a semi-simple Γ_F-representation*
- *the Galois invariants $Prim^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(k)^{\Gamma_F}$ are all $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear combinations of algebraic cycles;*

The Tate conjecture for $H^{2k}(X)$ then implies:

Lemma 17.4.2. *There is an Artin motive Alg over F whose Betti realization is the subspace of $V_\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by algebraic cycle classes, and whose ℓ-adic representation is the (Artin) Γ_F-representation on $V_\ell^{\Gamma_{F'}}$ for any F'/F large enough (and Galois) that all of these cycle classes are defined over F'. The transcendental lattice T likewise descends to an object of $\mathcal{M}_F$. In particular, there is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$V_\ell = Alg_\ell \oplus T_\ell$$

of Γ_F-representations (not merely $\Gamma_{F'}$-representations).

Proof. Giving an Artin motive over F is equivalent to giving a representation of Γ_F on a (finite-dimensional) $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. In our case, the space ($\mathbb{Q}$-span) of cycles for homological equivalence

$$Z^k_{hom}(X_{F'}) \hookrightarrow H^{2k}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(k),$$

or rather its intersection with V_ℓ, does the trick. Since the object $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ of $\mathcal{M}_F$ is polarized, we can define T in $\mathcal{M}_F$ as the orthogonal complement of Alg. □

We will enlarge F' as in the Lemma, so that all algebraic classes in $Prim^{2k}(X_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q})(k)$ are already defined over F', and so that the motivic group $\mathcal{G}^T_{F'}$ is connected (see Lemma 16.2.5). Note that $T_\mathbb{Q}$ is an orthogonal Hodge structure of type $(1,-1), (0,0), (-1,1)$, with $h^{1,-1} = 1$, so the Kuga-Satake construction applies to it as well (see Variant 4.1.5 of [And96a]). Since the Kuga-Satake variety associated to $V_\mathbb{Q}$ is simply an isogeny power of that associated to $T_\mathbb{Q}$, the latter, to be denoted $A(T)$, also descends to some finite extension F'/F.

We introduce a little more notation. After extending scalars to a sufficiently large field E (omitted from the notation unless we want to emphasize it) we denote by $(r_{spin,V}, W_V)$, $(r_{spin,Alg}, W_{Alg})$, and $(r_{spin,T}, W_T)$ the spin representations of these three spin groups; as before, by 'the' spin representation in the D_n case we will mean the direct sum of the two half-spin representations.

---

[128] Under the homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \to \mathrm{End}_{\Gamma_{F''}}(\oplus^{sigma} H^1(B, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$, the λ_σ permute the factors in the direct sum; the projection via $\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ collapses all the factors to a single copy with scalars extended to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, i.e. to $H^1(B, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. This implies the claim about projective descents.

[129] For more details on how to check this carefully, see Corollary 17.4.5.

[130] Faltings works for finitely-generated extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, so this does as well.

Lemma 17.4.3. *Suppose that ρ_ℓ^V factors through $\mathrm{SO}(Alg_\ell) \times \mathrm{SO}(T_\ell) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell)$*[131] *If we have found lifts $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ to $\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $r_{spin,Alg} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg}$ and $r_{spin,T} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ are motivic, then ρ_ℓ^V has a lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $r_{spin,V} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell$ is also motivic. If the individual lifts $r_{spin,Alg} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg}$ and $r_{spin,T} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ belong to compatible systems of ℓ-adic representations, then the same holds for $r_{spin,V} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell$.*[132]

Proof. The isomorphism of *graded* algebras (for the graded tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$)

$$C(Alg_{\mathbb{Q}}) \hat{\otimes} C(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

induces an inclusion $C^+(Alg_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes C^+(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) \hookrightarrow C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and then a map (not injective)

$$C^+(Alg_{\mathbb{Q}})^\times \times C^+(T_{\mathbb{Q}})^\times \to C^+(V_{\mathbb{Q}})^\times,$$

which in turn induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \mathrm{GSpin}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{SO}(Alg_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \mathrm{SO}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

As long as $\rho_\ell^V \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{SO}(V_\ell)$ factors through $\mathrm{SO}(Alg_\ell) \times \mathrm{SO}(T_\ell)$, this shows that we can lift Alg_ℓ and T_ℓ in order to lift V_ℓ. We next want to understand the restriction to $\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_E) \times \mathrm{GSpin}(T_E)$ of the spin representation of $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_E)$; Just for this argument, we will ignore the similitude factor, i.e. work with weights of Spin rather than GSpin. We can write bases of the character lattices of $\mathrm{SO}(Alg_E)$, $\mathrm{SO}(T_E)$, and $\mathrm{SO}(V_E)$ as, respectively, $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_a, \chi_{a+1}, \dots, \chi_{a+t}$, and

$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{a+t}$ if either $\dim(T)$ or $\dim(Alg)$ is even;
$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{a+t+1}$ if both $\dim(T)$ and $\dim(Alg)$ are odd.

The set of weights of the spin representation of $\mathfrak{so}(Alg_E)$ (and similarly for the other cases) is then all 2^a weights of the form[133]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{\pm\chi_i}{2}.$$

In the case where at least one of $\dim(T)$ and $\dim(Alg)$ is even, we see that the weights of $W_{Alg} \boxtimes W_T$ are precisely those of $W_V|_{\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_E)\times\mathrm{GSpin}(T_E)}$, and therefore $W_V \cong W_{Alg} \boxtimes W_T$ as $\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_E) \times \mathrm{GSpin}(T_E)$-representations. When both $\dim(T)$ and $\dim(Alg)$ are odd, weight-counting gives $W_V \cong (W_{Alg} \boxtimes W_T)^{\oplus 2}$.

Thus, if we have found lifts $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ (to $\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$) such that $r_{spin,Alg} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg}$ and $r_{spin,T} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ are motivic (respectively, belong to compatible systems of ℓ-adic representations), then the resulting lift to $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, in its spin representation, is a direct sum of tensor products of motivic Galois representations (respectively, Galois representations belonging to compatible systems), hence is motivic. ◻

Corollary 17.4.4. *If $\det T_\ell = 1$ as Γ_F-representation, and if we can find a lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ of ρ_ℓ^T such that $r_{spin,T} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is motivic (respectively, belongs to a compatible system), then we can do the same for ρ_ℓ^V.*

Proof. Since Alg_ℓ is an Artin representation, Tate's vanishing result allows us to lift to an Artin representation $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^{Alg} \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. The corollary follows. ◻

---

[131] At worst, ensuring this requires making a quadratic extension of F.

[132] Recall that objects of $\mathcal{M}_F$ are not in general known to give rise to compatible systems.

[133] The uniform description of the weights in the even and odd cases results from taking the sum of the two half-spin representations.

Corollary 17.4.5. *As in Corollary 17.4.4, assume that* $\det T_\ell = 1$*, and that for some number field E, and place $\lambda | \ell$ of E, we can find a lift* $\tilde{\rho}^T_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} E_\lambda)$ *such that* $r_{spin,T} \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\lambda$ *is the λ-adic realization of an object M of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E}$ whose base-change to some F'/F is one of the spin direct factors of the Kuga-Satake motive (with scalars extended to E) associated to $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see Lemma 17.2.4). Then possibly enlarging E to a finite extension E', there is a lifting of representations of the motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{F,E'}$:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes E') \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{G}_{F,E'} \arrow[ur, dashed] \arrow[r, "\rho^V"'] & \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes E').
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The Artin representation ρ^{Alg}_ℓ is definable over $\mathbb{Q}$, and lifts to $\mathrm{GSpin}(Alg_{E_1})$ after making some finite extension $E_1/\mathbb{Q}$. The conclusion of the corollary will hold with E' equal to the composite $E_1 E$. We check it using the same principle as in the proof of Proposition 16.4.1: that is, we check 'geometrically' (for the restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F},E'}$) and for the λ-adic realization. By Corollary 17.2.3, the motivic representation $\rho^{A(T)}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F}}$, and by extension of $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{F},E'}$, factors through $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_{E'})$ and lifts $\rho^T \colon \mathcal{G}_{\overline{F},E'} \to \mathrm{SO}(T_{E'})$. Since $H^1(A(T)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E'$ is just some number of copies[134] of M, the same is true of ρ^M. By assumption, the λ-adic realization ρ^M_λ is just $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\lambda$, so this also factors through $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_\lambda)$, lifting ρ^T_λ. Using the section $s_\lambda \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathcal{G}_{F,E'}(E'_\lambda)$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{F,E'}(E'_\lambda) = s_\lambda(\Gamma_F) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\overline{F},E'}(E'_\lambda)$, we conclude as in Proposition 16.4.1 that ρ^M lifts $\rho^T \otimes E'$.

Combining ρ^M with the lift of ρ^{Alg}_ℓ, as in Lemma 17.4.3, we similarly find a lift to $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E')$ of our given $\rho^V \colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E'} \to \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E')$. ◻

17.5. **Arithmetic descent: the generic case.** To summarize, we have reduced the problem of finding motivic lifts of the motive (over F) $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ to the corresponding problem for the transcendental lattice T. In this section we treat the 'generic' case in which the Hodge structure $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has trivial endomorphism algebra, using a variant of Ribet's method (which will return in §17.6) We isolate this case both to demonstrate a slightly different argument, and because the non-generic cases will require even deeper input, André's proof of the Mumford-Tate conjecture in this context (see Theorem 17.6.1). The starting-point of the analysis of the motive T is Zarhin's calculation in [Zar83] of the Hodge group:

Proposition 17.5.1 (Zarhin)**.** *Let $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure with orthogonal polarization and Hodge numbers* $h^{1,-1} = 1$, $h^{0.0} > 0$*, and* $h^{p,q} = 0$ *if* $|p - q| > 2$*. Moreover assume that $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains no copies of the trivial $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure. Then* $E_T = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ *is a totally real or CM field, and $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a simple $MT(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$-module. There is a non-degenerate E_T-hermitian*[135] *pairing*

$$\langle\, , \rangle \colon T_{\mathbb{Q}} \times T_{\mathbb{Q}} \to E_T$$

such that

$$MT(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathrm{Aut}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}, \langle\, , \rangle_E) \subset \mathrm{SO}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

For the rest of this section, we assume that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$; the Mumford-Tate group $MT(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is then the full $\mathrm{SO}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Lemma 17.5.2. *Assume* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}$*. Then the Mumford-Tate group, and therefore the motivic Galois group, of the Kuga-Satake variety $A(T)$ is equal to* $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$*. Consequently,* $\mathrm{End}^0(A(T)) = C^+_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong C^+(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Proof. Easy. ◻

---

[134] 2^t for $\dim T$ odd; 2^{t-1} for $\dim T$ even.

[135] In the case of totally real E_T, this means symmetric.

We now choose a number field $E/\mathbb{Q}$ splitting $C^+(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and consider the decomposition in $AV^0_{F',E}$

$$A(T) \sim B(T)^{2^t} \quad \text{if } \dim(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 2t+1;$$
$$A(T) \sim B(T)^{2^{t-1}} \sim (B_+(T) \times B_-(T))^{2^{t-1}} \quad \text{if } \dim(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 2t,$$

as in Lemma 17.2.4. We saw in Corollary 17.2.3 that $\rho^{A(T)}$ factors through $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and lifts ρ^T; viewing $\rho^{A(T)}$ in $\mathrm{GSpin}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$, we then have the relation $r_{spin} \circ (\rho^{A(T)} \otimes E) = \rho^{B(T)}$, taking the Betti realization of $B(T)$ to be our model for the spin representation. We let B_0 equal $B(T)$ in the odd case and $B_+(T)$ in the even case. Similarly, we let r_0 denote r_{spin} or one of the half-spin representations (which we may assume corresponds to $B_+(T)$). We also for convenience fix an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

Lemma 17.5.3. *(Without any assumption on* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$*) There exists a lift* $\tilde{\rho}^T_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *of* ρ^T_ℓ *and a finite extension* F''/F' *such that*

$$r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}} \cong H^1(B(T)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}}.$$

Proof. As in the arguments of §13, we first choose a lift $\Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ with finite-order Clifford norm. In the root datum notation of §11, the Hodge-Tate cocharacters μ_τ of ρ^T_ℓ, for all $\tau \colon F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, are (conjugate to) λ_1, and the finite-order Clifford norm lifts have Sen operators (up to conjugacy) corresponding to the 'co-characters'[136] $\tilde{\mu}_\tau = \lambda_1$. We can modify this initial lift by twisting by $\lambda_0 \circ \omega'$, where $\omega' \colon \Gamma_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a Galois character whose square differs from the inverse ω^{-1} of the cyclotomic character by a finite-order twist (such ω' exists for any F). This gives a new lift $\tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$ whose composition with the Clifford norm (which, recall, is $2\chi_0$ in our notation) is ω^{-1}, up to a finite-order twist, and whose τ-labeled Hodge-Tate weights in the spin representation are 1 and 0 with equal multiplicity (compare the argument of §16.1). Then $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ differs from $H^1(B(T)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by a finite-order twist, hence they are isomorphic after some additional finite base-change F''/F'. ◻

Lemma 17.5.4. *There is a factor M of* $\mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B_0)$ *(viewed as an object of* $AV^0_{F,E}$*), having endomorphisms by a finite extension E'/E, and an embedding $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ extending our fixed $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that the associated ℓ-adic realization M_ℓ*[137] *is isomorphic as $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_F]$-representation to $r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$.*

Proof. By the (E-linear) Tate conjecture,

$$\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F,E}}(\mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B_0)) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_F]}\left(\mathrm{Ind}^F_{F''}(H^1(B_{0,\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right).$$

The Galois representation being induced is $r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$, and inside this endomorphism ring we can consider

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_F]}\left(r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell, \mathrm{Ind}^F_{F''}(r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell)\right),$$

which by Frobenius reciprocity is just

$$\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_{F''}]}\left(r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell\right) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,$$

since $\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F'',E}}(B_0) = E$. In other words, there is a unique $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-line in $\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F,E}}(\mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B_0)) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ consisting of projectors onto the $r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$-isotypic piece of the ℓ-adic representation. Decomposing the semi-simple E-algebra $\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F,E}}(\mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B_0))$ into simple factors, we see that this line lives in a unique simple component (tensored with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$), which itself must be just a finite field extension E' of E (else the $r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$-isotypic piece would have multiplicity greater than 1); it then corresponds to exactly one of the simple factors of $E' \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, i.e. a particular embedding $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We can therefore take M to be the abelian variety corresponding to this factor E'; M has complex multiplication by E', and via this specified embedding $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the ℓ-adic realization M_ℓ is isomorphic to $r_0 \circ \tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$. ◻

---

[136] That is, in the the co-character group tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$.

[137] Via $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$: that is, $M_\ell := H^1(M_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{E'} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

Remark 17.5.5. This is at its core the same proof as given in §17.3; the latter proof is probably more transparent, but this one is somewhat 'softer.' I don't think it translates as well to the more general context of §17.6, however.

Corollary 17.5.6. *Theorem 17.2.5 holds for the motive* $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ *over* F.

Proof. When $\dim(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is odd, we are done, by the previous lemma and Corollaries 17.4.4 and 17.4.5. When $\dim(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is even, we take the output M in $AV^0_{F,E'}$ of the previous lemma, view it in $\mathcal{M}_{F,E'}$, and form the twisted dual $M^\vee(-1)$. This object corresponds to the composition of the other half-spin representation with $\tilde{\rho}^T_\ell$,[138] and we can now apply the earlier corollaries to $M \oplus M^\vee(-1)$. □

17.6. **Non-generic cases:** $\dim(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$ **odd.** To study the non-generic case $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}-Hodge}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \mathbb{Q}$, we have to understand the ℓ-adic algebraic monodromy groups of the representations ρ^T_ℓ, i.e. the ℓ-adic analogue of Zarhin's result. Fortunately, André has also proven the Mumford-Tate conjecture in this context:

Theorem 17.6.1 (see Theorem 1.6.1 of [And96a])**.** *Let* (X, η) *be a polarized variety over a number field*[139] F *satisfying* A_k, B_k, *and* B^+_k. *Then the inclusion* $\overline{\rho_\ell(\Gamma_F)}^{Zar} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}^V_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ *of the algebraic monodromy group into the* ℓ*-adic motivic Galois group of* $V = Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ *is an isomorphism on connected components of the identity.*

Recall that over the field F', we may assume the groups $\overline{\rho_\ell(\Gamma_{F'})}^{Zar}$ and $\mathcal{G}^V_{F'}$ are connected, and therefore isomorphic. Combining Theorem 6.5.1 of [And96a] with André's result that Hodge cycles on abelian varieties are motivated, and with Zarhin's description ([Zar83]) of the Hodge group of the transcendental lattice $T^{2k}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})(k)$, we obtain (see Corollary 1.5.2 of [And96a]):

Corollary 17.6.2. *The semisimple* $\mathbb{Q}$*-algebra* $E_T := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{G}^T_{F'}}(T)$ *is a totally real or CM field, and there is a natural* E_T*-hermitian pairing* $\langle\, , \rangle_{E_T} : T \times T \to E_T$. *The motivic group (which equals the Mumford-Tate group, and equals, after* $\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, *the* ℓ*-adic algebraic monodromy group)* $\mathcal{G}^T_{F'}$ *is then isomorphic to the full orthogonal (*E_T *totally real) or unitary (*E_T *CM) group*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(T, \langle\, , \rangle_{E_T}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Before continuing, we formulate a variant of Ribet's method with coefficients:

Proposition 17.6.3. *Let* F'/F *be an extension of number fields, and let* $E/\mathbb{Q}$ *be a finite extension. Suppose we are given an object* B *of* $AV^0_{F',E}$ *such that for some embedding* $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, *the associated* ℓ*-adic realization* $B_\ell = H^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ *satisfies the invariance condition* ${}^\sigma B_\ell \cong B_\ell$ *for all* $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$. *Further assume that* $\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{\overline{F},E}}(B_{\overline{F}}) = E$.[140] *Then there exists a finite extension* E'/E, *an extension* $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ *of the embedding* $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, *a number field* F''/F', *and an object* M *of* $AV^0_{F,E'}$ *such that*

$$\left(H^1(M_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{E'} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\right)|_{\Gamma_{F''}} \cong B_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F''}}.$$

That is, B, *up to twist, has a motivic descent to* F.

Proof. This is proven as on page 88, using the E-linear variant of Faltings' theorem:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{AV^0_{F',E}}({}^\sigma B, B) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_{F'}]}\left(H^1({}^\sigma B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, H^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\right).$$

---

[138] The two half-spin representations of GSpin_{2n} have highest weights $-\chi_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_i + \chi_n)$ (for r_0) and $-\chi_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_i - \chi_n)$ (for the other half-spin representation), so the lowest weight of $r_0^\vee \otimes (-2\chi_0)$ is $-\chi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_i)$, which is visibly the lowest weight of the other half-spin representation.

[139] Or, again, a finitely-generated extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

[140] This necessarily holds for B in $AV^0_{F',E}$ as well.

In the notation of the earlier proof, $M = \mathrm{Res}_{F''/F}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{R},\alpha} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is the required motivic descent, to an isogeny abelian variety over F with $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$-multiplication (so in the statement of the proposition, $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, extending the initial $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). ◻

We now assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is *odd*, say of the form cd where $d = 2d_0 + 1 = [E_T : \mathbb{Q}]$. In particular, E_T is totally real. Let $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ and $B(T)$ be as in Lemma 17.5.3, and replace F' by a large enough extension to satisfy the conclusion of that lemma, and such that $\overline{(\rho_\ell^T(\Gamma_{F'}))}^{Zar}$ is connected. Recall that $B(T)$ is an object of $AV^0_{F',E}$ for some finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}$ large enough to split $C^+(T_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Corollary 17.6.2 implies that

$$\overline{(\rho_\ell^T \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)(\Gamma_{F'})}^{Zar} \cong \prod_1^d \mathrm{SO}_c(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \subset \mathrm{SO}_{cd}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Restricting the spin representation W_{cd} of $\mathfrak{so}_{cd}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ to $\prod_1^d \mathfrak{so}_c(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we obtain (via a weight calculation as in Lemma 17.4.3, and writing W_c for the spin representation of $\mathfrak{so}_c$)

$$W_{cd}|_{\prod \mathfrak{so}_c} \cong (\boxtimes_1^d W_c)^{2^{d_0}}.$$

The Lie algebra of the lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is one copy of the additive group $\mathfrak{g}_a$ times the Lie algebra of $\rho_\ell^T \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and this $\mathfrak{g}_a$ acts by scalars in the spin representation, so $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ has an analogous decomposition as 2^{d_0} copies of some (absolutely, Lie) irreducible representation W' of $\Gamma_{F'}$. For a suitable enlargement E' of E (and extension $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$), and F'' of F', we can realize W' as $H^1(B(T)', \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{E'} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ for an object $B(T)'$ of $AV^0_{F'',E'}$.[141] Then $\mathrm{End}_{AV^0_{F'',E'}}(B(T)') = E'$, and the isomorphism $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T \cong (W')^{2^{d_0}}$ implies Γ_F-conjugation invariance of W'. Thus we can apply Ribet's method to deduce:

Lemma 17.6.4. *There exists a finite extension E''/E', an embedding $E'' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ extending the given $E' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and an object M of $AV^0_{F,E''}$ such that*

$$\left(H^1(M_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{E''} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell|_{\Gamma_{F'''}}\right) \cong W'|_{\Gamma_{F'''}}$$

for some still further finite extension F'''/F''.

Let M_ℓ denote the associated ℓ-adic realization (via $E'' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). Since $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is Lie-isotypic, and M_ℓ is a descent to Γ_F of its unique (after finite restriction) Lie-irreducible constituent, Corollary 15.1.2 shows that there is an Artin representation ω of Γ_F such that

$$r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T \cong M_\ell \otimes \omega.$$

Possibly enlarging the field of coefficients yet again, we deduce:

Theorem 17.6.5. *Suppose* $\dim T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *is odd, and that* $\det T_\ell = 1$ *(as Γ_F-representation). Then there exists*

- *a number field $\tilde{E}$ and an embedding $\tilde{E} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$;*
- *an object $\tilde{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{F,\tilde{E}}$ that is a tensor product of an Artin motive and (the image of) an object of $AV^0_{F,\tilde{E}}$;*
- *and a lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T : \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(T_\ell \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of ρ_ℓ^T;*

such that $r_{spin} \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is isomorphic to the ℓ-adic realization (via $\tilde{E} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$) of $\tilde{M}$. Moreover:

- *$\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ lives in a weakly-compatible system of lifts;*
- *$\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ actually arises from a lifting of representations of the motivic Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{F,\tilde{E}}$, as in Theorem 17.2.5.*

---

[141] More precisely, we need the decomposition of $W_{cd}|_{\prod \mathfrak{so}_c}$ to be defined over E'; the first claim then follows from Faltings. The extension F''/F' is needed to decompose $B(T)_{\overline{F}} \otimes_E E'$ over some finite extension.

- *The same conclusions hold with the motive* $V = Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$ *in place of its transcendental lattice* T *(and, again, a possible enlargement of* $\tilde{E}$*).*

Proof. The number field $\tilde{E}$ is the composite (inside the ambient $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$) of the field E'' and the field needed to define the Artin representation ω. To conclude the proof of the theorem, we make three observations:

- $\mathcal{M}_{F,\tilde{E}}$ is Tannakian (note that we already know that M[142] and ω are motivic);
- M and ω both give rise to compatible systems of ℓ-adic representations;
- Corollary 17.4.5 applies to lift the representations of motivic Galois groups.

□

17.7. **Non-generic cases:** $\dim T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ **even.** We do not fully treat the case of even-rank transcendental lattice, but here give a couple examples, describing the 'shape' of the Galois representations in light of Proposition 15.1.1.

First, continue to assume E_T is totally real. Let $\dim T_{\mathbb{Q}} = 2n = cd$, with $d = [E_T : \mathbb{Q}]$. For any N, denote by $W_{2N,\pm}$ (for each choice of $\pm$) the two half-spin representations of $\mathfrak{so}_{2N}$, and continue to write W_{2N+1} for the spin representation of $\mathfrak{so}_{2N+1}$.

Lemma 17.7.1. *When c is even, the restriction* $W_{cd,+}|_{\prod \mathfrak{so}_c}$ *is given by*

$$W_{cd,+}|_{\prod_1^d \mathfrak{so}_c} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\epsilon=(\epsilon_i)\in\{\pm\}^d \\ \prod \epsilon_i=1}} \boxtimes_{i=1}^d W_{c,\epsilon_i},$$

where the indexing set ranges over all choices of signs with $-$ *occurring an even number of times. This is a direct sum of distinct Lie-irreducible representations.*

When c is odd, so $d = 2d_0$ *is even,*

$$W_{cd,+}|_{\prod_1^d \mathfrak{so}_c} \cong 2^{d_0-1} \boxtimes_1^d W_c,$$

a single Lie-irreducible representation occurring with multiplicity 2^{d_0-1}.

Now, recall (Lemma 17.5.3) that after a sufficient base-change F'/F, we can find an abelian variety $B_+(T)$ (with coefficients in a number field E, embedded in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$; we may by extending scalars assume E is large enough that the above decomposition of spin representations is defined over E), and a lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ such that $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ is isomorphic to $H^1(B_+(T), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We assume F' sufficiently large that this Galois representation is a sum of Lie-irreducible representations.

Proposition 17.7.2. *Suppose c is even. Then motivic lifting holds for* ρ^T.

Proof. Since c is even, the previous lemma shows that $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is Lie-multiplicity-free, hence is a direct sum of inductions of non-conjugate, Lie-irreducible Galois representations. If $\pi_0(\rho_\ell^T)$ is trivial, in which case $\tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ also has connected monodromy group,[143] then no inductions occur in this decomposition, so each factor $\boxtimes_1^d W_{c,\epsilon_i}$ in Lemma 17.7.1 corresponds to a Lie-irreducible factor of $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$, as Γ_F-representation. Each of these factors is, over F', of the form

$$H^1(B_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,$$

where B_ϵ is an object of $AV^0_{F',E}$ with endomorphism algebra just E itself (the usual application of Faltings' theorem, using the Lie-multiplicity-free property, and the fact that the spin representation decomposition holds over E). By Γ_F-invariance of each factor, we can apply Proposition 17.6.3 to produce an object M_ϵ of AV^0_{F,E_ϵ}, for some finite extension E_ϵ/E inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, with $M_{\epsilon,\ell}|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$ isomorphic to a finite-order twist of $H^1(B_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{E_\epsilon} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By Lie-irreducibility, some finite-order twist (a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$, in fact, and, again, we may have to enlarge E_ϵ) M'_ϵ of M_ϵ has ℓ-adic realization isomorphic to the corresponding factor of $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$. Inside the ambient $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, we take the compositum E' of the various E_ϵ, extending scalars on each M'_ϵ. Then the object $\oplus_\epsilon M'_\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{M}_{F,E'}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 17.4.5, so we deduce the existence of the desired motivic lift.

---

[142] Precisely, $M \otimes_{E''} \tilde{E}$.

[143] Since it contains the center of GSpin; the sort of example this avoids is $\rho_{f,\ell} \otimes \omega^{\frac{1-k}{2}}$, where f is a classical modular form of odd weight k.

So as not to try the reader's patience, we sketch the case of non-connected monodromy. Take the (motivic) Lie-irreducible factors of $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T|_{\Gamma_{F'}}$, and partition them into Γ_F-orbits. Fix a representative W_i of each orbit, and consider the stabilizer Γ_{F_i} in Γ_F of W_i. Arguing as in Proposition 17.7.2, we can apply Ribet's method to descend each W_i to an object M_i of $\mathcal{M}_{F_i,E_i}$ for some extension E_i of E inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Moreover, twisting yields an M'_i whose ℓ-adic realization is isomorphic to a factor of $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$.[144] Then we can induce (the representation of motivic Galois groups) from F_i to F to obtain our motives over F. This completes the case of even c (and E_T totally real). □

Having demonstrated the available techniques in a couple of quite different situations (namely, where the lifts range between the extremes of being Lie-multiplicity-free and Lie-isotypic), we stop here, remarking only that when E_T is CM, the analysis must begin not from Lemma 17.7.1 but from the restriction $W_{cd,+}|_{\prod \mathfrak{gl}_c}$, the product ranging over pairs of complex-conjugate embeddings $E_T \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. This restriction is given by:

Lemma 17.7.3. *Suppose $d = 2d_0$ is even, with notation otherwise as above. We denote irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_c$ by $W(r)$, where W is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_c$, and (r) indicates that the restriction to the center $\mathfrak{g}_a \subset \mathfrak{gl}_c$ is multiplication by r. Then, letting V_i denote the standard representation of the i^{th} copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_c$*

$$W_{cd,+}|_{\prod_1^{d_0} \mathfrak{gl}_c} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_{d_0}: \\ \sum i_j \in 2\mathbb{Z}}} \wedge^{i_1} V_1^*(\frac{c}{2} - i_1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \wedge^{i_{d_0}} V_{d_0}^*(\frac{c}{2} - i_{d_0}).$$

Remark 17.7.4.

- Note that the representations occurring here do not necessarily extend to representations of GL_c, since c may be odd; they do extend on the (connected) double-cover of GL_c.
- In particular, we see that when E_T is CM, $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$ is Lie-multiplicity-free. This suggest proceeding as in Proposition 17.7.2, although we will stop here.

18. TOWARDS A GENERALIZED KUGA-SATAKE THEORY

18.1. **A conjecture.** It is fair to assume that one could establish a motivic lifting result for the remaining hyperkähler cases. More important, these lifting results clamor for generalization. Motivated by the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, Theorem 13.0.13, Proposition 16.4.1, and Theorem 17.6.5, we are led to the following much more ambitious conjecture:

Conjecture 18.1.1. *Let F and E be number fields, and let $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a surjection, with central torus kernel, of linear algebraic groups over E. Suppose we are given a homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E} \to H$. Then if F is imaginary, there is a finite extension E'/E and a homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}\colon \mathcal{G}_{F,E'} \to H'_{E'}$ lifting $\rho \otimes_E E'$. If F is totally real, then such a lift exists if and only if the Hodge number parity obstruction of Corollary 13.0.12 vanishes.*

To indicate the scope of this conjecture, let X/F be any smooth projective variety, and consider for any $k \leq \dim X$ the motive $H^{2k}(X)(k)$ (or $Prim^{2k}(X)(k)$, having chosen an ample line bundle). This gives rise to an orthogonal representation of $\mathcal{G}_F$, and the conjecture in this case (for GSpin → SO, or the variant with the full orthogonal group in place of SO) amounts to a generalization of the Kuga-Satake construction to *arbitrary* orthogonally-polarized motivic (over F) Hodge structures. For other choices of H' and H (for instance, $\mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{PGL}_n$, where necessarily we will have coefficient field larger than $\mathbb{Q}$), the conjectured generalization is even more mysterious.[145]

---

[144] The isomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{F'}}(M_1, V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{F_i}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F'}^{F_i}(M_i), V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{F_i}}(M_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F_i)], V)$, with $V = r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$, imply this claim, using the fact that M_i is Lie-irreducible and after finite restriction occurs with multiplicity one in $r_+ \circ \tilde{\rho}_\ell^T$.

Note the importance of the technical Lemma 16.4.2 to build our confidence in this conjecture. Let $H' \to H$ be a morphism of groups, say over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, as in the conjecture. One way of formulating the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture is that $\mathcal{G}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ should be isomorphic to the Tannakian group for the Tannakian category $\mathrm{Rep}^{g,ss}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\Gamma_F)$ of semi-simple geometric $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representations of Γ_F. In particular, a geometric lift $\tilde{\rho}_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to H'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of $\rho_\ell \colon \Gamma_F \to H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ should arise from an algebraic homomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-groups $\mathcal{G}_F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to H'_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$. Lemma 16.4.2 then tells us that if ρ arises from some $\mathcal{G}_F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to H$, then we can find a lift $\tilde{\rho} \colon \mathcal{G}_F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to H'$ of homomorphisms of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-groups.

Now that we know to look for such a thing, we conclude by giving one more example in which it is easy to construct a 'generalized Kuga-Satake motive.'

18.2. **Motivic lifting: abelian varieties.** If $A/\mathbb{C}$ is an abelian surface, then $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \wedge^2 H^1(A, \mathbb{Q})$ has Hodge numbers $h^{2,0} = 1$ and $h^{1,-1} = 4$. The classical Kuga-Satake construction then associates to A another abelian variety, $KS(A)$, with rational cohomology $C^+(H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}))$ (or the analogue with $Prim^2(A, \mathbb{Q})$, if we have fixed a polarization), and a theorem of Morrison ([Mor85]) asserts that $KS(A)$ is isogenous to A^8 (or A^4 if we work with $Prim^2(A, \mathbb{Q})$). We now show that this construction can be generalized to abelian varieties of any dimension.

Let F be any subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and let A/F be an abelian variety of dimension g with a fixed polarization. Consider the algebraic representation

$$\rho \colon \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{GSp}(H^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_{2g},$$

or, for the theory over $\mathbb{C}$, its restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will compose ρ with a homomorphism $\mathrm{GSp}_{2g} \to \mathrm{GSpin}_N$ for suitable N to produce the generalized Kuga-Satake motive. Let $W = H^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$, and let $r_{e_1+e_2} \colon \mathrm{Sp}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_{e_1+e_2})$, in the weight notation of §11, denote the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ obtained as the complement of the trivial representation in $\wedge^2(W)$. This absolutely irreducible representation, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, has image in $\mathrm{SO}(V_{e_1+e_2})$, where the quadratic form is induced from the pairing canonically induced on $\wedge^2(W)$, and which coincides with the induced polarization on $Prim^2(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ is simply-connected, there is a lift to an algebraic homomorphism $\tilde{r} \colon \mathrm{Sp}(W) \to \mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2})$.[146] The dimension of $V_{e_1+e_2}$ is $\binom{2g}{2} - 1$, which is odd ($= 2n+1$) if g is even, and even ($= 2n$) if g is odd. In either case, we have the representation r_{ϖ_n} of $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ having highest weight $\varpi_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i}{2}$.[147]

Lemma 18.2.1. *Let c denote the non-trivial (central) element of the kernel of* $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2}) \to \mathrm{SO}(V_{e_1+e_2})$*. If $g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$, then $\tilde{r}(-1) = c$, and if $g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, then $\tilde{r}(-1) = 1$.*

Proof. In all cases, $r_{e_1+e_2}(-1) = 1$, so $\tilde{r}(-1)$ equals either 1 or c. Since c acts as -1 in any of the spin representations (*c is* the element -1 of the Clifford algebra), it suffices to compute $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r}(-1)$. The weights of $V_{e_1+e_2}$ are

$$\{\pm(e_i + e_j)\}_{1 \le i < j \le g} \cup \{e_i - e_j\}_{i \neq j},$$

---

[145] Compare Lemma 16.4.4.

[146] Over $\mathbb{C}$ this follows from standard Lie theory, and such a homomorphism descends to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see Lemma 16.4.2); since the map of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}(W) \to \mathfrak{so}(V_{e_1+e_2})$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we see that the map over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-invariant, hence descends to a morphism over $\mathbb{Q}$.

[147] We use the common fundamental weight notation here. This is the spin representation in the odd case; one of the half-spin representations in the even case.

except with one copy of the weight zero deleted (so that zero has multiplicity $g-1$ rather than g). It follows that $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r}$ has a weight equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq g}(e_i+e_j)+\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq g}(e_i-e_j)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{g}(g-i)e_i.$$

In particular, $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r}(-1)$ is multiplication by $(-1)^{g(g-1)/2}$, and the lemma follows. □

Corollary 18.2.2. $\tilde{r}\colon \mathrm{Sp}(W) \to \mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2})$ *extends to an algebraic homomorphism* $\mathrm{GSp}(W) \to \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{e_1+e_2})$. *If* $g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$, *then this map can be chosen so the Clifford norm coincides with the symplectic multiplier; if* $g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, *then this map can be chosen to factor through* $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2})$. *The composition*

$$\mathcal{G}_F \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{GSp}(W) \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}} \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{e_1+e_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(C^+(V_{e_1+e_2}))$$

defines the generalized Kuga-Satake lift of A.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 18.2.1 and the identifications:

$$\frac{\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)}{\langle(-1,-1)\rangle} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GSp}(W)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{G}_m \times \mathrm{Spin}(V_{e_1+e_2})}{\langle(-1,c)\rangle} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{e_1+e_2}).$$

When $g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$, we take the map $\mathrm{G}_m \to \mathrm{G}_m$ to be the identity, and when $g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, we take it to be trivial. □

Remark 18.2.3.

- Repeating the above arguments with $\wedge^2(W)$ in place of $V_{e_1+e_2}$, we can similarly construct lifts $\mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{GSpin}(\wedge^2(W))$.
- When $g = 2$, this recovers the classical construction. In that case, the composition $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r}$ is the identity, and, decomposing $C^+(V_{e_1+e_2})$ as 4 copies of r_{ϖ_n} (as $\mathrm{GSpin}(V_{e_1+e_2})$ representation), the identification (up to isogeny) $KS(A) \sim A^4$ is nearly a tautology.

The motivic formalism now tells us that $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r} \circ \rho$ is (the Betti realization of) an object of $\mathcal{M}_F$. Since the ℓ-adic realizations of ρ form a weakly[148] compatible system, the same is true for the ℓ-adic realizations of this Kuga-Satake motive. In this case, however, we can say more, and will realize this explicitly (and unconditionally) as a Grothendieck motive. The first step is to compute the plethysm $r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r}$; we will do this, but first mention an equivalent, structurally appealing plethysm. First we treat the D_n case, that is when $d = 2g = \dim H^1(X)$ satisfies $2n = \binom{d}{2}$; this amounts to $\dim X$ being even. Let $V = H^2(X) = \wedge^2 W$. We use the following (common) notation for fundamental weights of D_n:

- $\varpi_i = \chi_1 + \ldots + \chi_i$, and $r_{\varpi_i} = \wedge^i V$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$;
- $\varpi_{n-1} = \frac{\chi_1+\ldots+\chi_{n-1}-\chi_n}{2}$, $\varpi_n = \frac{\sum_1^n \chi_i}{2}$, and $r_{\varpi_{n-1}}$, r_{ϖ_n} are the two half-spin representations.

As representations of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, we have the following identity:

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} \wedge^i(V) = \left(r_{\varpi_{n-1}} \oplus r_{\varpi_n}\right)^{\otimes 2}.$$

The plethysm problem that we expect to solve, then, is to describe a representation $r_{KS(X)}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} \wedge^i(\wedge^2 W) = (r_{KS(X)})^{\otimes 2}.$$

(More ambitiously, we could attempt this with $\wedge^{2k}(W)$ instead.) Similarly, in the B_n case, we have fundamental weights $\varpi_i = \sum_1^i \chi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\varpi_n = \frac{\sum \chi_i}{2}$, corresponding, respectively to the wedge powers $\wedge^i V$ of the standard representation (r_{ϖ_1}) and the spin representation. As representations of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, we have the identity

---

[148] In fact, strictly.

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \wedge^i(V) = r_{\varpi_n}^{\otimes 2},$$

so in this case we want a representation r_{KS} of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ satisfying

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \wedge^i(\wedge^2(W)) = r_{KS}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proposition 18.2.4. *Writing ω_i ($i = 1, \ldots, g$) for the usual fundamental weights*[149] *of C_g, then in all cases (g odd or even) we have*

$$\wedge^\bullet(\wedge^2(W)) \cong 2^g(V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}})^{\otimes 2},$$

as $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$*-representations. Here $\wedge^\bullet$ denotes the full exterior algebra. This is deduced from the above discussion and the two calculations:*

- *(even) As* $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$*-representations,*

$$(r_{\varpi_{n-1}} \oplus r_{\varpi_n}) \circ \tilde{r} \cong 2^{g/2} V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}}.$$

- *(odd) As* $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$*-representations,*

$$r_{\varpi_n} \circ \tilde{r} \cong 2^{(g-1)/2} V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}}.$$

Proof. We treat the even case, the odd case being essentially identical. There are $g^2 - g$ non-zero weights in $\wedge^2(W)$, and the weight zero occurs with multiplicity g. The weights of $(r_{\varpi_{n-1}} \oplus r_{\varpi_n}) \circ \tilde{r}$ are sums of plus or minus any $n = g^2 - \frac{g}{2}$ weights of $\wedge^2(W)$, then the total divided by two. It follows that the highest weight of $(r_{\varpi_{n-1}} \oplus r_{\varpi_n}) \circ \tilde{r}$ is, as previously computed, $\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \omega_i$, but moreover that it occurs with multiplicity $2^{g/2}$ (here $\frac{g}{2} = n - (g^2 - g)$; we can choose the weight $+0$ or -0 this many times). It follows that $(r_{\varpi_{n-1}} \oplus r_{\varpi_n}) \circ \tilde{r}$ contains $2^{g/2} V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}}$; by dimension count (see the following Lemma 18.2.5), they are isomorphic. □

Lemma 18.2.5. *With the above notation, the dimension of the irreducible* $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$*-representation $V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}}$ is $2^{g(g-1)}$.*

Proof. Simplifying the Weyl dimension formula, we find

$$\dim V_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}} = 2^{|\Phi^+|} \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le g} \frac{2g + 1 - (i + j)}{2g + 2 - (i + j)},$$

where the number $|\Phi^+|$ of positive roots is 2^{g^2}. The product telescopes: fix an i, and the corresponding product over j is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. The lemma follows. □

Corollary 18.2.6. *Let F be any subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and let X/F be an abelian variety of any dimension g, giving rise to a representation*

$$\rho_{H^1(X)} \colon \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{GSp}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Then the Kuga-Satake lift (Corollary 18.2.2 and remark following) of the representation

$$\mathcal{G}_F \to \mathrm{SO}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})(1))$$

can be explicitly realized in the spin (or sum of half-spin) representation as $2^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor}$ copies of the composition $r_{\omega_1+\ldots+\omega_{g-1}} \circ \rho_{H^1(X)}$ with the highest weight $\omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_{g-1}$ representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}))$.[150]

Let us call this object of $\mathcal{M}_F$ the Kuga-Satake motive $KS(X)$. Then $KS(X)$ is in fact a Grothendieck motive, for either numerical or homological equivalence.

---

[149] $\omega_i = e_1 + \ldots + e_i$ in the standard coordinate system

[150] Extended to GSp on the center by the prescription of Corollary 18.2.2. Throughout this argument we will ignore these extra scalars to simplify the notation.

Proof. It remains to check that $KS(X)$ can be cut out by algebraic cycles. We start with the explicit description (due to Weyl; see §17.3 of [FH91]) of the representation $V_{\omega_1+\dots+\omega_{g-1}}$. From now on, abbreviate $\lambda = \sum_1^{g-1} \omega_i$, and $r = \sum_1^{g-1} i$ (the length of λ); in fact, what follows applies to an arbitrary partition λ. Then

$$V_\lambda = W^{\langle r\rangle} \cap \mathbb{S}_\lambda(W)$$

as $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$-representation. We have to explain this notation: $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(W)$ denotes the Schur functor associated to the partition λ, which explicitly is equal to the image of the Young symmetrizer c_λ acting on $W^{\otimes r}$; and $W^{\langle r\rangle}$ is the subspace of $W^{\otimes r}$ given by intersecting the kernels of all the contractions ($1 \leq p < q \leq r$)

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p,q} \colon W^{\otimes r} &\to W^{\otimes(r-2)} \\ v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r &\mapsto \langle v_p, v_q\rangle \cdot v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \hat{v}_p \otimes \ldots \otimes \hat{v}_q \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents the symplectic form on W. The result will now follow from some basic facts about algebraic cycles and, crucially, the Lefschetz and Künneth[151] Standard Conjectures for abelian varieties (due to Lieberman; for a proof, see [Kle68]). Fix a polarization of X, giving rise to a Lefschetz operator L_X. Recall Jannsen's fundamental result ([Jan92]) that numerical motives form a semi-simple abelian category. This and the Künneth Standard Conjecture for abelian varieties imply that the category of numerical motives generated by abelian varieties over F is a semi-simple Tannakian category. We deduce that the following are (numerical) sub-motives of $H(X^r)$:

- $M = (X, \pi_X^1, 0)$, i.e. the object corresponding to $H^1(X)$;
- The kernel of each contraction $H^1(X)^{\otimes r} \to H^1(X)^{\otimes(r-2)}$. For notational simplicity, take $p = 1, q = 2$. If we were working in cohomology, we would compute the kernel of $c_{1,2}$ as

  $$\ker\Big(H^1(X) \otimes H^1(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \mathbb{Q}(-1)\Big) \otimes H^1(X)^{\otimes r-2},$$

  where recall that the polarization $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle w_1, w_2\rangle = L_X^{d-1}(w_1 \cup w_2)$. Now, $W^{\otimes 2} = \mathrm{Sym}^2(W) \oplus \wedge^2(W)$, and cup-product kills $\mathrm{Sym}^2(W)$, while mapping $\wedge^2(W)$ isomorphically to $H^2(X)$. The kernel of $c_{1,2}$ is therefore isomorphic to

  $$\Big(\mathrm{Sym}^2(H^1(X)) \oplus Prim^2(X)\Big) \otimes H^1(X)^{\otimes r-2}.$$

  To realize the analogous numerical motive, we can define $\mathrm{Sym}^2(M)$ as

  $$(X \times X, \frac{1}{2}(1 + (1\,2)) \cdot (\pi_X^1 \times \pi_X^1), 0).$$

  For the projector, we have taken the product of two commuting idempotents, the first one being the Young symmetrizer associated to the Schur functor Sym^2. There is also a projector p^2 onto primitive cohomology (see 1.4.4, 2.3, and 2A11 of [Kle68]), so $(X, p^2, 0)$ realizes $Prim^2(X)$ as a numerical motive. Finally, since direct sums and tensor products exist in our category, we can therefore describe the kernel of any $c_{p,q}$ as a numerical motive $M_{p,q}$.
- In general, the Young symmetrizer c_λ is not quite an idempotent. Write $c_\lambda^2 = x^{-1}c_\lambda$,[152] making xc_λ an idempotent algebraic correspondence on X^r. c_λ commutes with the r-fold Künneth projector $(\pi_X^1)^r$ (this is easily checked at the level of cohomological correspondences, so *a fortiori* holds for numerical equivalence), so

  $$(X^r, xc_\lambda \cdot (\pi_X^1)^r, 0)$$

  defines a numerical motive, denoted $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(H^1(X))$.

---

[151] We will write π_X^i for the algebraic cycle on $X \times X$ inducing $H(X) \twoheadrightarrow H^i(X) \hookrightarrow H(X)$.

[152] x depends on λ, but we have fixed a λ.

- We would like to conclude the proof by intersecting the objects $M_{p,q}$ ($p < q$) and $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(H^1(X))$. This is possible for numerical motives since the category is abelian. Finally, since all of the cycles considered in the proof are cycles on (disjoint unions of) abelian varieties in characteristic zero, where numerical and homological equivalence coincide,[153] we also deduce the existence of a homological motive corresponding to $KS(X)$.

$\square$

Remark 18.2.7. A weight calculation yields the Hodge numbers of $KS(X)$.

18.3. **Coda.** Identifying among all rational Hodge structures those that are motivic is one of the fundamental problems of complex algebraic geometry, and this generalized Kuga-Satake theory would systematically construct new *motivic* Hodge structures from old, in a way not achievable by simply playing the Tannakian game. I hope that investigation of these phenomena will provide a stimulating testing-ground for thinking about Hodge theory in non-classical weights.

It is also tempting to ask what should be true if we replace F by other fields, especially finitely-generated subfields of $\mathbb{C}$. Our motivic descent in the hyperkähler case works as written, except for the critical absence of Tate's basic vanishing result; in other contexts, it might be hoped that a similar descent works, conditional on the relevant cases of the Tate conjecture. Perhaps only a qualitative *potential* lifting result will hold in this generality– that would suffice to imply the analogous lifting conjecture for $\mathbb{C}$ itself. But another clearly important question to ask is: what, if any, is the analogue of Tate's vanishing theorem when the number field F is replaced by any field finitely-generated over $\mathbb{Q}$?

REFERENCES

[AC89] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR 1007299 (90m:22041)

[And96a] Yves André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyper-Kähler varieties*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 2, 205–248. MR 1391213 (97a:14010)

[And96b] ———, *Pour une théorie inconditionnelle des motifs*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1996), no. 83, 5–49. MR 1423019 (98m:14022)

[AP06] Jeffrey D. Adler and Dipendra Prasad, *On certain multiplicity one theorems*, Israel J. Math. **153** (2006), 221–245. MR 2254643 (2007m:22009)

[Art] James Arthur, *The endoscopic classification of representations: Orthogonal and symplectic groups*, preprint.

[Art02] ———, *A note on the automorphic Langlands group*, Canad. Math. Bull. **45** (2002), no. 4, 466–482, Dedicated to Robert V. Moody. MR 1941222 (2004a:11120)

[BC] O. Brinon and B. Conrad, *Cmi summer school notes on p-adic hodge theory: preliminary version*.

[Bei12] A. Beilinson, *p-adic periods and derived de Rham cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 3, 715–738. MR 2904571

[BG10] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee, *The conjectural connections between automorphic representations and Galois representations*, Preprint (2010).

[BLGGT] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, preprint.

[Bor79] A. Borel, *Automorphic L-functions*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 27–61. MR 546608 (81m:10056)

[BR93] Don Blasius and Jonathan D. Rogawski, *Motives for Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), no. 1, 55–87. MR 1235020 (94i:11033)

[CCO12] B. Conrad, C-L Chai, and F. Oort, *CM Liftings*, Preprint, 2012.

[CKPSS04] J. W. Cogdell, H. H. Kim, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and F. Shahidi, *Functoriality for the classical groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2004), no. 99, 163–233. MR 2075885 (2006a:22010)

[Clo86] Laurent Clozel, *On limit multiplicities of discrete series representations in spaces of automorphic forms*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), no. 2, 265–284. MR 818353 (87g:22012)

---

[153] In the presence of the Standard Conjecture of Hodge type, the Lefschetz conjecture implies 'num = hom': see Proposition 5.1 of [Kle94].

[Clo90] ———, *Motifs et formes automorphes: applications du principe de fonctorialité*, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), Perspect. Math., vol. 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 77–159. MR MR1044819 (91k:11042)

[Con11] Brian Conrad, *Lifting global representations with local properties*, Preprint (2011).

[Del72] Pierre Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces $K3$*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 206–226. MR 0296076 (45 #5137)

[Del74] ———, *La conjecture de Weil. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1974), no. 43, 273–307. MR 0340258 (49 #5013)

[Del79] P. Deligne, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, With an appendix by N. Koblitz and A. Ogus, pp. 313–346. MR 546622 (81d:12009)

[DM11] P. Deligne and James S. Milne, *Tannakian categories (corrected texed version)*, http://www.jmilne.org/math/xnotes/index.html (2011).

[DMOS82] Pierre Deligne, James S. Milne, Arthur Ogus, and Kuang-yen Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. MR 654325 (84m:14046)

[Fal83] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 3, 349–366.

[Fal89] Gerd Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois-representations*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989, pp. 25–80. MR MR1463696 (98k:14025)

[FH91] William Fulton and Joe Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics. MR 1153249 (93a:20069)

[Fli06] Yuval Z. Flicker, *Automorphic representations of low rank groups*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006. MR 2251430 (2007m:11072)

[Gal00] Federica Galluzzi, *Abelian fourfold of Mumford-type and Kuga-Satake varieties*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **11** (2000), no. 4, 547–560. MR 1909819 (2003g:14061)

[GJ78] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet, *A relation between automorphic representations of* $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ *and* $\mathrm{GL}(3)$, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **11** (1978), no. 4, 471–542. MR 533066 (81e:10025)

[GK82] S. S. Gelbart and A. W. Knapp, *L-indistinguishability and R groups for the special linear group*, Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), no. 2, 101–121. MR 644669 (83j:22009)

[GT10] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda, *The local Langlands conjecture for Sp(4)*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 15, 2987–3038. MR 2673717 (2011g:22027)

[HC50] Harish-Chandra, *Lie algebras and the Tannaka duality theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **51** (1950), 299–330. MR 0033811 (11,492a)

[Jan92] Uwe Jannsen, *Motives, numerical equivalence, and semi-simplicity*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 3, 447–452. MR 1150598 (93g:14009)

[Kat87] Nicholas M. Katz, *On the monodromy groups attached to certain families of exponential sums*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 1, 41–56. MR 885774 (88i:11053)

[Kim03] Henry H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of* GL_4 *and the symmetric fourth of* GL_2, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 139–183 (electronic), With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak. MR 1937203 (2003k:11083)

[Kle68] S. L. Kleiman, *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*, Dix esposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 359–386. MR 0292838 (45 #1920)

[Kle94] Steven L. Kleiman, *The standard conjectures*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 3–20. MR 1265519 (95k:14010)

[Kna02] Anthony W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR 1920389 (2003c:22001)

[KS67] Michio Kuga and Ichirô Satake, *Abelian varieties attached to polarized K_3-surfaces*, Math. Ann. **169** (1967), 239–242. MR 0210717 (35 #1603)

[Lab85] J.-P. Labesse, *Cohomologie, L-groupes et fonctorialité*, Compositio Math. **55** (1985), no. 2, 163–184. MR 795713 (86j:11117)

[Lan89] R. P. Langlands, *On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups*, Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 101–170. MR 1011897 (91e:22017)

[Lap99] Erez M. Lapid, *Some results on multiplicities for* $\mathrm{SL}(n)$, Israel J. Math. **112** (1999), 157–186. MR 1714998 (2000i:22020)

[Lar94] Michael Larsen, *On the conjugacy of element-conjugate homomorphisms*, Israel J. Math. **88** (1994), no. 1-3, 253–277. MR 1303498 (95k:20073)

[LL79] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands, *L-indistinguishability for* SL(2), Canad. J. Math. **31** (1979), no. 4, 726–785. MR 540902 (81b:22017)

[LR98] Erez Lapid and Jonathan Rogawski, *On twists of cuspidal representations of* GL(2), Forum Math. **10** (1998), no. 2, 175–197. MR 1611951 (99d:11055)

[Mor85] David R. Morrison, *The Kuga-Satake variety of an abelian surface*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), no. 2, 454–476. MR 778462 (86j:14041)

[Raj98] C. S. Rajan, *On strong multiplicity one for l-adic representations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 3, 161–172. MR 1606395 (99c:11064)

[Raj02] ______, *On the image and fibres of solvable base change*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), no. 4, 499–508. MR 1928869 (2003g:11054)

[Ram00] Dinakar Ramakrishnan, *Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L-series, and multiplicity one for* SL(2), Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, 45–111. MR 1792292 (2001g:11077)

[Rib92] K. A. Ribet, *Abelian varieties over* **Q** *and modular forms*, Algebra and topology 1992 (Taejŏn), Korea Adv. Inst. Sci. Tech., Taejŏn, 1992, pp. 53–79. MR 94g:11042

[Sat66] I. Satake, *Clifford algebras and families of abelian varieties*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 435–446. MR 0210716 (35 #1602)

[Sch85] Winfried Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. MR 770063 (86k:11022)

[Ser77] J.-P. Serre, *Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations*, Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975), Academic Press, London, 1977, pp. 193–268. MR 0450201 (56 #8497)

[Ser81] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1981), no. 54, 323–401. MR 644559 (83k:12011)

[Ser94] ______, *Propriétés conjecturales des groupes de Galois motiviques et des représentations l-adiques*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 377–400. MR 1265537 (95m:11059)

[sga73] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. MR 0354654 (50 #7132)

[Shi] Sug-Woo Shin, *Plancherel density theorem for automorphic representations*, preprint.

[Shi11] Sug Woo Shin, *Galois representations arising from some compact Shimura varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 3, 1645–1741. MR 2800722

[Ste74] Robert Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 366, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, Notes by Vinay V. Deodhar. MR 0352279 (50 #4766)

[Tat79] J. Tate, *Number theoretic background*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 3–26. MR 546607 (80m:12009)

[Tay] R. Taylor, *The image of complex conjugation in l-adic representations associated to automorphic forms*, to appear A.N.T.

[Vin96] E. B. Vinberg, *On invariants of a set of matrices*, J. Lie Theory **6** (1996), no. 2, 249–269. MR 1424635 (98b:14036)

[Voi05] Claire Voisin, *A generalization of the Kuga-Satake construction*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **1** (2005), no. 3, part 2, 415–439. MR 2201323 (2006k:14012)

[Wal82] Michel Waldschmidt, *Sur certains caractères du groupe des classes d'idèles d'un corps de nombres*, Seminar on Number Theory, Paris 1980-81 (Paris, 1980/1981), Progr. Math., vol. 22, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1982, pp. 323–335. MR 693328 (85c:11097)

[Wei56] André Weil, *On a certain type of characters of the idèle-class group of an algebraic number-field*, Proceedings of the international symposium on algebraic number theory, Tokyo & Nikko, 1955 (Tokyo), Science Council of Japan, 1956, pp. 1–7. MR 0083523 (18,720e)

[Win95] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Relèvement selon une isogénie de systèmes l-adiques de représentations galoisiennes associés aux motifs*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 2, 215–240. MR 1329040 (96f:14023)

[Zar83] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hodge groups of $K3$ surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 193–220. MR 697317 (84g:14009)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ 08544-1000
E-mail address: `patrikis@math.princeton.edu`